SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE	UNITED STATES
	_
MAHANOY AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT,)
Petitioner,)
V.) No. 20-255
B.L., A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HER)
FATHER, LAWRENCE LEVY, AND HER)
MOTHER, BETTY LOU LEVY,)
Respondent.)

Pages: 1 through 114

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: April 28, 2021

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
www.hrccourtreporters.com

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	
3	MAHANOY AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT,)
4	Petitioner,)
5	v.) No. 20-255
6	B.L., A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HER)
7	FATHER, LAWRENCE LEVY, AND HER)
8	MOTHER, BETTY LOU LEVY,)
9	Respondent.)
10	
11	
12	Washington, D.C.
13	Wednesday, April 28, 2021
14	
15	The above-entitled matter came on
16	for oral argument before the Supreme Court of the
17	United States at 10:00 a.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	LISA S. BLATT, ESQUIRE, Washington, D.C.; on behalf of
3	the Petitioner.
4	MALCOLM L. STEWART, Deputy Solicitor General,
5	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.;
6	for the United States, as amicus curiae,
7	supporting the Petitioner.
8	DAVID D. COLE, ESQUIRE, Washington, D.C.; on behalf of
9	the Respondent.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE:
3	LISA S. BLATT, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	4
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6	MALCOLM L. STEWART, ESQ.	
7	For the United States, as amicus	
8	curiae, supporting the Petitioner	38
9	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
10	DAVID D. COLE, ESQ.	
11	On behalf of the Respondent	61
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
13	LISA S. BLATT, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioner	111
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear
4	argument first this morning in Case 20-255,
5	Mahanoy Area School District versus B.L.
6	Ms. Blatt.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF LISA S. BLATT
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MS. BLATT: Thank you, Mr. Chief
10	Justice, and may it please the Court:
11	Tinker should apply off-campus for
12	three reasons. First, such speech can cause
13	on-campus disruption. Second, Respondents'
14	approach would create chaos. And, third, a
15	school nexus requirement and Tinker's
16	substantial disruption test guard against abuse
17	First, off-campus speech, particularly
18	on social media, can be disruptive. The
19	Internet's ubiquity, instantaneous and mass
20	dissemination, and potential permanence make the
21	speaker's location irrelevant. Yet, the
22	decision below arbitrarily treats location as
23	dispositive.
24	Second, Respondent concedes schools
25	can address off-campus speech, but they propose

- 1 an administrative nightmare where the sheer
- 2 complexity heightens the risk of calamitous
- 3 error. First, a seven-part test would define
- 4 the school environment. Second, then one would
- 5 check if the off-campus speech fits within five
- 6 separate First Amendment doctrines that have
- 7 never been defined in the school context.
- 8 Tinker would mystifyingly toggle in and out of
- 9 coverage as kids move about today. This Court
- should not substitute the 20-year status quo of
- 11 applying Tinker off-campus.
- 12 Third, schools cannot target political
- and religious speech. Tinker applies off campus
- only when the student targeted both the school
- audience and a school topic. And, more broadly,
- this Court can clarify Tinker's reach both on
- 17 and off campus.
- 18 It is irrelevant that critical or
- 19 unpopular speech is the but-for cause of
- 20 substantial disruption. The speech itself must
- 21 be culpable. It must inherently compromise
- 22 school functions, like organizing lockouts. Or
- 23 the speech must objectively interfere with the
- 24 rights of others, like severe bullying.
- But, if listeners riot because they

- 1 find speech offensive, schools should punish the
- 2 rioters, not the speaker. In other words, the
- 3 hecklers don't get the veto. Schools' special
- 4 needs are limited to teaching kids how to think,
- 5 not what to think.
- I welcome your questions.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Counsel, you
- 8 said that the schools can't regulate political
- 9 or religious speech but -- but also that the
- 10 schools can regulate speech from off campus that
- is directed at the school.
- 12 So what do you do with political or
- religious speech that is directed at the school?
- 14 You know, a sign the student is carrying around
- off campus that says don't approve the school
- 16 bond funding referendum. Where -- how do you
- 17 balance the -- the one situation against the
- 18 other?
- MS. BLATT: Well, that -- that speech
- 20 would not come within the school's regulation
- 21 even remotely because it would fail both nexus
- 22 and Tinker. It fails the nexus case because
- 23 it's not directed to a school audience. That's
- the public. And it has nothing to do with a
- 25 particular school. It's just not a school

```
1 topic. So, under our approach -- and I think
```

- 2 this is the government's approach too; it's
- 3 identical -- you go to two steps, either of
- 4 which is easier for a court to handle in terms
- 5 of protecting free speech.
- 6 One, make sure the audience is the
- 7 school and the topic has to be about the school.
- 8 And if it's easier, go ahead and jump to Tinker
- 9 and say that no matter how much offense someone
- 10 takes to that speech, that's not a substantial
- disruption just because listeners are offended.
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well -- well,
- 13 let's -- let's say that the -- the -- the
- 14 protesters don't approve the school referendum
- because the school is -- is awful or because Ms.
- 16 Johnson is -- is teaching at the school --
- MS. BLATT: So --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- and --
- 19 and -- and it's -- it's -- and it's put out by
- 20 somebody on their -- as a Snapchat, so it
- 21 certainly reaches the school audience.
- 22 Political speech that's directed at the school.
- MS. BLATT: Yes. So you can have --
- that would be a school audience, and Ms. Johnson
- is a school topic, so it's a nexus test, and the

- 1 reason why is that could never satisfy Tinker
- 2 and that is because, if it just delivers
- 3 reaction, speech that's critical, even ridicule
- 4 of a school, schools cannot punish it because of
- 5 their offense or their reaction to it.
- 6 They could punish the manner. So, in
- 7 other words, if a -- if a -- if a female
- 8 student wants to say, Mr. Jones keeps calling on
- 9 boys, that's fine. She can text about it, she
- 10 can social media about it. She can't picket the
- 11 teacher's house or stand up in class and say it
- 12 because that inherently interferes with the
- school's ability to teach. But she's entitled
- 14 to her views and to express them.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 16 counsel.
- 17 Justice Thomas.
- 18 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 19 Justice.
- Ms. Blatt, how -- how do you separate
- 21 that? What if a student said some of those same
- 22 things that the Chief Justice posited but in a
- 23 social studies class? How would you separate
- that from -- then from just participating in
- 25 class or -- as opposed to doing the exact same

- thing or saying the exact same thing off campus? 1 2 MS. BLATT: Yeah. So Tinker, again, 3 assuming it fits the nexus test, Tinker is always going to be context-specific, and whether 4 5 teachers or school officials can forecast a reasonable disruption depends on when and where 6 7 the student speaks, how many people hear it, and what the student said. 8 9 And when and where in the classroom is going to be very different as opposed to a 10 sleepover. But now, when it's on social media 11 12 to 200 people or even a thousand people, there's 13 no question that the effect might be different. 14 But, in your case, if you want to say, Ms. 15 Johnson, you're a terrible teacher, she should 16 do that in office hours or on social media, not 17 in the middle of class. That's disruptive, 18 can't do that, that interferes with the -- with the instruction of the classroom. 19 20 JUSTICE THOMAS: So you did say -- you mentioned context and you said that, well, the 21 22 sleepover is different from school. You 23 suggested that. And then you did mention social
- But aren't we at a point where, if

24

media.

- 1 it's on social media, where you post it on
- 2 social media doesn't really matter? You could
- 3 do it in class, algebra class, or you could do
- 4 it at a sleepover and say the exact same thing
- 5 about Ms. Johnson. It's -- so how would that
- 6 make any difference, where you post it?
- 7 MS. BLATT: Well, that's precisely
- 8 correct. When it comes to the Internet, things
- 9 like time and geography are meaningless, and it
- 10 makes absolutely no sense whatsoever to say that
- 11 the same speech is somehow within the school's
- 12 regulation if it's one foot on campus or one
- foot off campus or at the Starbucks or at the
- 14 CVS or in your car or on the school bus. The
- 15 Internet is ubiquitous. It -- it -- it just
- doesn't have a geography.
- 17 JUSTICE THOMAS: So why does it have
- to be about the school? Can't there be comments
- 19 about other hot-button issues or about current
- 20 controversies, like protests or Black Lives
- 21 Matter, Antifa, or Proud Boys or something like
- 22 that, people can take sides that are just as
- 23 disruptive in the school setting as comments
- about Ms. Johnson?
- 25 MS. BLATT: The difference is from

- 1 Tinker itself. Schools are not in the business
- of teaching kids what to think, Justice Thomas.
- 3 You're entitled to think whatever you want about
- 4 Israel or race or Black Lives Matter.
- 5 Now it's a different thing if you take
- 6 something that's political speech, like the
- 7 Confederate flag alone, it communicates nothing.
- 8 But, if you take that speech and terrorize a
- 9 black student with it, then the message is, I
- don't want you here at the school because you're
- 11 black.
- 12 That's very different than just a
- 13 Confederate flag standing alone. I don't know
- 14 what that communicates. I need to know more
- 15 about context. But it's inconceivable that
- 16 talking about a wide variety of religious or
- 17 political speech, unless you take it in a way
- 18 that uses that as a weapon to terrorize a
- 19 particular student or teacher, it is off limits,
- 20 it has been off limits since 1969. And the
- 21 other side is just wrong to suggest that schools
- somehow are the Gulag on campus. That has never
- 23 been the rule since -- since Tinker, and in
- 24 2001 --
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice

- 1 Breyer.
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: Good morning. I -- I
- 3 read Tinker, and Tinker seems to say that -- in
- 4 the context of a student protest in school at
- 5 least, school, you cannot punish this unless
- 6 there is material and substantial disruption or
- 7 you invade the rights of other students. You
- 8 cannot.
- 9 It doesn't say if it does those things
- 10 you can punish it. It says you can't unless.
- 11 And the issue here seems, does that apply off
- 12 campus? Why not? After all, if I look at the
- case here in the record, is there in the record
- something that shows that what this young woman
- 15 did -- I mean, she used swear words, you know,
- 16 unattractive swear words, off campus.
- 17 Did that cause a material and
- 18 substantial disruption? I don't see much
- 19 evidence it did. And if swearing off campus
- 20 did, I mean, my goodness, every school in the
- 21 country would be doing nothing but punishing.
- 22 And it certainly didn't help others -- I mean
- 23 disrupt others. It didn't hurt others as far as
- I'm aware, as far as I can see in the record.
- So why isn't that the case? I mean,

- 1 sure, if you exceed Tinker -- unless you meet
- 2 Tinker, you can't punish it it, at least in the
- 3 context of protests, and, here, pretty clearly,
- 4 it didn't satisfy what Tinker says is necessary
- 5 to satisfy.
- 6 MS. BLATT: So I think it's fair to
- 7 have the approach that the concurring judge
- 8 disallowed, which is, I don't really need to
- 9 talk about any of this because the school failed
- 10 Tinker, but the Third Circuit said it was
- 11 dispositive, it didn't matter what she said, she
- could have done this to a thousand people every
- 13 night of the season.
- JUSTICE BREYER: All right. You want
- 15 to review the Third Circuit. That's what's
- 16 mystifying me. The Third Circuit says Tinker
- doesn't apply. If Tinker doesn't apply, they
- can punish more, not less, because Tinker puts
- 19 the limits on what you can punish in school.
- 20 You'd think a fortiori outside of school.
- 21 MS. BLATT: So what is mystifying
- 22 about the Third Circuit and the other side's
- 23 brief is that we think Tinker faithfully
- 24 applied, and this Court has not had a Tinker
- decision since Tinker, so I think it would be

- 1 helpful to explain that substantial disruption
- 2 can't be a direct but-for causation.
- But more to your point, they say
- 4 schools can do whatever they want under five
- 5 separate doctrines. They say look at strict
- 6 scrutiny. Bullying, I don't know what they say.
- 7 Extracurricular, I don't know what they say
- 8 either. Maybe there's a conduct exception,
- 9 and -- oh, also threats, but let's adapt all of
- 10 this for the -- for the kid environment.
- 11 Tinker has been a familiar test for
- 12 50 -- 51 years, and it is -- in this case, I
- don't think it's that difficult. The question
- is, here, she targeted her coaches, the sport,
- and another teammate's ability to play, and the
- 16 coach recently forecasted someone who berates
- 17 with a profane gesture and word, all three of
- 18 those things is not somebody you'd want at the
- 19 bottom of the pyramid. And the -- the record
- 20 was not just the swearing, but it was disrespect
- 21 for the -- for the -- for the coaches and the
- 22 entire team and her teammates in connection with
- 23 the swearing.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Ms. Blatt, I

- 1 understand you to say that schools cannot
- 2 discipline a student for things that the student
- 3 says outside the school, not in connection with
- 4 a school program about subjects such as
- 5 politics, religion, morality, economics, et
- 6 cetera, et cetera.
- 7 The problem is when the student says
- 8 something that implicates those subjects but
- 9 links it in some way to a student or a teacher.
- 10 And what you say is -- and the Solicitor General
- 11 makes a similar argument -- it matters whether
- 12 the speech targets the school. I have no idea
- what it means to target the school.
- Now let me give you an example to make
- 15 this more concrete. And since Tinker occurred
- 16 back during the Vietnam War, it -- it -- it will
- 17 relate to that.
- 18 So, during the war, a student says,
- war is immoral, American soldiers are baby
- 20 killers, I hope there are a lot of casualties so
- 21 that people will rise up. Even if that would
- 22 cause a disruption in the school, I understand
- you to say the school couldn't do anything about
- 24 it. Is that right?
- 25 MS. BLATT: That's correct, that would

- 1 be a heckler's veto, no can do.
- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. So now
- 3 the student says exactly the same thing and he
- 4 adds, "Our classmate, Johnny Jones' brother, is
- 5 one of those blankety-blank baby killers."
- 6 Can the school do something about
- 7 that?
- 8 MS. BLATT: So that -- that would turn
- 9 not on, obviously, where, if it's on the
- 10 Internet, the location. It turns on, I think,
- 11 what -- what the Third Circuit originally said
- in fact -- obviously, it was a decision by you
- 13 -- that said there has to be a line drawn
- 14 between somebody taking offense and an actual
- objective interference with their ability to
- 16 educate.
- 17 I'm not sure your example would, but
- 18 that's what schools have to face every day.
- 19 When you insult someone, okay, that was not
- 20 nice, your feelings are hurt, we need to have a
- 21 conversation.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. Well, that's
- 23 where the --
- MS. BLATT: You make sure the kids
- 25 know --

```
1
                JUSTICE ALITO: -- that -- that is
 2
      where I -- I think there is a problem because,
 3
      when you tell me that it's -- or you or one of
      the other -- a very able attorney says it's
 4
 5
      context-specific, it depends on the facts of a
 6
     particular case, there are a lot of things you
 7
      have to consider.
                I'm really worried about how that is
 8
 9
     going to be implemented. I think, if we're
      going to -- if schools are going to have any
10
11
      authority under Tinker outside of school, there
12
     has to be a clear rule. That's what I'm looking
13
      for.
14
                MS. BLATT: Sure.
                                   The clear rule has
15
     been, I think, under -- under this -- the -- the
16
      law or policies in all 50 states and it's
17
      certainly in written statutory law in 26 states
18
     that the standard for bullying is severe,
19
     persistent harassment that interferes, actually
20
     prevents that child from getting an education.
21
      So being offended is irrelevant. You have to --
22
     basically, it has to be very severe and
23
     persistent.
24
                JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I -- I just
2.5
      don't understand what that means in concrete
```

- 1 terms. I'll give you another example. My time
- 2 is -- is basically up. A student believes that
- 3 someone who is biologically male is a male, and
- 4 there is a student who is biologically male but
- 5 identifies as a woman, has adopted a female
- 6 name, but the student who has the objection
- 7 refers to this person by the person's prior male
- 8 name and uses male pronouns.
- 9 Can the school do something about
- 10 that?
- MS. BLATT: I think, with something
- 12 like a name, a school could say: Listen, we're
- going to have -- everybody is going to be called
- 14 by the name we have on the school records as a
- 15 matter of decorum. We're going to do that. And
- if they want, they can just accommodate the
- 17 person by saying why don't you just call -- call
- 18 him/her Johnny, or whatever the name is, and
- 19 just use that and say Johnny's book. I think
- 20 you just accommodate.
- 21 But, to answer your question about
- 22 being -- you know, that's what -- Tinker has
- 23 been around for 51 years. The federal
- 24 government has, like, 10 federal agencies that
- 25 deal with this. Schools have to deal with this

- 1 every day. They try not to make mistakes while
- 2 keeping kids from killing themselves because
- 3 they're bullied.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Thank you.
- 5 My time is up.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 7 Sotomayor.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Ms. Blatt, the
- 9 problem that I have with Tinker is that I'm not
- 10 sure it's any clearer a rule than any of the
- 11 others that you're criticizing.
- 12 Let me start with just this case. Can
- you punish the student for cursing at home --
- MS. BLATT: Absolutely --
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- or at her
- 16 parents' home?
- MS. BLATT: -- absolutely not, nor
- 18 could you do that --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Can you -- can you
- 20 curse -- can you punish her for cursing in her
- 21 conversations as she walks to school?
- MS. BLATT: Absolutely not, although,
- 23 under Respondent's test, I guess you can. But
- absolutely not.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. Now,

- if you can't punish them for doing that, you're
- 2 punishing her here because she went on the
- 3 Internet and cursed and used a curse word
- 4 related to what? To her unhappiness with the
- 5 school and cheering, right?
- 6 MS. BLATT: Yes, she berated her
- 7 coaches, the sport, and other teammates --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well --
- 9 MS. BLATT: -- and that --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- we could
- 11 quibble with that, but my point is, I'm told by
- my law clerks, that among certain populations --
- 13 a certain large percentage of the population,
- 14 how much you curse is a badge of honor. That
- 15 would surprise many parents.
- However, if it is true, where do we
- draw the line with respect to it targeting a
- 18 school? Kids basically talk to their
- 19 classmates. Most of their conversation is about
- 20 school. Most of their exchanges have to do with
- 21 their perceptions of the authoritarian nature of
- their teachers and others. And why isn't this
- any different than just that the coach of this
- team took personal offense?
- MS. BLATT: So all those are --

2.1

```
1
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: She spent -- she
 2
      spent a few minutes talking to students,
 3
      reporting this incident. How is that a
      substantial disruption, number one? And how is
 4
      this, the nature of the speech, such that it
 5
 6
      intends to provoke disrespect when she put it to
 7
      a page that was supposed to disappear and it was
      only a classmate taking a snapshot who showed it
 8
 9
      to anybody?
10
               MS. BLATT: Yeah. Well, Justice
11
      Sotomayor, I'm not impressed with the
12
      snapshot defense -- Snapchat defense because she
13
      could do the same thing to a thousand people and
14
      say, oops, it disappeared and I'm going to do
15
      this every night to my coaches. They don't like
          It's disrespectful. My teammates are
16
17
      afraid of me. I don't really care.
18
                And the answer is because she's a
19
      cheerleader and it's an extracurricular
20
     program where she consented to an extra degree
21
     of regulation because she's a school ambassador.
2.2
      It's a self-contained program that teaches not
23
      just teamwork but respect for coaches.
24
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, I note --
2.5
               MS. BLATT: If you're --
```

Т	JUSTICE SUTUMAYOR: that the
2	school's ban on cursing is only during the
3	school year, and you did not rely or your
4	teacher did not rely on that prohibition of
5	cursing in its punishment of her.
6	MS. BLATT: Right. These are all
7	these are all fair points and what was argued in
8	the district court. I will say the district
9	court said it was pretty much fatal to Tinker
10	that she said it off campus. And with all
11	respect, that is a silly, arbitrary, unfounded,
12	has no basis in any common sense. It would be
13	all of a sudden it mattered if she had sent it
14	from the school parking lot? And all of a
15	sudden, the school could look at it and apply
16	Tinker? And we would be having the same
17	conversation in a Supreme Court case. But the
18	fact she sent it at the Cocoa Hut shouldn't
19	matter into the analysis.
20	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan.
21	JUSTICE KAGAN: Ms. Blatt, it seems to
22	me that your argument that Tinker is the entire
23	analysis may depend on a on a version of
24	Tinker that the lower courts really have not
25	adopted, because you say that there can't be any

```
regulation of political or reg -- or regulation
of religious speech.
```

- But I'll just give you two cases, one
- 4 where there was a ban on shirts saying "We are
- 5 not criminals" to protest an immigration bill,
- 6 another a shirt saying "Homosexuality is a sin."
- 7 And in both cases, the Court said Tinker allows
- 8 the school to say that you shouldn't wear those
- 9 kinds of things to school.
- 10 Do you think that's clearly wrong?
- 11 MS. BLATT: It's not. I -- I
- 12 thought that the -- I'll defer to you. I
- 13 thought the "Homosexuality is a sin" was fine.
- 14 The -- the "I am" -- the -- the border shirt or
- 15 the "We are not criminals" was a fight where it
- 16 had been -- there was basically a match that was
- supposed to go off, and when dueling factions
- were wearing dueling shirts, where gang fights
- or fights are about to break out, and there was
- 20 a big dissent and a, you know, concurrence --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Yes. So I quess --
- MS. BLATT: -- about --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: -- that's what I'm
- 24 asking about, Ms. Blatt, because I would have
- 25 thought -- I mean, maybe I -- I did get that

2.4

- 1 holding wrong, but I would have thought where
- 2 students say, we're going to come in with the
- 3 Confederate flag or we're going to come in with,
- 4 you know, Black Lives Matter or homosexuality is
- 5 a sin or gay pride in ways that the school
- 6 thinks is going to cause disruption, that the
- 7 school can ban those if the school is right
- 8 about that, that, you know, where -- where --
- 9 where those symbols or -- or speech will cause
- severe disruption, that the school can say: No,
- 11 you can't bring your Confederate flag to school
- 12 tomorrow.
- MS. BLATT: So, no, I think the -- the
- 14 actual opposite is true. If you look at the
- school handbook that we cite, and the New York
- 16 school handbook says the same thing, the leading
- 17 case on this is K.D. versus Fillmore. It is a
- 18 brilliantly -- a brilliant case where the
- 19 T-shirt was "Abortion is homicide" T-shirt.
- 20 Kids having abortions were upset. They said it
- 21 was false because abortion is actually legal.
- 22 And the school said: Get over it. She -- he is
- 23 passively wearing the shirt. He's not
- terrorizing kids with it. He's going about his
- 25 day. Leave him alone.

```
1
                And that case is cited as the gospel
 2
      case for heckler's veto. Now, when these kids
 3
                JUSTICE KAGAN: So you're saying,
 4
     Ms. Blatt, that what we should do in this case
 5
 6
      is just make courts -- you know, tell courts:
 7
      Look, Tinker is it. It's it on campus; it's it
     off campus. But, in applying Tinker, you have
8
 9
      to allow religious and political speech no
10
     matter how disruptive the school -- it -- it
11
      will be in the school?
12
                MS. BLATT: Unless, as -- as -- as
      that fact opinion says and as all of the
13
14
      Confederate flags say, when it is used in
15
      context to terrorize a student.
16
                JUSTICE KAGAN: A particular student,
17
      right, but it can't just be we're all bringing
18
      our Confederate flags to school and it's going
19
      to cause a riot?
               MS. BLATT: So, if it's -- if there
20
21
     are gang riots and there are -- yes, those cases
22
     are all dealing with the Confederate flag is
23
     being brought with the backdrop of race riots.
24
      There is no question that that is like a
```

fighting word in context, and fighting words

- 1 aren't protected.
- 2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, now I don't know
- 3 what you're saying, Ms. Blatt, because first you
- 4 said a -- a school can't prohibit Confederate
- 5 flags, even if they're going to be disruptive,
- 6 and now you say they can.
- 7 MS. BLATT: So the difference is, when
- 8 we talk about disruptive, it's a misnomer. You
- 9 cannot ban T-shirts and symbols because people
- 10 are offended or they threaten to riot.
- Now you can in context if you have a
- 12 very extreme situation where a -- really, the
- facts are a new black kid arrived at school and
- 14 they raised a Confederate flag.
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay.
- MS. BLATT: That --
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: Thank you, Ms. Blatt.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 19 Gorsuch.
- 20 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yeah. I -- I -- I'd
- 21 like to pick up where Justice Kagan left off.
- 22 I -- I -- I'm confused. You started off
- 23 this presentation by saying political and
- 24 religious speech are absolutely protected, but I
- 25 think, in -- in response to both the Chief

- 1 Justice and Justice Kagan, you suggested that
- 2 there may be limits there as well. Can you
- 3 explain what your -- your test is?
- 4 MS. BLATT: Yes. The only limit is
- 5 where -- that -- that I've seen in any of the
- 6 case law, is where there are race riots and gang
- 7 fights and student walkouts. You have a very
- 8 disruptive volatile environment in the school.
- 9 So none of this applies to anything to do with
- 10 the question presented. But if --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: So -- so -- so -- so
- it is a major disruption test with respect to
- 13 political and religious speech as well?
- MS. BLATT: It is a major disruption
- 15 test that takes out the word just because
- students are offended and feelings are hurt and
- 17 you're very angry about the speech --
- 18 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Sure.
- MS. BLATT: -- dealing with the hurt.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: No, it's -- I
- 21 understand offense isn't enough. But, if
- 22 there's a major disruption, that -- that is
- enough.
- MS. BLATT: It's a major disruption
- 25 with reasonable. Just because kids say, Ms.

- 1 So-and-so, we're going to -- we're going to riot
- 2 if that kid walks in with a Confederate flag.
- 3 Then you suspend the kids who threaten to riot.
- 4 You don't suspend the kid with the Confederate
- 5 flag.
- 6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Sure. But, if the
- 7 school thinks that it's a -- that -- that the
- 8 kids are reasonably reacting to offensive
- 9 political and religious speech, then it -- then
- 10 it can address that issue?
- MS. BLATT: I would say not offensive.
- 12 I would use the word terrorizing.
- 13 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Terrorizing.
- MS. BLATT: Religious and offensive.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Okay. So --
- MS. BLATT: Terrorizing.
- 17 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Terrorizing, all
- 18 right.
- MS. BLATT: It has to really -- yeah.
- 20 It's not that --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: And does it make a
- 22 difference that this case involved an
- 23 extracurricular activity?
- MS. BLATT: Yes, with respect to the
- 25 application of Tinker because of what I said

- 1 about the goals and you can offend and destroy
- 2 the program without affecting the school at
- 3 large. So, here, the student was not in any
- 4 way -- there was no disciplinary action taken
- 5 with respect to the school.
- 6 She was suspended from the cheer team,
- 7 and I think, under the other side's view, she
- 8 couldn't have even been asked to write an
- 9 apology or suspended for one game. And -- and
- she could do this every night. As long as she
- 11 waited until the Cocoa Hut to do it, she could
- berate her coaches all day long. And I think
- 13 that that's very different. If students want to
- 14 use swear words, even on the Internet, that's
- 15 fine. And they can do it with respect to
- 16 teachers too. It's going to have to rise to the
- 17 level of harassment.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 20 Kavanaugh.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you, Chief
- 22 Justice.
- And good morning, Ms. Blatt. I want
- 24 to focus on the facts of this case a bit and --
- and my reaction to it. As you say and I think

- 1 helpful for you, the context here is a team and
- 2 a coach, not the school more generally. But, as
- 3 a judge and maybe as a coach and a parent too,
- 4 it seems like maybe a bit of over --
- 5 overreaction by the coach.
- 6 So my reaction when I read this, she's
- 7 competitive, she cares, she blew off steam like
- 8 millions of other kids have when they're
- 9 disappointed about being cut from the high
- school team or not being in the starting lineup
- or not making all league, and just by way of
- 12 comparison about -- and to show how much it
- means to people, you know, arguably, the
- 14 greatest basketball player of all time is
- inducted into the Hall of Fame in 2009 and gives
- 16 a speech. And what does he talk about? He
- talks about getting cut as a sophomore from the
- 18 varsity team. And he wasn't joking. He was
- 19 critical 30 years later. It still -- it still
- 20 bothered him.
- 21 And I think that's just emblematic of
- 22 how much it means to kids to make a high school
- 23 team. It is so important to their lives, and
- 24 coaches sweat the cuts, and it guts coaches to
- 25 have to cut a kid who's on the bubble, and --

- 1 and good coaches understand the importance and
- 2 they understand the emotions.
- 3 So maybe what bothers me when I read
- 4 all this is that it didn't seem like the
- 5 punishment was tailored to the offense given
- 6 what I just said about how important it is and
- 7 you know how much it means to the kids. I mean,
- 8 a year's suspension from the team just seems
- 9 excessive to me.
- 10 But how does that fit into the First
- 11 Amendment doctrine or does it fit in at all in a
- 12 case like this?
- MS. BLATT: Well, it -- it -- I don't
- 14 think it does because the -- it's analytically
- 15 distinct whether the coach could act at all
- 16 versus due process considerations about the
- 17 extent of the punishment and I think the rule --
- 18 but, I mean -- and also, this is the -- the
- 19 remand point, the district lost on this issue
- 20 and the Third Circuit did not go on this
- 21 rationale because there was evidence of the --
- the team cohesion.
- But I -- I think, you know, whether --
- 24 I understand that Michael Jordan was upset, but,
- at some point, presumably, he was respectful to

- 1 his coaches and there's a line that coaches
- 2 always have to -- coaches have to know their
- 3 team and know what -- what works. They have to
- 4 act in the best interests of all teammates,
- 5 team -- team participants, and one of the
- 6 things you learn --
- 7 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: But, in the
- 8 moment -- in the moment, you know that kid's
- 9 going to be upset, and -- and you -- you know,
- 10 you -- you -- you recognize that. I'm not
- 11 saying this is justified necessarily. I'm not.
- 12 But -- but a year seems like a lot.
- MS. BLATT: Well, I mean, again, then
- 14 you're going to be in the business of --
- 15 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I agree. That's
- 16 the problem, I agree.
- MS. BLATT: But I don't think --
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: So the -- so the
- do -- on the legal issue, the do no harm, I -- I
- think legally speaking, you know, we should try
- 21 to do no harm here, your -- your approach would
- 22 be to just say the Tinker standard applies
- 23 regardless of the place -- precise location of
- the speech and just remand? Is that enough?
- MS. BLATT: That's absolutely enough.

1 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you. 2 MS. BLATT: Yes, and I think -- yes. 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Barrett. 4 5 JUSTICE BARRETT: Good morning, Ms. So let me -- let -- let's assume that I 6 7 think about the case in the following way, you 8 know, that high school students enjoy the same 9 free speech rights as everyone else. Tinker 10 acknowledged that in the context of the -- once 11 you cross the schoolhouse gate, those rights are 12 somewhat reduced because of the school environment and the need to, you know, control 13 14 and avoid substantial disruption, but they're 15 not lost altogether, but that nothing in Tinker 16 suggests itself that it applies outside of the 17 school environment. 18 And so what you're asking us to do is 19 to extend the school's authority that Tinker 20 acknowledges outside of the school environment. 21 And I think you have good policy reasons for 22 doing that. You know, I think harassment, 23 bullying, and I think threats of violence 24 against the school and cheating are all things 2.5 that would be of concern.

```
1
                I don't see a lot of doctrinal support
 2
      for saying that Tinker applies, you know,
      that -- that -- that schools' increased
 3
      authority applies. Tell me what you think
 4
 5
      doctrinally your best authority is.
 6
               MS. BLATT: Sure. The 100 years of
 7
      case law that was unambiguous that schools could
      regulate anything off campus --
8
                JUSTICE BARRETT: Well --
9
10
               MS. BLATT: -- that --
11
                JUSTICE BARRETT: -- let's -- let's
12
      assume I -- I -- I don't think there's -- I
13
      think I read the history a little bit
14
     differently. What about in our precedent? Do
15
      you see anything in our precedent that really
16
     requires this extension of Tinker?
17
               MS. BLATT: Well, all the school
18
      speech cases -- there's only four of them -- are
19
      tailored to the school interest at stake, and so
20
      the question is in terms of what need -- you
21
      know, need to protect the school.
2.2
                And if we're talking about a narrow
23
      category of speech that actually -- and what
24
     here is is threatening the extracurricular
25
     program, so that doesn't fall into your cheating
```

- 1 or bullying. It is speech that destroys the
- 2 morality of team cohesion.
- JUSTICE BARRETT: Well, but I think
- 4 actually, Ms. Blatt, that's part of the problem,
- 5 because, you know, you point out that the other
- 6 side, past their proposed cuts, has its problems
- 7 at the edges because of the Internet and remote
- 8 learning and all of that.
- 9 But your three-part test certainly has
- 10 its own issues. You know, it's not going to be
- 11 easy to apply, and I think a lot of the
- 12 questions that you've gotten today show a
- 13 concern, including in this case, that schools
- abuse this authority and that they punish things
- that maybe don't cause substantial disruption or
- political speech or religious speech that they
- 17 shouldn't. Or, you know, I think you've heard a
- 18 lot of skepticism about whether the speech at
- issue in this case actually caused substantial
- 20 disruption.
- So I -- I -- I quess my concern
- is, if we have two -- two tests being offered,
- or on offer, neither one is going to be easy to
- 24 apply in all cases, they'll both have hard
- cases, which one ought we apply? Which one is

- 1 the more protective of speech?
- 2 And -- and let me ask you this:
- 3 Insofar as the policy concerns go, nothing in
- 4 the First Amendment prohibits soft discipline,
- 5 right, like, in this case, the cheerleader
- 6 coming to school and being told -- rather than
- 7 being kicked off the team and punished, being
- 8 told we're aware of the Snapchat, this is not
- 9 good for team cohesion, this is not respectful
- of your coaches, if we see any of this kind of
- 11 behavior on the field or at practice or
- 12 undermining morale, there is going to be a
- 13 consequence but not imposing one yet. That
- would be okay, right?
- MS. BLATT: Yes, but there are cases
- 16 where the student was asked to apologize and the
- 17 student sued the coach and the school and said,
- I don't have to say I'm sorry. I have a First
- 19 Amendment right not to say I'm sorry.
- JUSTICE BARRETT: Okay. My time is
- 21 up. Thanks.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: A minute to
- wrap up, Ms. Blatt.
- MS. BLATT: And I think this goes to
- 25 Justice Barrett's question. Your -- your choice

- 1 is between the familiar Tinker standard that has
- 2 applied to social media over the last 20 years.
- 3 Respondents are going to regulate
- 4 off-campus speech, but they send schools into
- 5 completely unchartered waters by replacing
- 6 Tinker with a Frankenstein's monster of First
- 7 Amendment doctrines all mashed together.
- 8 Respondents worry about schools
- 9 suppressing too much speech. But telling
- schools they can regulate undefined categories
- of harassment, bullying, and speech inciting
- 12 violations of school rules invites more
- 13 suppression. Vague, unfamiliar rules don't work
- 14 when student welfare is on the line.
- 15 All this Court needs to hold is that
- 16 Tinker is not subject to a territorial switch.
- 17 Under Respondent's view, it would not have
- 18 mattered had B.L. derided her team and coaches
- 19 every night throughout the season on 12
- 20 different social media platforms. Students
- 21 shouldn't be able to place their speech off
- 22 limits just by stepping off campus.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 24 counsel.
- Mr. Stewart.

1	ORAL ARGUMENT OF MALCOLM L. STEWART
2	FOR THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,
3	SUPPORTING THE PETITIONER
4	MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Chief
5	Justice, and may it please the Court:
6	Under the Third Circuit's approach,
7	B.L. could send out Snaps from her home every
8	evening disparaging the coaches, her teammates,
9	and the enterprise of cheerleading. Such
LO	messages from a member of the squad would
L1	have an evident tendency to disrupt the
L2	functioning of a school program that depends on
L3	and is intended to instill values of team
L 4	building and mutual support. In situations like
L5	these, school officials should be able to
L 6	intervene to protect the interests of other team
L7	members.
L8	The Third Circuit's rigid geographic
L 9	approach is particularly unsound in the context
20	of online speech since there is no meaningful
21	causal link between the place from which an
22	online communication is sent and the likelihood
23	that it will disrupt school operations.
24	I welcome the Court's questions.
25	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Stewart,

- 1 if you get to the point of considering whether
- 2 speech is directed at a school, I -- I wonder
- 3 how you parse that because, you know, teenagers,
- 4 maybe most of their friends are also their --
- 5 their classmates. And does that mean that
- 6 anything that they generally send out directed
- 7 at their friends has to be considered that it's
- 8 directed at the school?
- 9 MR. STEWART: I mean, first, we would
- say no even for purposes of the first prong of
- 11 our test, which is, is it school speech?
- 12 But the second thing we would
- 13 emphasize is that even if speech is determined
- to be school speech in the sense that it focuses
- on the school as such, that doesn't mean that
- 16 the school can regulate it. That just simply
- 17 means that the school should have the
- opportunity to make the showing that the speech
- is likely to cause substantial disruption of
- 20 school operations.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What about
- 22 speech -- political speech that involves the
- 23 school, you know, opposing a school referendum
- 24 because Ms. Jones is a terrible teacher? Does
- 25 -- do you categorize that as political speech,

- 1 which is off limits, or speech directed at the
- 2 school, which can be regulated?
- 3 MR. STEWART: I mean, it's a little
- 4 bit of both. The part that says we oppose
- 5 the school referendum is very similar to the
- 6 speech that was at issue in Pickering, the
- 7 seminal case about the rights of public
- 8 employees, and the Court said in that case,
- 9 because there was no close working relationship
- 10 between the teacher and the school board, there
- 11 was no likelihood that this would disrupt
- 12 workplace operations.
- The part that says Ms. Jones is a
- 14 terrible teacher, that might take it over the
- 15 line into school speech. But a single statement
- like that wouldn't in our view have the capacity
- 17 to disrupt school operations. If there was a
- 18 continuing, ongoing, online campaign of virtual
- 19 harassment or intense disparaging of Mrs. Jones
- 20 or Ms. Jones, that -- that might be a different
- 21 situation, but a single negative comment
- 22 wouldn't qualify.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 24 Thomas.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Chief

- 1 Justice.
- 2 Mr. Stewart, should we analyze a --
- 3 the rules of a team, as Justice Kavanaugh
- 4 alluded to, as opposed to the general rules that
- 5 apply to the school population? Is there a
- 6 difference in how we should treat team members
- 7 versus just students?
- 8 MR. STEWART: Yes, I think there is,
- 9 and if I could say something about what -- a
- 10 comment that Justice Kavanaugh made in the first
- 11 part of the argument. I think there -- there is
- in some sense an intuition that people have that
- 13 the punishment didn't fit the crime in the sense
- 14 that the -- the suspension was very severe.
- 15 But there's another sense in which the
- 16 punishment did fit the crime; that is, B.L. was
- suspended from the cheerleading squad, not from
- 18 school. If the school had suspended her from
- 19 school, that would have sent the message that
- 20 this was considered to be unacceptable speech
- 21 from any student, and that would have been a
- 22 very difficult showing to make.
- 23 But the sanction that was actually
- imposed sent the message that this was
- 25 unacceptable speech from a member of the team.

- 1 And I think it's -- it's not limited to the
- 2 school setting or the team setting, that people
- 3 who participate in projects or organizations
- 4 that have as part of their raison d'etre
- 5 cooperation, team spirit, mutual support, they
- 6 may have to accept limitations on their speech
- 7 that couldn't be imposed on the workplace
- 8 generally.
- 9 For example, if I --
- 10 JUSTICE THOMAS: Well, let me -- let
- 11 me ask -- let me squeeze in one other question
- 12 real quick. The -- when we talk about material
- disruption as a basis for preventing or
- 14 disciplining students who engage in certain
- 15 speeches or conduct, can you also localize that
- to the team so that if a team member disrupts
- 17 the team, that it's okay to discipline that team
- member, even though you wouldn't normally do it,
- 19 discipline that person as a member of the
- 20 student body?
- MR. STEWART: Yes, absolutely, and I
- 22 -- I think it would -- it would be impossible to
- 23 run sports teams at public high schools or, for
- that matter, public universities if that were
- 25 not the case. It happens all the time that

- 1 cheerleaders or student-athletes will do things
- 2 that disrupt the operations of the team but
- 3 don't have any larger effect on the school as a
- 4 whole. And at least so long as the discipline
- 5 is limited to exclusion from the team or
- 6 suspension of -- from the team, that that's not
- 7 a problem.
- 8 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 10 Breyer.
- JUSTICE BREYER: As far as I can see,
- 12 I can't write a treatise on the First Amendment
- in this case, and so, at the moment, I'm
- thinking there are only two ways of dealing with
- it. One, treat it as an example. We can't go
- 16 beyond that. Look at the record and then
- 17 decide. Or the other is everyone seems to want
- some rule, and the rule, I think, might be take
- 19 Tinker as if it said, which it doesn't, as if it
- 20 said: School, you do have some authority where
- 21 there's a substantial injury to -- disruption in
- 22 the class or somebody's going to be hurt in that
- 23 school, et cetera.
- And I would add: But, remember, it's
- outside the school. And that's primarily the

- 1 domain of the parents. And even when it's
- 2 inside the school, you're not a schoolmaster.
- 3 Judge, be careful. Okay?
- I can say something like that. Well,
- 5 what should I do?
- 6 MR. STEWART: I think the three or
- 7 four things I would say are, first, no per se
- 8 rule that off-campus speech is categorically
- 9 exempt from school regulation.
- 10 Second, with respect to online speech
- in particular, the location from which the --
- the post is sent is more or less irrelevant to
- 13 the likelihood that it would cause disruption.
- 14 Third, a school can permissibly
- 15 conclude, as in the team concept -- context,
- 16 that particular speech will disrupt the
- operations of a particular school program even
- if it doesn't disrupt the operations of the
- 19 school as a whole.
- 20 And, fourth, in determining what
- 21 counts as substantial disruption, we should look
- 22 to the purposes of the program. And, here, the
- 23 -- the coach testified, at JA 32, that part of
- 24 the purpose of cheerleading was to teach
- 25 team-building skills that students would take

- 1 with them to later life. Team cohesion was not
- 2 simply a means to some other end. It was one of
- 3 the objectives of the program.
- 4 And as I say, reasonable people could
- 5 differ about whether this isolated Snap would
- 6 likely have the effect of disrupting team
- 7 chemistry. But the Third Circuit's analytical
- 8 approach would apply equally in a situation
- 9 where B.L. had sent repeated Snaps disparaging
- 10 the coach, disparaging the team, where
- 11 absolutely the predictable effect would be a
- 12 breakdown in -- in team morale.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Suppose a student says
- something outside of school that relates to an
- 16 important subject, like politics, religion,
- 17 morality, et cetera, et cetera, makes no
- 18 reference to the school or to a teacher or
- 19 student, but the remarks are so offensive that
- they will predictably cause controversy within
- 21 the school and could distract the students from
- 22 the educational process. Does the school have
- 23 any authority to discipline the student?
- MR. STEWART: No, not on our view.
- 25 And, indeed, the -- the purpose in -- in our

- 1 analytic test of having a school speech prong is
- 2 simply to provide a safe harbor to make clear
- 3 that in the situation you posit, where the
- 4 speech off campus has no inherent connection to
- 5 the school, the justification for regulation
- 6 that people will be upset when the school day --
- 7 day starts, that that's simply an illegitimate
- 8 justification that doesn't belong.
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. So an
- 10 important part of the test that you propose is
- 11 whether the speech intentionally targets
- 12 specific individuals or groups in the school
- 13 community. The verb "target" means select as an
- object of attention or attack. So does a school
- target an individual, a student or a teacher,
- 16 whenever it refers to the teacher or student?
- 17 MR. STEWART: No, I don't think that
- 18 that's the case, and I -- this is a contextual
- 19 approach where you would look at the -- the
- speech as a whole and ask, is this predominantly
- 21 a comment about an individual student or is it
- 22 predominantly a comment about a -- a social
- 23 issue.
- 24 But the other thing I would stress is,
- 25 even if a -- in a particular instance you get

- 1 past the -- the screen and say, this is school
- 2 speech, that -- that doesn't get the school
- 3 home. The school still --
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, what troubles me
- 5 is that that -- what you've just proposed is
- 6 a -- a very nebulous line. And I'm quite
- 7 concerned about the effect of this on -- on
- 8 freedom of speech. I think we need clear lines.
- 9 Can you clarify -- can you give me
- 10 anything firmer than what you just said?
- MR. STEWART: I guess the two things I
- 12 would say are, first, even in cases where we are
- applying Tinker, you should not just look to in
- 14 the likelihood that disruption will result. We
- 15 should -- you should employ concepts like
- 16 proximate cause to determine if a disruption
- does result, can that properly be attributed to
- 18 the speaker or is it the fault of -- of the
- 19 listener?
- JUSTICE ALITO: Thank -- thank you.
- 21 MR. STEWART: The other thing I would
- 22 say is, the Tinker framework in -- in some
- 23 respects will apply quite differently in the
- 24 schools.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice

- 1 Sotomayor.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Stewart, your
- 3 test speaks about -- I'm not sure, and I'm --
- 4 I'm following Justice Thomas's questioning. It
- 5 seems to focus on sports teams, but one could
- 6 say that about any extracurricular activity,
- 7 that there is team spirit of some sort involved
- 8 in science lab work, in after school science lab
- 9 work, in forensic speech writing or arguing.
- There isn't an after school activity
- 11 where the spirit of that activity couldn't be
- 12 perceived as being impacted by what people find
- is unpopular. So let's get to Black Lives
- 14 Matter T-shirts. How about if -- or the
- 15 Confederate flag, how about if students in any
- 16 after school activity want to wear those
- 17 T-shirts. When would you say that the school
- 18 could ban that?
- 19 MR. STEWART: I -- I -- I would say
- 20 not at all, at -- at least not on the team
- 21 building concept -- concept.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But why?
- 23 MR. STEWART: That is -- I think that
- 24 that's simply a -- a case in which the right --
- 25 the free speech rights of the student would be

- 1 paramount. That is, it is possible in theory to
- 2 imagine a team in which almost all the members
- 3 support a particular political candidate and to
- 4 some degree the presence of a teammate who
- 5 supports the -- the opposing political candidate
- 6 is going to be a -- a source of argumentation
- 7 but that doesn't strike at the core of what the
- 8 team is about. The -- what strikes at the core
- 9 of the team --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Do you agree with
- 11 Ms. Blatt, if there is race tensions, any other
- 12 kind of tensions on the team, that the school
- 13 could intervene at a certain point?
- MR. STEWART: Yes. I mean, it --
- 15 it -- it certainly could be the case that if
- people, were kind of, I don't know, operating in
- 17 close quarters and this pattern of -- of tension
- was established, that that might justify some
- 19 form of speech regulation that wouldn't
- 20 otherwise be justified.
- 21 I think the -- the Seventh Circuit in
- 22 Zamecnik, a case cited in the reply brief for
- 23 the Petitioner, has referred to this as -- as
- 24 kind of a -- a species of fighting words
- 25 analysis. And the idea is even in the -- in the

- 1 adult context, though we don't usually look at
- 2 the reaction of the speaker, there are some
- 3 forms of speech that seem intentionally
- 4 provocative.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan.
- 6 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Stewart, is the
- 7 speech in this case school speech?
- 8 MR. STEWART: It -- it is close to the
- 9 line because it -- it mentions "school" and it
- 10 mentions "cheer" but it also mentions
- "softball," which is -- that was not a school
- 12 softball team.
- 13 JUSTICE KAGAN: So which side of the
- 14 line does it fall on.
- 15 MR. STEWART: I -- I think it probably
- 16 falls on the school speech line, but it's not --
- 17 not entirely determined --
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: That mean really
- 19 everything that mentions a school at all is
- 20 school speech, right, because this is pretty
- 21 generic.
- MR. STEWART: Well, it's not just the
- 23 content we're looking at. The speech -- the --
- 24 the Snap was sent to a wide audience. It
- included a number of students, a -- a number of

- 1 cheerleaders. It predictably reached the -- the
- 2 cheerleading team and the coaches.
- And again, the -- the fact that there
- 4 may be some indeterminacy about the first prong
- of the test doesn't get the school over -- over
- 6 the hump. The school may still be unable to
- 7 establish on remand if the case is remanded that
- 8 this speech would have an actual tendency to
- 9 disrupt team chemistry.
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: Can I give you a few
- 11 hypotheticals and you just tell me school speech
- or not school speech? And let's just assume
- that all of these cause substantial disruption.
- 14 Okay?
- MR. STEWART: Yes.
- 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: Student e-mails his
- 17 classmates the answer to the geometry homework
- 18 every day after school?
- MR. STEWART: School -- school speech.
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: Student e-mails his
- 21 classmates that they should all skip school
- 22 tomorrow for an impromptu senior skip day?
- MR. STEWART: School speech.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Student e-mails that
- 25 they should refuse to do any work for English

- 1 class until the teacher changes the syllabus to
- 2 include more authors of color?
- 3 MR. STEWART: School speech.
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: So that can be
- 5 punishable?
- 6 MR. STEWART: If it -- if it causes
- 7 substantial disruption if the --
- 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. Student tweets
- 9 that there's pervasive homophobia at his school
- and that prospective gay students should stay
- 11 away?
- 12 MR. STEWART: That seems like school
- speech, especially the last part of it, when
- 14 it -- it encourages other people to avoid the
- 15 school based on this characteristic.
- 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: Last one. Student
- 17 tweets that his school really stinks and
- 18 students should stay away?
- 19 MR. STEWART: I think it's still
- 20 school speech. It's a -- it's an assessment of
- 21 the school as a whole. And as I was saying
- 22 earlier, the principal point of our school
- 23 speech prong is to provide a safe harbor for
- 24 situations where a student engages in very
- 25 inflammatory off -- off-campus speech that has

- 1 no inherent connection to the school, and we
- 2 want to say that -- the school simply can't try
- 3 to make the case that that speech should be
- 4 regulated because of the spillover effects it
- 5 would have when school reconvenes.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Thank you,
- 7 Mr. Stewart.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 9 Gorsuch.
- 10 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Mr. Stewart, is
- 11 there anything that the Petitioner argued that
- 12 you disagree with this morning? Or -- and --
- 13 and can you explain if -- if, to the extent
- 14 there is, any daylight between your test and
- 15 theirs?
- 16 MR. STEWART: I -- I don't see any day
- 17 -- daylight. The only thing I -- I would
- 18 emphasize that I don't think is inconsistent
- 19 with the Petitioner's presentation is that in --
- in the context of on-campus speech, the -- the
- 21 courts have applied a -- a concept of
- 22 quasi-fighting words, where taking into account
- 23 the relative immaturity of the school audience
- 24 and the fact that students have -- are -- are a
- 25 captive audience, the -- the courts have allowed

- 1 school authorities to crack down on a -- a
- 2 narrow range of speech that couldn't be punished
- 3 outside the school but is particularly likely to
- 4 cause a disturbance in the school environment.
- 5 But, with -- with that small caveat, I
- 6 would agree with Petitioner's argument that, in
- 7 general, disagreement, even strong disagreement
- 8 by the rest of the students, with even on-campus
- 9 speech, is not a basis for regulation.
- 10 JUSTICE GORSUCH: And then, if -- if
- 11 you could just address more broadly the -- the
- 12 the -- the thrust of the -- the argument from
- the other side, which is that there's some irony
- in the fact that as avenues for expression have
- increased for all of us through the Internet,
- this -- this actually leads to more regulation
- of it by schools and that the authority for
- 18 schools as in loco parentis grows and -- and --
- and reduces the room for parental control and
- 20 supervision.
- 21 MR. STEWART: I -- I think the -- the
- 22 two things I'd say are that the Internet is a --
- 23 an extra option. People still do have the
- 24 option -- I mean students in high school still
- 25 have the option of doing people -- what people

- 1 did in my day, that is, express their views to
- 2 their friends and classmates at parties, social
- 3 gatherings off campus, that there is no
- 4 requirement that everything a student thinks and
- 5 wants to communicate has to be communicated to
- 6 the broadest possible audience.
- 7 But I think the flip side is
- 8 off-campus speech has a much greater tendency
- 9 now than it did then to affect the operations of
- 10 the school simply because it can be made
- 11 available to a vast audience, not with respect
- 12 to -- with respect to the chat -- the Snap
- 13 that's different, but a lot of online speech
- vill literally occur -- appear on students'
- phones when they're back in class and at the
- 16 next school day.
- 17 So I -- I don't think it would be
- 18 untoward for the Court to take account of that
- 19 potential effect of off-campus speech in
- 20 deciding what the constitutional rule should be.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 23 Kavanaugh.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you, Chief
- 25 Justice.

```
1
                Good morning, Mr. Stewart. I strongly
 2
      share Justice Breyer's instinct when he
 3
     mentioned that we probably can't write a
      treatise here and shouldn't write a treatise
 4
     here and can't foresee all the things that could
 5
     arise and a lot of the hypotheticals that have
 6
 7
     been raised.
                So I just want to get your reaction if
 8
     we just simply said the First Amendment does not
 9
      categorically prohibit public schools from
10
11
      disciplining students for speech that occurs off
12
      campus, period. It may matter that the analysis
13
      here involves -- or the situation here involves
14
      a team, not just the school more broadly,
15
     period. Remand. Is that enough?
16
               MR. STEWART: I -- I think that would
17
     be enough. It -- it would probably be helpful
      to say, with respect to online speech in
18
19
     particular, the location from which the speech
20
      was posted is ordinarily going to have no
21
      significant effect on the likelihood that it
2.2
     will cause substantial disruption, but -- but
23
     we're -- we -- we entirely agree that the Court
      shouldn't be writing a treatise, and we
24
25
      particularly agree that this is not the occasion
```

- 1 for the Court to try to decide how the close
- 2 cases involving in-school speech ought to be
- 3 dealt with.
- 4 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And then I think
- 5 you mentioned this, but the proportionality of
- 6 the sanction here that I mentioned to Ms. Blatt,
- 7 that -- I -- I guess, how do you see that
- 8 fitting in at all, if at all, to the
- 9 constitutional analysis? And maybe the answer
- 10 is not at all.
- 11 MR. STEWART: I think the -- that the
- 12 length of the suspension doesn't factor into the
- 13 First Amendment analysis. It might conceivably
- 14 be the basis for a due process claim. As I
- indicated earlier, I do think the fact that B.L.
- 16 was suspending from the cheerleading team,
- 17 rather than from school, is significant.
- This would be a much harder case for
- 19 the school if -- if B.L. had been suspended from
- school entirely, because that punishment would
- 21 rest on be the idea that no member of the
- 22 student body could acceptably have said this.
- 23 And that would be much harder case. What --
- 24 what made the speech objectionable was that it
- 25 was coming from inside the team.

```
1
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
 2
      Barrett.
 3
                JUSTICE BARRETT: Mr. Stewart, I want
      to go back to Justice Kagan's hypothetical about
 4
      sharing the answers to the geometry homework
 5
      after class. You said that would be school
 6
7
      speech. Do you think it's speech to pass on the
8
      answer key?
9
               MR. STEWART: Yes, I think it would
     be -- it would be speech.
10
11
                JUSTICE BARRETT: What about threats
12
      to the school? You know, I'm going to come in,
13
      I'm going to bring a gun to school tomorrow,
14
      and -- and, you know, open fire?
15
                MR. STEWART: I think it would still
16
     be considered speech. Now, it -- things like
17
     true threats may not be constitutionally
18
     protected, but that's not on the theory that
19
      they aren't speech. And I think it is important
20
      in the school context that you could -- if you
21
     had a student who in his off-campus posts was
22
      trying to cultivate a -- a vaguely menacing
23
     persona and was careful not to say anything that
24
      rose to the level of a true threat, but that was
```

still intended to put his audience in fear,

- 1 that's the sort of thing that a school ought to
- 2 be able to regulate, even though --
- 3 JUSTICE BARRETT: Those are the kinds
- 4 of things that the authorities could regulate if
- 5 there was someone who was discovered online
- 6 threatening the school or menacing the school
- 7 and -- and seeming like it could be a risk,
- 8 right?
- 9 MR. STEWART: Again, if the -- if the
- 10 communication rose to the level of a true
- 11 threat, something that could be punished under
- 12 the criminal or civil law even if an adult did
- 13 it, yes, that would be true. But the -- the
- 14 circumstance we have in mind is --
- 15 JUSTICE BARRETT: Let me ask you one
- 16 -- my -- my time is going to run out. Could a
- school seek a waiver of First Amendment rights
- for participation in an extracurricular activity
- 19 like cheer?
- MR. STEWART: No, I don't think that
- 21 the school could seek a blanket waiver. And so,
- for instance, if B.L. had been suspended from
- 23 the cheerleading team because the coach
- 24 disagreed with her political views, that would
- 25 be impermissible. That would be a clear

- 1 violation, even though the only sanction was
- 2 removal from the extracurricular, and it would
- 3 be no different if the school tried to extract a
- 4 waiver in advance of the right to engage in
- 5 speech that had no inherent connection to the
- 6 school or the team.
- 7 JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: A minute to
- 9 wrap up, Mr. Stewart.
- 10 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 11 Justice.
- We've been discussing the school
- 13 speech cases as -- as though they were a
- doctrinal island, but I think it is worth
- pointing out that school speech is only one
- 16 context in which participants in government
- 17 programs can be made to accept speech
- 18 restrictions that couldn't be imposed on the
- 19 general public. So if I, for example, posted a
- 20 message online that tracked the text of B.L.'s
- 21 Snap but instead of "school," "softball," and
- "cheer," I put "DOJ," "law," and "the Supreme
- Court," that would be constitutionally protected
- 24 speech.
- But DOJ, as my employer, could

- 1 certainly take the position that that was
- 2 inconsistent with my job as a DOJ attorney, and
- 3 that would rest in part on the fact that my
- 4 employment at DOJ is -- is voluntary on my part,
- 5 but it would also rest on the fact that
- 6 communications like that would have a much
- 7 greater disruptive tendency coming from within
- 8 the department than from the outside. And the
- 9 same principle applies here. Thank you.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 11 counsel.
- Mr. Cole.
- 13 ORAL ARGUMENT OF DAVID D. COLE
- ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT
- MR. COLE: Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 16 Justice, and may it please the Court:
- 17 At its core, the First Amendment
- 18 prohibits content discrimination. Its bedrock
- 19 principle is that a speaker can't be punished
- 20 because listeners object to his message.
- 21 Tinker announced a narrow exception to
- 22 those principles. It allows school officials to
- 23 punish speech based on its content if listeners
- 24 object or might object in a disruptive fashion.
- 25 But it is limited to school-supervised or

- 1 school-sanctioned settings.
- 2 This Court's school speech cases are
- 3 called that for a reason. The authority they
- 4 recognize is justified by and limited to the
- 5 special characteristics of the school
- 6 environment. So schools can prohibit pro-drug
- 7 messages at school, but not elsewhere. They can
- 8 ban profanity at school, but not at home. So,
- 9 too, they can punish disruptive speech at
- school, but not at the convenience store on the
- 11 weekend.
- 12 Expanding Tinker would transform a
- 13 limited exception into a 24/7 rule that would
- 14 upend the First Amendment's bedrock principle
- and would require students to effectively carry
- the schoolhouse on their backs in terms of
- 17 speech rights everywhere they go.
- 18 It would also directly interfere with
- 19 parents' fundamental rights to raise their
- 20 children. A father shouldn't have to worry that
- 21 if he brings his daughter to a Black Lives
- 22 Matter protest about mistreatment of a black
- 23 student at school, and she posts a photo on
- 24 Facebook, she might be suspended based on
- 25 potential disruption at the school.

1 B.L. was punished for merely 2 expressing frustration with a four-letter word to her friends outside of school on a weekend. 3 Her message may seem trivial, but for young 4 people, the ability to voice their emotions to 5 friends without fear of school censorship may be 6 7 the most important freedom of all. I welcome the Court's questions. 8 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Cole, that 10 sharp line I think you're trying to draw between on campus and off campus, how does that fit with 11 12 modern technology? I mean, it's -- it's -- if a text or a Snap that you send, you send from the 13 park and it's read in the cafeteria, is that off 14 15 campus or on campus? 16 MR. COLE: So if you're -- what the --17 our test is the test that this Court applied in 18 Morse versus Frederick, which is if you are 19 under the school's supervision or sanction, the 20 school has the authority that the school speech 21 cases give it. And if you're outside of the 2.2 school's supervision or sanction, then you --23 the -- the same First Amendment rights apply to 24 you as apply to everybody else. 2.5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well --

```
1
                MR. COLE: The Internet doesn't -- the
 2
      Internet doesn't change that, Your Honor.
 3
      Internet -- if anything, the Internet
      underscores the importance of assuring that kids
 4
      outside of school have the right to speak freely
 5
 6
     because that's where kids speak. They -- they
 7
      speak to their friends, they share their most
      intimate thoughts, on the Internet with their
 8
 9
      friends. If any time they do that and -- and --
10
      and that means that somebody in the school at
11
      some point might read it, the school can,
12
      therefore, regulate it if it's -- if it's a
13
      swear word or if it's -- if it's disruptive or
14
      if -- or if people object to it at school in a
15
     way that causes problems for the school, then
16
      kids won't have free speech, period. They --
17
      they will essentially be carrying the
18
      schoolhouse with them wherever they go.
19
     would -- it would essentially reverse Tinker.
20
               CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You say in
      your -- your brief a fairly -- obviously strong
21
2.2
     defense of the First Amendment, but then -- then
23
      you say that the First Amendment rights adjusted
24
      for youth in context. And at that point, I
25
      suddenly think, well, we're just back in the
```

- 1 sort of multiplicity of -- of factors and nobody
- 2 can tell quite exactly where any clear lines
- 3 are. What -- what do you mean by "adjusted for
- 4 youth in context"?
- 5 MR. COLE: So this Court has said that
- 6 unprotected categories of speech can be adjusted
- 7 for youth in context. It's said this the
- 8 context of threats. It's said it in the context
- 9 of obscenity. So, for example, what is
- 10 threatening to a five-year-old is different from
- 11 what's threatening to an adult. What is
- harassing to a 12-year-old girl is going to be
- 13 different from what's harassing to a
- 14 25-year-old. So those kind of adjustments, I
- think the law already recognizes those sorts of
- 16 objections.
- Our point is you don't need the
- 18 blunt instrument of Tinker to deal the problems
- of off-campus behavior that might have an effect
- 20 in school because the First Amendment doesn't
- 21 stand in the way. It permits regulation of
- 22 threats. It permits regulation of bullying,
- 23 harassment, cheating, as long as those are
- 24 carefully confined by the existing First
- 25 Amendment doctrine.

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I -- I -- you 2 said "the blunt instrument of Tinker." I'm not sure it's -- sure it's so blunt. I mean, we've 3 -- we've had trouble so far, I think, in 4 figuring out exactly how it applies in -- in the 5 6 present situation. But just so I understand, no 7 matter how disruptive a particular speech activity off campus or I gather, you know, on a 8 9 Snapchat is to the school, it has no choice but 10 to tolerate that because it can't take any 11 action against -- against the student? 12 MR. COLE: It can -- Your Honor, it 13 can take action based on Tinker. It can take 14 action if the First Amendment permits it to take 15 action. So if it is harassing, it is fear and 16 pervasive in a way that interferes with equal 17 access to education, they can take action, 18 consistent with the First Amendment. If it is 19 bullying that is severe or pervasive enough to 20 interfere with access to education, they can 21 take action, consistent with the First 2.2 Amendment. If it is aiding or abetting 23 cheating, they can take action, consistent with 24 the First Amendment. The -- the simple rule is, when you're 2.5

- 1 inside the school when -- or when you are under
- 2 the school's supervision, the school has broad
- 3 authority based on disruption alone. But
- 4 outside of the school -- outside of the school
- 5 supervision, the First Amendment governs, and
- 6 the school has the same authority that the city
- 7 would have with respect to regulating speech
- 8 that is not under its supervision.
- 9 But that doesn't mean it can't take
- 10 action. It just means it has to do so
- 11 consistently with the First Amendment rather
- 12 than what I would say is a blunt instrument.
- 13 Just call it disruption and that's the end of
- 14 the matter.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 16 counsel.
- 17 Justice Thomas.
- 18 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 19 Justice.
- 20 Mr. Cole, you a number of times have
- 21 said -- you mentioned that -- the location of
- 22 the conduct or the speech at school, under the
- 23 school's supervision, et cetera.
- Isn't that complicated by the Internet
- and by social media? And you could send the

- 1 exact same messages that could cause problems
- 2 from your local 7-11 or you could send it to
- 3 classmates who happen to be in class. You could
- 4 send it over the weekend, but it still has a
- 5 permanence that would certainly allow it to be
- 6 used in class. So I don't know how you locate
- 7 the conduct in school versus out of school when
- 8 you have social media.
- 9 MR. COLE: Thank you, Justice Thomas.
- 10 I -- I think you -- you do it the way this Court
- 11 has done it in all the school speech cases. It
- said, does the school exercise supervision over
- 13 the speaker? If it does, it can regulate it
- 14 subject to enhanced power. If it doesn't, it
- 15 can't. So Bong Hits 4 Jesus could be regulated
- 16 because it was at a school-supervised event.
- But, if it was put on Facebook, it couldn't be
- 18 punished.
- 19 JUSTICE THOMAS: So do you -- does the
- 20 speech --
- 21 MR. COLE: Our first --
- JUSTICE THOMAS: -- does the speech
- 23 occur when -- and I'm sorry to interrupt you,
- 24 but does it occur when it's written or posted or
- when it's read or downloaded?

1 MR. COLE: It occurs when -- it's when 2 the speaker acts. And -- and -- and, of course, 3 schools are perfectly permitted to ban cell phones, et cetera, in school, and, indeed, 4 5 Mahanoy High School does precisely that. 6 So the -- the question is, is the 7 speaker under the supervision of the school? And if the speaker's under the supervision of 8 9 the school, you can stop him from swearing. If 10 the speaker's under the supervision of the 11 school, you can stop them from publishing an 12 article about teen pregnancy. 13 But, if the speaker is at home on the 14 weekend, you can't stop her from publishing a --15 an article about teen pregnancy and you can't 16 stop her from swearing. Her parents could, and 17 it's her parents' job to -- to -- to regulate, 18 not the school's job, at that -- at that 19 location. 20 JUSTICE THOMAS: So, if the -- if --21 if the speaker sends an e-mail that is opened, 22 sends an e-mail over the weekend, but it's 23 opened on Monday morning in math class, as far 24 as you're concerned, the speaker is not under 2.5 the supervision of the school?

```
1
                MR. COLE: The speaker was not. When
 2
      the speaker spoke is the -- is the -- is the
      relevant time.
 3
                JUSTICE THOMAS: Okay.
 4
 5
                MR. COLE: And, again --
                JUSTICE THOMAS: So let me -- let
 6
 7
      me -- let me go to another area just briefly.
                Is there any difference between the
 8
 9
      regulation of athletes or participants in
10
      after-school programs, as Justice Sotomayor
11
     pointed out, is there any difference between
12
      that organization, after-school organization or
13
     activity regulating a student's conduct versus
14
      the school regulating the overall student body
15
     population?
16
                MR. COLE: No, I think, with respect
17
      to whether Tinker should apply, no, that is --
18
      Tinker should apply in school supervised and
19
      sanctioned settings, which would include at
20
     practice or at a game, et cetera, on the bus to
21
      the game, and not when a person is sitting in a
2.2
      convenience store on the weekend out of season.
23
                But I do think there's a separate
24
      question, Justice Thomas, which is independent
25
      of Tinker, and -- and the court of appeals
```

- 1 addressed this as a separate question. After it
- 2 decided Tinker doesn't apply, it didn't end the
- 3 decision, it went on and had a whole separate
- 4 section on whether the school can impose
- 5 conditions on voluntary participation in the
- 6 activity and -- and whether she violated those
- 7 conditions.
- 8 And it looked at that question, which
- 9 is a separate question, and it found that she
- 10 didn't violate any of the conditions that were
- imposed upon her, and -- and that was the end of
- 12 the matter. And the Petitioners did not seek
- 13 appeal in this court of that part of the
- 14 question.
- So I do think there's a -- a -- a
- 16 serious question what sorts of conditions can
- 17 a -- can a team impose on voluntary
- 18 participation in that activity. But that
- 19 question's not posed here because it's not part
- of the question presented, and it's not part of
- 21 the question presented because they lost on that
- 22 below. The court found she didn't violate any
- of the rules that she agreed to follow, and they
- 24 didn't appeal on that matter.
- 25 JUSTICE THOMAS: So let me ask you one

- 1 last question. You mentioned that the
- 2 disruption -- I -- I think that's your term, the
- 3 term that we -- we've been using -- can be
- 4 regulated.
- 5 Why wouldn't -- would you allow under
- 6 your formulation a school to take preemptive
- 7 steps to prevent disruption, or does a school
- 8 have to wait -- await disruption before it can
- 9 respond?
- 10 MR. COLE: Oh, no. Absolutely, it can
- 11 respond to predictions of disruption, reasonable
- 12 predictions of disruption. That's what the
- 13 Court said in Tinker. You don't have to wait
- 14 for the disruption, but there does have to be a
- 15 reasonable prediction of disruption and as to --
- JUSTICE THOMAS: What does that mean,
- 17 though?
- MR. COLE: -- whether --
- 19 JUSTICE THOMAS: What's a reasonable
- 20 prediction?
- MR. COLE: Well, so -- so -- so what
- 22 the courts have done is they've looked at
- 23 evidence of -- of whether or not the -- the --
- 24 the -- the speech itself might lead people to be
- offended in a disruptive fashion, and so, if in

- 1 the past people have been offended in a
- 2 disruptive fashion, you can now silence the
- 3 speaker.
- 4 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 6 Breyer.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Thank you.
- 8 The difficulty I have I've already
- 9 mentioned. A few years ago, a superintendent of
- 10 schools, I think in San Francisco, said, you
- 11 know, schools have changed a lot, public
- 12 schools, since when I went there. He said,
- today we don't just teach classical subjects.
- 14 We're there to help the child have adequate
- 15 health, in many cases, to see that he's
- 16 adequately fed. In quite a few cases, we become
- 17 a caretaker, and we don't want to send them home
- immediately because there's nobody home, and we
- 19 have to plan after-school activities.
- 20 There are dozens of areas that didn't
- 21 used to be thought of as within the purview of
- 22 the public school. Today, in many places, they
- 23 are.
- Now add to that the Internet and the
- 25 Internet not just listening to teachers but also

- doing homework and also writing papers,
- 2 sometimes vaguely defined and sometimes and
- 3 sometimes.
- 4 How do I get a standard out of that?
- 5 I'm frightened to death of writing a standard.
- 6 And Tinker, after all, doesn't really write a
- 7 standard. It just says you can't regulate
- 8 school unless it substantially disrupts or hurts
- 9 somebody else.
- 10 It doesn't say if it does that you can
- do anything you want. You still must use some
- 12 kind of test like proportionality or something
- 13 else, and I can mention that often outside of
- school it's the parents' job, not the teachers'
- if, by the way, there are parents in the house,
- 16 et cetera, and I can mention the differences and
- say take those into account, but I do not see
- how to go beyond that, and any suggestions you
- 19 have will be welcome.
- 20 MR. COLE: Sure, Justice Breyer. I --
- 21 I -- I think it's important that there be clear
- 22 lines. That's why the Third Circuit issued the
- decision it did, to make sure that there were
- 24 clear lines.
- 25 Within the context of school

- 1 supervision, whether it's an after-school
- 2 program, whether it's a class trip, whether it's
- 3 in the classroom, Tinker applies, and Tinker
- 4 does mean that the school can shut down a
- 5 speaker if that speaker -- that -- those words
- 6 are going to lead to disruption, period.
- 7 Whether it's political, whether it's
- 8 religious, that's -- that's the state of the law
- 9 in -- in -- in the cases below. I don't
- 10 know where the other side gets this exception
- 11 for political or religious speech. It just
- 12 doesn't exist based on the case law.
- But outside of school, the priority is
- 14 not to give the school discretion to regulate
- 15 kids' speech. It's to protect people's freedom
- of speech outside of school. So our -- our line
- is -- is, I think, quite simple.
- In school, you can apply Tinker. Out
- of school, you can't. What does that mean? It
- 20 means you can't punish out-of-school speech
- 21 because listeners in school might be disrupted
- 22 by the message. It means you can regulate
- threats, bullying, harassment, and cheating.
- 24 But it says that when you're doing that for
- 25 out-of-school conduct, you follow the same rules

- 1 that everybody else follows, with some, you
- 2 know, adjustment for the fact that it's kids
- 3 that are involved, but what we have then is a
- 4 tailored approach which deals with the specific
- 5 problems at issue, rather than a sledgehammer
- 6 approach, which says we're not going to try to
- 7 define bullying or harassment or cheating or
- 8 threats; we're just going to say, if the school
- 9 can call it disruption, they can punish it, even
- 10 if it occurs on the weekend.
- I think that's a very, very dangerous
- 12 proposition in terms of young people's free
- speech, and the Court should be very clear, as
- 14 the Third Circuit was.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Thank you very much.
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito.
- 17 JUSTICE ALITO: Mr. Cole, there is a
- 18 huge gap between the broad and very important
- 19 free speech issues that have been briefed and
- 20 discussed this morning and the particular
- 21 incident involved in this case. If we're going
- 22 to address the broad issues, then I, for one,
- think we need clear rules that protect freedom
- of speech.
- 25 On the other hand, if the Court,

- 1 having decided to take this case, wants to
- 2 decide it without addressing those broad issues,
- 3 of course, the Court could dismiss the case, and
- I assume you wouldn't have an objection to that.
- 5 But, if the Court doesn't do that,
- 6 could the Court do something along these lines:
- 7 Say Tinker applies in school. It says nothing
- 8 one way or the other about what a school may or
- 9 may not do to student speech outside the school.
- 10 We look at the particular comments made here.
- 11 They're made in colorful language, but,
- 12 substantively, they boil down to something like,
- 13 I have no respect for the school, I have no
- 14 respect for the cheer squad, I hate the school,
- I hate the cheer squad, I also hate my private
- 16 softball team.
- 17 A school can't discipline a student
- for off-campus speech that does no more than
- 19 say, I hate the school, I have no respect for
- 20 the school?
- MR. COLE: So, you know, we are --
- we're satisfied, Justice Alito, with a ruling in
- our favor, whether you dismiss as improvidently
- 24 granted, whether you say under no conceivable
- 25 circumstance, under no conceivable test is this

1 speech proper to -- to -- to punish. 2 But that -- that, of course, is not 3 the question that the Court took, and if you're going to address the question that the Court 4 took, which is should Tinker and its broad-based 5 free-floating substantial disruption standard, 6 7 which has no safe harbor, as the government puts it, for political speech or religious speech, 8 9 should that be applied to kids not only when 10 they're under the school's supervision and 11 they're captive and they're more vulnerable, but 12 should it apply to kids on the weekend in the 13 middle of summer when they're talking to their friends on Snapchat? In -- in -- in essence, 14 15 should they -- should the -- the speech rights 16 of young people be constrained throughout their 17 lives as much as it is constrained in school? 18 Schools are areas of strict 19 They should be. They have to be. discipline. 20 But kids shouldn't have to carry that discipline 21 out with them when they're -- you know, when 2.2 they're hanging out with their friends on the 23 weekend. And that's the -- that's the approach that both the Petitioner and the Solicitor 24 2.5 General would -- would put forth.

1 We're asking for a clear line, as the 2 Third Circuit said, that -- that furthers the 3 really, I think, critically important interest outside of the school context that we protect 4 free speech, give kids the breathing space they 5 6 need to be able to talk candidly and honestly, 7 to share their emotions, to share their feelings, even about school, without fear that 8 9 some administrator is going to say, oh, well, 10 that was disruptive, or that's going to lead 11 somebody else in school to be upset, and so we 12 -- we're going to punish you. 13 JUSTICE ALITO: You mentioned 14 bullying, and I'm concerned about comments that 15 do touch on important issues but relate directly 16 to a student. So is there anything that a 17 school can do about that? You say, I guess, 18 that they have -- the school has no more 19 authority in this area than other government 20 officials. So what can other government 21 officials do about that without violating the 2.2 First Amendment? 23 MR. COLE: Well, so there are -- there 24 are bullying codes throughout the country. 2.5 They're generally limited to the school

- 1 environment, just as, you know, sexual
- 2 harassment is generally limited to the
- 3 employment and educational contexts. So it --
- 4 it may be a context-specific concept.
- 5 But I think that what schools can do
- 6 is they can punish those who bully in ways that
- 7 violate a constitutional prohibition on
- 8 bullying. And we think a prohibition on
- 9 bullying that mirrors the prohibition on
- 10 harassment by being limited to severe or
- 11 pervasive interpersonal aggression that
- interferes with access to education could well
- 13 satisfy the First Amendment. This Court hasn't
- 14 addressed that yet.
- But what the Petitioner's approach
- 16 would do and what the Solicitor General's
- approach would do is say we don't even have to
- 18 address the question of, you know, how you
- 19 define bullying because we'll just call it
- 20 disruption and -- and the school can regulate it
- 21 wherever it happens.
- 22 And that makes no distinction between
- what's mean and what is bullying. And there are
- 24 -- there are important distinctions to be made
- 25 there, and I think --

- 1 JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah, thank you, Mr.
- 2 Cole.
- 3 MR. COLE: -- the First Amendment
- 4 requires them.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: My time is up.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 7 Sotomayor.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Cole, the
- 9 problem with your line-drawing is we have
- 10 traditional categories: fighting words,
- 11 obscenity, true threats. We even have
- 12 definitions of what constitutes sexual
- 13 harassment. The level at which speech has to
- 14 arrive to meet those standards is very, very
- high, and I'm dubious that most of the conduct
- that teenagers engage in would fit any of our
- 17 traditional categories.
- So let's talk about harassment, okay?
- 19 A common episode, I think I read it in a
- 20 newspaper, a young girl is subjected to -- each
- 21 time she goes out of the house, whether she's in
- the playground, not the school playground, or
- 23 walking to school with a group of classmates
- 24 walking by and saying, you're so ugly, why are
- 25 you even alive?

```
1
                That's not a true threat. They're not
 2
      threatening her with any bodily harm.
                                             It is not
      harassment if that's all -- if they're just
 3
      speaking. So -- and they're not interfering
 4
 5
      with her movement to or from school. Why --
      that would be the kind of situation that I don't
 6
 7
      see a First Amendment category fitting.
                So, under your theory of this case,
8
      would the school be powerless?
 9
10
               MR. COLE: Absolutely not.
                                            The -- the
11
      school would be permitted to regulate that
12
      conduct if it satisfies a First Amendment,
13
     permissible definition of bullying. And we
14
      think a First Amendment, permissible definition
15
     of bullying, like a first --
16
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Is what?
17
               MR. COLE: -- is severe -- severe or
18
     pervasive interpersonal aggression --
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's aggressive
19
20
     about that?
21
               MR. COLE: -- that interferes with
2.2
      access to education --
23
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's --
24
               MR. COLE: -- which is, in fact, the
      standard that both of the --
2.5
```

```
1
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I -- counsel,
 2
     please, stop. What's aggressive about it?
 3
     Basically walking by someone and saying, you're
      ugly, why are you around? There's a lot of
 4
 5
      conduct that comes to the edge. You're now
 6
      asking schools to determine what is
 7
      constitutional in terms of misbehavior by
8
      students that they can attempt to control or not
      control?
 9
10
               MR. COLE: Your Honor, within the
11
      school context, all they have to find is that
12
      it's disruptive. You could be -- one student
13
      could be being mean to or teasing a student next
14
      to them, and the school can come in because it's
15
     disruptive, period, end of -- end of story. And
16
      that's what -- how --
17
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But you're saying
18
      they can't do it --
19
               MR. COLE: -- how --
20
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- if the -- if
21
      that's happening outside the school grounds?
2.2
               MR. COLE: That's right. That's
23
      right, because outside of the school, the -- the
     school -- the school doesn't exercise
24
25
      supervisory authority. The parents do. Outside
```

- of the school, the child is -- has the
- 2 protection of its -- its parents; inside the
- 3 school, it doesn't. Inside the school, the --
- 4 the child is captive; outside the school, it's
- 5 not. And that doesn't mean you can't deal with
- 6 bullying and harassment.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right.
- 8 Counsel, you said to me --
- 9 MR. COLE: You just have to do so --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- you said to me
- 11 that there could be conditions to being a member
- of a team, correct?
- 13 MR. COLE: Yes.
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could one of those
- conditions be that you won't post foul language
- 16 on social media?
- 17 MR. COLE: I think the question would
- 18 be whether that's necessary or -- or even
- 19 reasonable in terms of the --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, let's --
- 21 let's look at --
- MR. COLE: -- the purposes of team.
- 23 So, if it were, yes.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- this school
- 25 code. It doesn't go far -- far enough away, but

- 1 it says: We -- we want the highest -- must earn
- 2 the right to represent the school by conducting
- 3 themselves in such a way that the image of the
- 4 -- of the school district would not be tarnished
- 5 in any way. Our cheerleaders are team members.
- 6 Using foul language on social media or at any
- 7 school function would be -- would be a
- 8 tarnishment. You can't -- you won't do it, or
- 9 you'll be punished.
- Is that a contract that's enforceable?
- MR. COLE: So I -- I -- I think the --
- 12 the -- the -- that is a -- again, that's --
- that's a question that's outside of the scope of
- 14 the question presented because they did not
- petition from the determination that she didn't,
- in fact, violate those rules. She spoke out of
- 17 season. She did not speak at an event.
- But, yes, I think teams have quite a
- 19 bit of leeway in terms of imposing conditions on
- 20 players as long as they're set out in advance
- 21 and the players agree to abide by them and
- 22 they're reasonably tied to -- to the -- you
- 23 know, the -- the needs of teenagers.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So why is it -- I
- 25 know that -- what the court found below, but one

- of the things that it says here, the negative
- 2 information rule, or the cheerleading rules
- 3 provided, there will be no toleration of any
- 4 negative information regarding cheerleading,
- 5 cheerleaders, or case -- or coaches placed on
- 6 the Internet.
- 7 Why isn't what your client did a
- 8 violation, a clear violation of that part of the
- 9 code?
- 10 MR. COLE: The court of appeals found
- 11 that there was no information whatsoever in what
- she presented, and, again, the Petitioners did
- 13 not appeal that determination.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan.
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Cole, you're
- 16 making Tinker basically a -- a -- a
- 17 geographically -- a geographic test. And it's
- 18 possible to read it that way, but it's -- it's
- 19 also possible to understand Tinker as a decision
- about what's necessary for a school's learning
- 21 environment.
- 22 And it might be that student speech
- that occurs outside of school is sometimes going
- 24 to cause fundamental problems, disruption of the
- 25 school's learning environment, and I guess then

- 1 the question is why we shouldn't acknowledge
- 2 that and allow a school to deal with it.
- 3 MR. COLE: So, Justice Kagan, our test
- 4 is not a geographic test. It's a supervise --
- 5 supervision test. It's the test that this Court
- 6 has applied in all the school speech cases.
- 7 And I think there's two reasons. The
- 8 first is Tinker is an exception to the bedrock
- 9 principle that you can't punish a speaker
- 10 because the listeners objected, even if they
- object disruptively. If you take away the line
- between what happens under school supervision
- 13 and -- and what happens outside, you have
- 14 eliminate -- you have turned the exception into
- the rule for 50 million public high school
- 16 students.
- 17 The second reason is that this Court's
- school speech cases are contrary to that notion.
- 19 The preg -- the -- the article about teen
- 20 pregnancy that this Court said in Hazelwood
- 21 could be censured because it was in a
- school-supervised newspaper, if the student went
- 23 home and published the same teen pregnancy
- 24 article on her own private blog, it would have
- 25 the same concerns, the same effect, the concerns

- 1 that were about privacy of other students, and
- 2 yet the school couldn't regulate it.
- 3 Swearing, the -- the -- or --
- 4 or -- or -- or Bong Hits 4 Jesus, the Bong Hits
- 5 4 Jesus sign, in terms of promoting drug use,
- 6 would have the same effect if it was put out of
- 7 the kid's bedroom window while the students
- 8 walked by on their way to school or put on his
- 9 Facebook page. And yet --
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: So -- so, Mr. Cole --
- 11 MR. COLE: -- the Court was very clear
- 12 you can't --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: -- I mean -- I mean,
- those are some easy cases, but you've also been
- asked about bullying cases, harassment cases,
- and -- and you've tended to say, well, sure,
- don't -- don't worry, you can deal with that
- 18 because there may be constitutional codes that
- 19 are anti-bullying or anti-harassment. And --
- and there may indeed.
- But I think we have a general sense
- 22 that schools have more latitude over this kind
- of speech than -- than other government
- officials, so I'll just give you one example.
- 25 Suppose that there are boys in a

- 1 school who have a website and -- and -- and rank
- 2 all the girls in the school on -- on matters of
- 3 appearance and -- and -- and such things, or
- 4 maybe talk about their sexual activities. And
- 5 we wouldn't put people in jail for that, you
- 6 know, outside of a school context, but it seems
- 7 as though a school should be able to deal with
- 8 it. Why not?
- 9 MR. COLE: I think a school should be
- 10 able to deal with it. And I think that's what
- 11 -- the bullying laws actually reflect that
- 12 intuition. There's -- there's no prohibition on
- 13 bullying generally between adults.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, let's say that
- 15 this --
- MR. COLE: So I don't think --
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- I mean, I'm just
- 18 stipulating that there are some categories of
- 19 speech that we could not punish outside the
- 20 school context and ask you if you can't imagine
- 21 cases where, even though we couldn't punish it
- 22 outside the school context -- and I think mine
- is a good example, you can't -- you can't put
- 24 people in jail for commenting on other people's
- appearance. But shouldn't a school be able to

1 deal with it? 2 MR. COLE: So, yes, a school should be 3 able to deal with it, but the -- but the way to do that is with a test that addresses that 4 particular problem. As -- as Justice Alito's 5 opinion in the Saxe case for the Third Circuit 6 7 said: The mere fact that you call it harassment doesn't mean the First Amendment goes away. You 8 9 still have to assess, is it narrowly tailored or 10 does it punish too much speech? 11 And -- and that question could well be 12 affected by the school environment, could well 13 be affected by the fact that kids are involved, 14 but you should ask that question and decide that 15 question with respect to a particular problem, 16 like bullying or harassment, rather than adopt a 17 broad-brush, free-floating disruption standard 18 that, yes, it might -- it might reach that, but 19 it also reaches political speech, it reaches 20 controversial speech. 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, what do you think about the SG's test, which basically says, 22 23 you know, when you get -- there is a distinction

between in school and out of school, and we --

we -- we -- we can't punish anybody for wearing

24

2.5

- 1 a confederate T-shirt outside of school, but,
- 2 once the outside-of-school speech is really
- 3 about the school and affects the operations of
- 4 the school, then it is subject to Tinker again?
- 5 MR. COLE: I think the -- the -- the
- 6 SG's test is -- is the vaguest test that's been
- 7 put before you. It would require schools to
- 8 distinguish between speech that is spoken to a
- 9 student as opposed to speech that is targeted at
- 10 a student, speech that is about a program as
- 11 opposed to speech that is targeted at the
- 12 program. It would allow schools to define in --
- in an unreviewable fashion what is essential to
- 14 any school program. So suppose a school said --
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Thank you, Mr. Cole.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 18 Gorsuch.
- 19 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yeah. I'd like to
- 20 follow up with where you left off with Justice
- 21 Kagan. I'm -- I'm struggling to understand the
- delta or difference between your test and the
- 23 Petitioners at the end of the day with respect
- 24 to off-campus speech that results in a person on
- campus being denied an educational opportunity,

- 1 which is what I understood your test for -- for
- off -- off -- off-campus bullying to be.
- What -- what is the difference between
- 4 that and -- and a substantial disruption
- 5 on-campus test?
- 6 MR. COLE: I think the difference -- I
- 7 think there's really two basic differences,
- 8 Justice Gorsuch. The first is that their test
- 9 is disruption covers anything that anybody says
- off campus that might have an effect on campus.
- 11 So it would --
- 12 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Okay. So -- so let
- me just pause there. I mean, I'm sorry to
- interrupt, but let me just pause there and say,
- 15 rather than substantial disruption, you would
- say it has to disrupt an individual's
- 17 educational opportunity. I -- I -- again, I'm
- 18 just --
- 19 MR. COLE: No.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- not sure what
- 21 that difference is.
- MR. COLE: We would say -- so, no, no,
- 23 maybe I miss misstated. Our position is that
- 24 bullying can be regulated, like harassment,
- 25 consistent with the First Amendment, where it is

- defined as interpersonal aggression so severe or
- 2 pervasive as to interfere with access to
- 3 education.
- 4 All of that is critical to that
- 5 definition. It's not just had an effect on the
- 6 school, whereas their test is just had an effect
- 7 on the school, and, therefore, their test would
- 8 encompass someone who puts up a sign that says
- 9 "Blue Lives Matters More" or somebody who
- 10 criticizes the coach for physically abusing
- 11 players or somebody who organizes an off-campus
- 12 protest of the school's COVID policies.
- 13 All of those things could be
- 14 disruptive, but they wouldn't be bullying, they
- wouldn't be harassment, they wouldn't be
- 16 threats. And under our rule --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Why wouldn't --
- 18 MR. COLE: -- they would be protected.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- why wouldn't they
- 20 be bullying under your definition? With severe
- 21 interpersonal -- I'm sorry, I missed the rest of
- 22 it. It -- it strikes me as, you know, you could
- 23 -- you could easily take a lot of those examples
- 24 and put them in -- in that bucket.
- MR. COLE: I -- I don't think so,

- 1 Justice Gorsuch. Putting up a sign that says
- 2 "Blue Lives Matter More" is not bullying under
- 3 any reasonable definition, nor is
- 4 whistleblowing about a coach's physical abuse,
- 5 or calling for a protest of a school's COVID
- 6 policies. But all of those things could be
- 7 disruptive in the school.
- 8 And under their test -- under their
- 9 test, they could therefore punish the speaker
- 10 for expressing those -- those messages.
- 11 The other difference between our test
- 12 and their test is that our test would require
- 13 careful definitions of bullying, harassment, and
- 14 the like, rather than just waving your hands,
- 15 calling it disruption, and -- and going away.
- And the problem with that is then you're not
- 17 distinguishing between, you know, ordinary mean
- comments or teasing and bullying and harassment.
- 19 And this Court in Davis and Justice
- 20 Alito in the Saxe decision said you have to make
- 21 those distinctions if you're going to be
- 22 consistent with the First Amendment.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: But you -- you would
- 24 agree if I understand it, though, that there
- 25 could be some school-specific First Amendment

- 1 regulations, right, I mean, as Justice Kagan
- pointed out?
- 3 MR. COLE: Yes.
- 4 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yeah. All right.
- 5 And then let me ask you just to turn to another
- 6 topic and back to the facts of this case. Why
- 7 doesn't it make a difference that the -- that
- 8 the speech here was addressed by -- in the
- 9 context of an extracurricular activity and that
- 10 the standards there may be different from,
- 11 higher than what may be required of all students
- in the school environment?
- MR. COLE: So I think it -- it can
- 14 make a difference, Justice Gorsuch. It doesn't
- make a difference to the question presented,
- 16 which is simply whether Tinker applies --
- 17 JUSTICE GORSUCH: No, I understand
- 18 that. I'm moving now from the general to the
- 19 specific --
- 20 MR. COLE: Right.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- if we're going go
- down the road of writing a narrow opinion.
- MR. COLE: Right. So to the specific,
- 24 I think there are -- there are serious questions
- 25 about sort of what -- what sorts of -- because

- 1 extracurriculars are voluntary, schools can --
- 2 can require students to agree to certain kinds
- 3 of conditions on participation in the program as
- 4 long as they're set out in advance and
- 5 consistent with the First Amendment.
- 6 And so, for example, I think a school
- 7 could say if you're going to play on our team,
- 8 you can't personally demean other players. And
- 9 if they set that out in advance and the student
- 10 agrees to it and then the student does it, they
- 11 can punish the person -- student. If they don't
- set that out in advance and the student says
- 13 something demeaning, they can bring the student
- in and say, hey, that's not acceptable. The --
- 15 the condition for playing on this team is that
- 16 you don't demean others.
- 17 JUSTICE GORSUCH: So the -- the
- 18 outcome --
- 19 MR. COLE: Then -- then there is an
- 20 advanced rule.
- 21 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- the outcome of
- this case hinges on whether there was a policy
- in advance?
- MR. COLE: Well, it does if -- if, if
- 25 the justification for the regulation is

- 1 voluntary participation and agreement by the
- 2 person to a certain set of conditions that would
- 3 otherwise not be permissible. That's a -- but,
- 4 again, that is a separate issue. The -- the
- 5 Third Circuit addressed that issue after it
- 6 decided Tinker didn't apply. It didn't say the
- 7 case is over. It said there's a second issue.
- 8 It may be, even if Tinker doesn't apply, that if
- 9 she violated rules that she agreed to, that's a
- 10 permissible basis for her expulsion from the
- 11 team. And then they looked at it and they found
- she didn't actually violate any of those rules.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Okay.
- MR. COLE: And, again, Petitioners did
- 15 not appeal on that question.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 18 Kavanaugh.
- 19 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you, Chief
- 20 Justice. Good morning, Mr. Cole.
- I obviously think it's unfortunate
- this spiraled, this case, the way it did, and I
- completely understand the young woman's
- 24 reaction, to being upset with the decision. As
- I mentioned to Ms. Blatt, I think that's

- 1 entirely typical and widespread for decades and
- 2 decades when -- when kids are disappointed by
- 3 something like that. And then the coach's
- 4 reaction, you know, it seems like an excessive
- 5 sanction. But we're not there, we don't know
- 6 all the circumstances, and don't want to
- 7 second-guess that too much.
- But I guess I'll start where Justice
- 9 Gorsuch was discussing with you. It just seems
- 10 entirely different to be talking about a team
- and not a school, and I'm just not sure -- and
- 12 I'm going to have some follow-up questions about
- 13 this. I'm really trying to figure out the
- 14 practical difference for courts in the wake of
- this case between we adopt your test and Ms.
- 16 Blatt's test. So, team, and then move on to
- 17 that if you can.
- 18 MR. COLE: So our test is the Tinker
- 19 disruption standard applies within the
- 20 school-supervised settings, not outside. So
- 21 with respect to teams, if you're on the field
- 22 and if you're at practice, if you're at the
- game, if you're on the way to the game, if
- you're -- you know, then you are subject to
- 25 Tinker and disruption applies. If you're on --

- 1 at a convenience store on the weekend, Tinker
- 2 does not apply.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: So suppose the --
- 4 MR. COLE: End of story.
- 5 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Keep going. Keep
- 6 going.
- 7 MR. COLE: End of story. And the fact
- 8 that you're on a team doesn't change that
- 9 question, because there's nothing about your
- involvement in the team that affects the Tinker
- 11 analysis. But there is, I think, a separate and
- independent question, which is what sorts of
- 13 conditions can a school impose on a person if
- they join, say, the football team or the pottery
- 15 club? And those conditions might be different,
- 16 and they were. The -- the -- and I think
- 17 reasonable permissions are going to be
- 18 permissible.
- 19 And here they set forth some
- 20 conditions. She agreed to abide by those
- 21 conditions, and she didn't violate any of those
- 22 conditions. This was -- this happened out of
- 23 season. She did not -- she did not do anything,
- 24 you know, while she was in her cheerleader
- 25 uniform. She did not post -- post any negative

information on the Internet. She basically 1 2 expressed her frustration, as I'm sure you did 3 when you, you know, had -- had disappointing games, and as I did when I had disappointing 4 5 races. And that didn't violate any of the 6 rules, and that's the end of the matter because 7 they have not sought any review of that. So in 8 a separate case, you might take up the question, 9 what sorts of rules are reasonable to impose on involvement in a team? 10 11 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: So, suppose --12 MR. COLE: What I will say is that --13 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Sorry to 14 interrupt. Suppose in this case the Snapchat 15 had been a racial epithet about the coach. 16 MR. COLE: A racial epithet about the 17 I think if they have a rule that says you can't demean other players or the coach, 18 19 can't engage in insubordinate speech to the 20 coach or about the coach, then they could punish 21 her. If they don't have a rule, they could 22 bring her in, they could say that is totally unacceptable. 23

punish her for racial epithets? I -- I guess --

JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: But they could not

24

2.5

```
1
               MR. COLE: No. They --
 2
                JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: -- this goes to
 3
      Justice Gorsuch's question as well. Unless they
     have a clear enough policy in advance, you can't
 4
     punish a student who uses racial epithets in a
 5
 6
      Snapchat about the coach or other players --
 7
               MR. COLE: No, I think--
 8
                JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: -- in -- in your
      view?
 9
10
               MR. COLE: No, I think -- here's what
11
      you can do: I think you can bring that person
12
      in and you could say that is unacceptable. If
13
     you have not set that condition out in advance,
14
     you then set it out. And then if they ever do
15
      it again, they are off the team. So -- so you
16
      can definitely deal with it in a way that
17
     maintains the authority of the coach and the
18
     unity of the team.
19
                But if -- if the justification for
20
      additional requirements on team involvement is
21
      that you have chosen to join the team and,
22
     therefore, you can be asked to sacrifice some of
23
      your First Amendment rights in advance, well,
24
      then you have to be told what you're -- what
25
      you're sacrificing.
```

1	JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I just to pick up
2	on Justice Breyer and Justice Alito, because
3	maybe I don't want to be misunderstood on what
4	I'm saying. I think you could answer the
5	question presented here very clearly without
6	writing a treatise, and that that's the point
7	I was trying to make. And the question
8	presented is just whether Tinker applies off
9	campus. We can answer that yes or no.
LO	If we answer it yes, obviously that
L1	will answer the question presented. If we
L2	answer it no, here's the the point for you:
L3	You still have all these exceptions that allow,
L 4	as I understand it, the First Amendment that
L5	mean that the First Amendment does not
L 6	categorically prohibit public schools from
L7	disappointing students for speech that occurs
L 8	off campus. So I think that's the point that
L 9	Justice Gorsuch was making. You just do it
20	differently than Ms. Blatt. She says Tinker
21	applies off campus. You say Tinker doesn't
22	apply off campus but, by the way, the First
23	Amendment still allows the schools to regulate
24	speech that occurs off campus in a number of
2.5	circumstances. I'm just wondering whether

- 1 that's worth the candle.
- 2 MR. COLE: Well, I think it's very
- 3 much worth the candle.
- 4 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Well, if it -- it
- 5 really creates different results. You're just
- 6 saying that as long as they write the policies
- 7 in advance, it'll be --
- 8 MR. COLE: No, not at all. Not at
- 9 all. I think -- look, there are hundreds of
- 10 Tinker cases. Virtually all of them involve
- suspensions for things that kids do vis- α -vis as
- 12 students. There's about a handful of -- of --
- of team cases. So that's a different category.
- 14 I don't think it's presented by this case for
- 15 the reasons I've -- I've indicated.
- 16 With respect to the basic question
- 17 presented, which is should Tinker apply off
- 18 campus, the delta between our position and --
- 19 and -- and theirs is that ours says -- ours
- 20 protects politically controversial speech. Ours
- 21 protects whistle blowing. Ours protects venting
- 22 frustration, you know, on the weekend. And ours
- does not say that schools can't address
- 24 off-campus speech. It just says if it's off
- 25 campus, you have to address it consistent with

- 1 the First Amendment rules that govern that
- 2 particular problem.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 4 Barrett.
- 5 MR. COLE: And --
- 6 JUSTICE BARRETT: Mr. Cole, I want to
- 7 pick up where Justice Kavanaugh left off. I
- 8 want to make sure I understand your approach.
- 9 Let's talk about the harassment example that you
- 10 were discussing with Justice Sotomayor. Tinker
- doesn't apply off campus, let's say, you know,
- that we're in that world, and you say that the
- school could, much like a city official, if I'm
- 14 understanding this correctly, prohibit
- 15 harassment that rises to the level of
- 16 interference with another student's educational
- opportunities, and I assume that would be
- 18 judged -- if the -- if the school adopts it,
- would you envision that in a bullying statute or
- 20 would you envision that in a school-adopted
- 21 policy? Let's start with that.
- MR. COLE: So I think the schools have
- 23 generally adopted bullying policies. The states
- have required them to adopt bullying policies.
- 25 And there are many, many such policies. And --

- 1 and -- and -- and our view is those are
- 2 constitutional --
- JUSTICE BARRETT: Okay. So let me ask
- 4 you about the test. So the policy from comes
- 5 from the school. So the school adopts a policy,
- 6 say, about bullying, and then it gets challenged
- 7 when a student is disciplined for off-campus
- 8 bullying. Let's imagine Justice Sotomayor's
- 9 example of the, you know, you're so ugly, you're
- 10 so ugly. And it rises to the level where the --
- 11 the child just can't go to school anymore, or
- 12 the example about the disabled student who is
- taunted with sexually graphic images on his way
- 14 home from school. That's subject to a First
- 15 Amendment challenge and what you're saying,
- 16 well, there's a compelling state interest, but
- it's narrowly tailored.
- Is -- is that what you're envisioning,
- 19 content discrimination but --
- 20 MR. COLE: Yeah. Our -- our view is
- just as the sexual harassment law with respect
- 22 to hostile work environment is permissible in --
- 23 in terms of prohibiting severe or pervasive
- 24 harassment that interferes with equal access to
- 25 the employment place, so too a bullying law that

- 1 prohibits severe or pervasive interpersonal
- 2 aggression sufficient to interfere with access
- 3 to education would be constitutional.
- 4 I think it could be constitutional
- 5 under Giboney, as the Court -- this Court
- 6 suggested in R.A.V. with respect to sexual
- 7 harassment, or it would be narrowly tailored to
- 8 a compelling state interest.
- 9 But, if you define bullying, as some
- of the -- the codes do, as anything that would
- 11 cause emotional harm, you know, without these
- 12 kinds of guardrails, I -- I think that's not
- 13 consistent with the First Amendment. And so the
- 14 Court ought to address that in appropriate -- in
- an appropriate case and determine what the
- 16 appropriate definition of bullying is.
- 17 JUSTICE BARRETT: What -- what about
- 18 --
- MR. COLE: This case, of course --
- JUSTICE BARRETT: -- cheating?
- 21 MR. COLE: -- doesn't involve
- 22 bullying.
- JUSTICE BARRETT: How does cheating
- 24 fare? Justice Kagan's example of the student
- 25 who goes home and e-mails out answers to

1 geometry homework. 2 MR. COLE: So -- so Giboney 3 allows for the prohibition of speech integral to prohibited conduct, and -- and -- and that 4 5 covers aiding and abetting. So aiding or abetting cheating is just not protected at all 6 7 under Giboney. 8 JUSTICE BARRETT: Well, what -- what 9 about -- let's see, if you're thinking about something that's a crime, right, aiding and 10 11 abetting is different, but --12 MR. COLE: Right. 13 JUSTICE BARRETT: -- the school can 14 define in-school offenses. We can define 15 cheating as an offense, but what if it defines, 16 you know, demeaning classmates as an offense? 17 MR. COLE: Well, I think it has -- I 18 think -- what Giboney is -- is about is speech 19 integral to prohibited conduct. 20 JUSTICE BARRETT: Right. And what --21 MR. COLE: So we're speaking around 2.2 sort of --23 JUSTICE BARRETT: -- is the prohibited

conduct -- wait, but what -- what is to say --

MR. COLE: It's conduct versus speech.

24

2.5

```
1
                JUSTICE BARRETT: Wait, I'm sorry, go
 2
      ahead.
 3
               MR. COLE: It's -- because the
      difference is conduct versus speech. So the --
 4
      the -- the rationale in Giboney is, if you're
 5
 6
      regulating conduct, the fact that there is some
 7
      speech integral to that conduct doesn't make it
8
      a First Amendment problem. So if the -- and --
      and schools --
9
10
                JUSTICE BARRETT: So the cheating is
11
12
               MR. COLE: -- obviously have broad --
13
                JUSTICE BARRETT: -- your -- your
14
      answer is that the cheating is conduct -- I
15
     mean, the -- the circulation of the answers is
16
     conduct?
17
               MR. COLE: Cheating is -- cheating is
18
      conduct however it's done, and if you aid or
19
      abet cheating through the circulation of
     answers, yes, it can be prohibited.
20
21
                JUSTICE BARRETT: Okay. What would be
22
     wrong with a test like this? One of your
23
     problems is that it's difficult to define the
      school environment and what constitutes the
24
2.5
      school environment. What would be wrong with
```

- 1 saying that the school environment exists when
- 2 the student is relating -- not just supervisory.
- 3 I mean, that -- that's narrow. What about when
- 4 the student is relating to the school in the
- 5 student's capacity as a student?
- 6 So, if the student is directly
- 7 communicating with the school, sending e-mails
- 8 to the school, sending e-mails to a teacher at
- 9 the teacher's school e-mail account, would those
- 10 be within the school environment under your
- 11 definition?
- MR. COLE: Yes, because you would
- 13 be -- you would be subjecting yourself to the
- 14 school's jurisdiction. If you're -- if you call
- the school, if you send an e-mail to the school
- 16 account, you are now subjecting yourself to the
- 17 jurisdiction.
- 18 But if you send a -- a -- a text to
- 19 six of your friends who happen to be classmates
- and you do it on the weekend, you're not
- 21 subjecting yourself to the school's jurisdiction
- 22 and you shouldn't be treated as if you're in
- 23 school.
- JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: A minute to

- 1 wrap up, Mr. Cole.
- 2 MR. COLE: Thank you.
- 3 Everyone agrees off-campus bullying,
- 4 harassment, and threats properly defined can be
- 5 regulated. The difference between the other
- 6 side's test and ours is this: Ours would
- 7 protect political speech, whistleblowing, and
- 8 venting frustration outside school even if a
- 9 principal predicts it will lead to disruption.
- 10 Theirs would not.
- 11 Ours would preserve the rule against
- 12 content discrimination and acts of zeal outside
- 13 school. Theirs would not. Ours would provide
- 14 breathing room for free speech outside school.
- Theirs would empower school officials to monitor
- everything students say to each other anywhere.
- 17 And ours would require clear
- definitions of off-campus bullying and
- 19 harassment consistent with First Amendment
- 20 principles. Theirs would cause disruption and
- 21 dispense with further definition.
- The fact that Petitioner claims it can
- 23 punish B.L. for a momentary expression of
- frustration on a weekend out of school and out
- of season shows how sweeping its approach is.

- 1 Its rule would teach students they can never
- 2 speak candidly with their friends without
- 3 worrying that a school official will deem their
- 4 views potentially disruptive and suspend them or
- 5 otherwise punish them. That is exactly the
- 6 wrong lesson to teach.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 9 counsel.
- 10 Rebuttal, Ms. Blatt.
- 11 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF LISA S. BLATT
- 12 ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER
- MS. BLATT. Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 14 Justice.
- There's some sort of twilight zone
- 16 going on when the head of the ACLU says that
- schools allow hecklers' veto, punishment for
- whistleblowing, any kind of reporting, any kind
- of criticism, all that matters is someone is
- offended. And you have the Biden administration
- and the school districts saying that's not true.
- 22 That's not what Tinker allows.
- Now Mr. Cole said the case law allows
- 24 us to act like Soviets and the North -- North
- 25 Koreans. But the -- since the Saxe opinion, the

- 1 Morse concurrence, Fillmore and Zalon Acts have
- 2 left clean -- clear lines for schools and that
- 3 hecklers' vetoes are not allowed.
- 4 And your choice is this: If you --
- 5 you could choose to either tighten Tinker or you
- 6 can say, well, we're going to assume Tinker is
- 7 out of control on campus, but we will leave open
- 8 season on schools and complete chaos as to what
- 9 their test allows.
- 10 Under their view, all 50 states define
- 11 bullying in terms of Tinker. You have the same
- 12 e-mail chain that would toggle on and off campus
- and you would have the Tinker test applying when
- there's some on-campus speech, and I don't know
- what applies. I think Mr. Cole said it's the
- 16 Tinker test, but he's afraid to use the Tinker
- 17 word because it's scary, and it shouldn't be.
- 18 You're much better off cleaning this doctrine
- 19 up.
- Justice Kagan, you had amazing
- 21 questions about school speech. Remember, our
- test is the audience has to be the school, so
- 23 all of your speech, if it's to the press, the
- 24 police, your pastor, your family, et cetera,
- 25 none of that is school speech even if it

- 1 involves a school topic.
- 2 Justice Barrett, on threats, the facts
- 3 in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, and Bell, nobody
- 4 knew whether that was a threat. The police were
- 5 sort of involved. It is not fair to the parents
- 6 of those other kids to have schools fumbling
- 7 around. Well, I don't know what this applies
- 8 before we had Tinker, part of the threats were
- 9 on campus, part were off, it was on the
- 10 Internet. Let's look at where she drafted it,
- 11 maybe she was to and from.
- Now let's move to the school
- 13 supervision, madness, confusion, and chaos.
- 14 Please don't do this to schools. Mr. Cole said
- you could prevent swearing to and from school.
- 16 That's nuts. You're in the dad's minivan.
- 17 That's school supervision under their view.
- No one thinks Fraser applied there,
- and yet all of a sudden, when you get out of the
- 20 minivan and I guess walking to it, it maybe
- 21 depends on how fair you park, Tinker is going in
- and out of coverage, that rule makes no sense.
- 23 There's no case law on conduct that
- 24 aids and abets school speech. You will have a
- 25 school speech petition. You can keep denying

1	cert, but I guarantee the courts are going to
2	they're going to freak out when Tinker has been
3	the law off campus for 20 years.
4	Thank you.
5	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
6	counsel. The case is submitted.
7	(Whereupon, at 11:52 a.m., the case
8	was submitted.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	82 :22 9
	accom
10 [1] 18:24 10:00 [2] 1:17 4:2	20
10.00 [2] 1:17 4:2 100 [1] 34:6	accour
11:52 [1] 114:7	74 :17 1
111 [1] 3: 14	acknow
12 [1] 37 :19	acknow
12-year-old [1] 65:12	acknow
1969 [1] 11: 20	ACLU [
2	act [3] 3 action
	15,17,2
20 [2] 37 :2 114 :3	activiti
20-255 [1] 4:4	activity
20-year [1] 5:10	11,16 5
200 [1] 9 :12	6,18 95
2001 [1] 11:24	acts [3]
2009 [1] 30 :15 2021 [1] 1 :13	actual
24/7 [1] 62: 13	actuall
25-year-old [1] 65:14	23 35 :4
26 [1] 17 :17	11 97 :1
28 [1] 1: 13	adapt [
	add [2] 4
3	additio
30 [1] 30 :19	addres
32 [1] 44 :23	11 76:2
38 [1] 3: 8	23,25 1
4	addres
4 [4] 3 :4 68 :15 88 :4,5	95:8 97 addres
	addres
5	adds [1
50 [4] 14 :12 17 :16 87 :15	adequa
112 :10	adequa
51 [2] 14 :12 18 :23	adjuste
6	adjusti
61 [1] 3:11	adjusti
7	admini
	admini
7-11 [1] 68 :2	admini
A	adopt [
a.m [3] 1:17 4:2 114:7	24
abet [1] 108:19	adopte
abets [1] 113:24	104:23
abetting [4] 66:22 107:5,6,	adopts
11	adult [3 adults
abide [2] 85:21 99:20	advand
ability [4] 8:13 14:15 16:15	96: 4,9,
63: 5	103:7
able [9] 17 :4 37 :21 38 :15	advano
59 :2 79 :6 89 :7,10,25 90 :3	affect [
Abortion [2] 24:19,21	affecte
abortions [1] 24 :20	affecti
above-entitled [1] 1:15	affects
absolutely [11] 10:10 19:	afraid [
14,17,22,24 26 :24 32 :25	after-s
42 :21 45 :11 72 :10 82 :10	73:19 7
42: 21 45: 11 72: 10 82: 10 abuse [3] 4: 16 35: 14 94: 4	
42 :21 45 :11 72 :10 82 :10	73 :19 7

```
2:22 93:2 105:24 106:2
  commodate [2] 18:16.
  count [5] 53:22 55:18
  4:17 109:9.16
  knowledge [1] 87:1
  knowledged [1] 33:10
  knowledges [1] 33:20
  CLU [1] 111:16
  t [3] 31:15 32:4 111:24
  tion [9] 29:4 66:11.13.14.
  5.17.21.23 67:10
  tivities [2] 73:19 89:4
  tivity [11] 28:23 48:6,10,
   ,16 59:18 66:8 70:13 71:
  18 95:9
  ts [3] 69:2 110:12 112:1
  tual [3] 16:14 24:14 51:8
  tually 9 17:19 24:21 34:
  3 35:4,19 41:23 54:16 89:
  apt [1] 14:9
  d [2] 43:24 73:24
  ditional [1] 101:20
  dress [9] 4:25 28:10 54:
   76:22 78:4 80:18 103:
  3.25 106:14
  dressed [4] 71:1 80:14
  5:8 97:5
  dresses [1] 90:4
  dressing [1] 77:2
  ds [1] 16:4
  lequate [1] 73:14
  lequately [1] 73:16
  liusted [3] 64:23 65:3.6
  liustment [1] 76:2
  liustments [1] 65:14
  ministration [1] 111:20
  ministrative [1] 5:1
  ministrator [1] 79:9
  opt [3] 90:16 98:15 104:
  lopted [3] 18:5 22:25
  opts [2] 104:18 105:5
  lult [3] 50:1 59:12 65:11
  lults [1] 89:13
  vance [10] 60:4 85:20
  6:4.9.12.23 101:4.13.23
  vanced [1] 96:20
   ect [1] 55:9
   ected [2] 90:12,13
   ecting [1] 29:2
   ects [2] 91:3 99:10
   raid [2] 21:17 112:16
   er-school [4] 70:10,12
  3:19 75:1
  encies [1] 18:24
  gression [4] 80:11 82:
18 93:1 106:2
aggressive [2] 82:19 83:2
ago [1] 73:9
agree [9] 32:15,16 49:10
```

97:12

54:6 56:23,25 85:21 94:24 96:2 agreed [3] 71:23 97:9 99: 20 agreement [1] 97:1 agrees [2] 96:10 110:3 ahead [2] 7:8 108:2 aid [1] 108:18 aiding [4] 66:22 107:5,5,10 aids [1] 113:24 algebra [1] 10:3 Alito [20] 14:24.25 16:2.22 **17**:1.24 **19**:4 **45**:13.14 **46**: 9 47:4,20 76:16,17 77:22 **79:**13 **81:**1,5 **94:**20 **102:**2 Alito's [1] 90:5 alive [1] 81:25 allow [7] 25:9 68:5 72:5 87: 2 91:12 102:13 111:17 allowed [2] 53:25 112:3 allows [7] 23:7 61:22 102: 23 107:3 111:22 23 112:9 alluded [1] 41:4 almost [1] 49:2 alone [4] 11:7,13 24:25 67: already [2] 65:15 73:8 although [1] 19:22 altogether [1] 33:15 amazing [1] 112:20 ambassador [1] 21:21 Amendment [41] 5:6 31: 11 **36**:4.19 **37**:7 **43**:12 **56**: 9 57:13 59:17 61:17 63:23 **64:**22.23 **65:**20.25 **66:**14. 18.22.24 67:5.11 79:22 80: 13 81:3 82:7.12.14 90:8 92:25 94:22.25 96:5 101: 23 **102**:14.15.23 **104**:1 **105**: 15 **106**:13 **108**:8 **110**:19 Amendment's [1] 62:14 American [1] 15:19 amicus [3] 2:6 3:7 38:2 among [1] 20:12 analysis [7] 22:19,23 49: 25 56:12 57:9.13 99:11 analytic [1] 46:1 analytical [1] 45:7 analytically [1] 31:14 analyze [1] 41:2 angry [1] 27:17 announced [1] 61:21 another [7] 14:15 18:1 23: 6 41:15 70:7 95:5 104:16 answer [11] 18:21 21:18 **51**:17 **57**:9 **58**:8 **102**:4,9, 10.11.12 108:14 answers [4] 58:5 106:25 108:15 20 anti-bullying [1] 88:19 anti-harassment [1] 88: Antifa [1] 10:21 anybody [3] 21:9 90:25 92:

apologize [1] 36:16 apology [1] 29:9 appeal [4] 71:13,24 86:13 **97**:15 appeals [2] 70:25 86:10 appear [1] 55:14 appearance [2] 89:3,25 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 application [1] 28:25 applied [7] 13:24 37:2 53: 21 63:17 78:9 87:6 113:18 applies [17] 5:13 27:9 32: 22 33:16 34:2,4 61:9 66:5 **75**:3 **77**:7 **95**:16 **98**:19,25 **102**:8,21 **112**:15 **113**:7 apply [24] 4:11 12:11 13:17, 17 **22**:15 **35**:11,24,25 **41**:5 **45**:8 **47**:23 **63**:23,24 **70**:17, 18 **71**:2 **75**:18 **78**:12 **97**:6, 8 **99**:2 **102**:22 **103**:17 **104**: applying [4] 5:11 25:8 47: 13 **112**:13 approach [16] 4:14 7:1,2 **13:**7 **32:**21 **38:**6.19 **45:**8 **46**:19 **76**:4,6 **78**:23 **80**:15, 17 **104**:8 **110**:25 appropriate [3] 106:14,15, 16 approve [2] 6:15 7:14 April [1] 1:13 arbitrarily [1] 4:22 arbitrary [1] 22:11 AREA [4] 1:3 4:5 70:7 79: areas [2] 73:20 78:18 aren't [3] 9:25 26:1 58:19 arguably [1] 30:13 argued [2] 22:7 53:11 arguing [1] 48:9 argument [15] 1:16 3:2,5,9, 12 4:4,7 15:11 22:22 38:1 **41**:11 **54**:6,12 **61**:13 **111**: argumentation [1] 49:6 arise [1] 56:6 around [5] 6:14 18:23 83:4 107:21 113:7 arrive [1] 81:14 arrived [1] 26:13 article [4] 69:12,15 87:19, assess [1] 90:9 assessment [1] 52:20 assume [6] 33:6 34:12 51: 12 **77**:4 **104**:17 **112**:6 assuming [1] 9:3 assuring [1] 64:4 athletes [1] 70:9 attack [1] 46:14 attempt [1] 83:8 attention [1] 46:14 attorney [2] 17:4 61:2

attributed [1] 47:17 audience [12] 5:15 6:23 7: 6,21,24 **50**:24 **53**:23,25 **55**: 6,11 **58:**25 **112:**22 authoritarian [1] 20:21 authorities [2] 54:1 59:4 authority [15] 17:11 33:19 34:4.5 35:14 43:20 45:23 54:17 62:3 63:20 67:3.6 79:19 83:25 101:17 authors [1] 52:2 available [1] 55:11 avenues [1] 54:14 avoid [2] 33:14 52:14 await [1] 72:8 aware [2] 12:24 36:8 away [6] 52:11,18 84:25 87: 11 90:8 94:15 awful [1] 7:15 В B.L [11] 1:6 4:5 37:18 38:7 41:16 45:9 57:15,19 59:22

63:1 110:23 B.L.'s [1] 60:20 baby [2] 15:19 16:5 back [5] 15:16 55:15 58:4 64:25 95:6 backdrop [1] 25:23 backs [1] 62:16 badge [1] 20:14 balance [1] 6:17 ban [7] 22:2 23:4 24:7 26:9 48:18 62:8 69:3 Barrett [28] 33:4,5 34:9,11 35:3 36:20 58:2,3,11 59:3, 15 **60**:7 **104**:4.6 **105**:3 **106**: 17.20.23 107:8.13.20.23 108:1.10.13.21 109:24 113: Barrett's [1] 36:25 based [6] 52:15 61:23 62:

100:1 basis [5] 22:12 42:13 54:9 **57:14 97:10** basketball [1] 30:14

basically [8] 17:22 18:2 20:

18 **23**:16 **83**:3 **86**:16 **90**:22

become [1] 73:16 bedrock [3] 61:18 62:14

24 66:13 67:3 75:12

basic [2] 92:7 103:16

bedroom [1] 88:7

behalf [8] 2:2,8 3:4,11,14 4: 8 **61**:14 **111**:12 behavior [2] 36:11 65:19 believes [1] 18:2

Bell [1] 113:3 belong [1] 46:8 below [4] 4:22 71:22 75:9 85.25

berate [1] 29:12 berated [1] 20:6

acceptable [1] 96:14

acceptably [1] 57:22

access [7] 66:17,20 80:12

carefully [1] 65:24

caretaker [1] 73:17

carry [2] **62**:15 **78**:20

cares [1] 30:7

berates [1] 14:16 best [2] 32:4 34:5 Bethlehem [1] 113:3 better [1] 112:18 **BETTY** [1] 1:8 between [21] 16:14 37:1 38:21 40:10 53:14 63:10 70:8.11 76:18 80:22 87:12 89:13 90:24 91:8.22 92:3 94:11.17 98:15 103:18 110:5 bevond [2] 43:16 74:18 Biden [1] 111:20 big [1] 23:20 bill [1] 23:5 biologically [2] 18:3,4 bit [5] 29:24 30:4 34:13 40: 4 85:19 Black [9] 10:20 11:4.9.11 **24**:4 **26**:13 **48**:13 **62**:21.22 blanket [1] 59:21 blankety-blank [1] 16:5 BLATT [73] 2:2 3:3.13 4:6. 7.9 **6**:19 **7**:17.23 **8**:20 **9**:2 **10**:7,25 **13**:6,21 **14**:25 **15**: 25 16:8,24 17:14 18:11 19: 8,14,17,22 20:6,9,25 21:10, 25 **22**:6,21 **23**:11,22,24 **24**: 13 25:5,12,20 26:3,7,16,17 **27**:4,14,19,24 **28**:11,14,16, 19,24 29:23 31:13 32:13, 17,25 33:2,6 34:6,10,17 35: 4 36:15,23,24 49:11 57:6 97:25 102:20 111:10,11,13 Blatt's [1] 98:16 blew [1] 30:7 bloa [1] 87:24 blowing [1] 103:21 Blue [2] 93:9 94:2 blunt [4] 65:18 66:2,3 67: 12 board [1] 40:10 bodily [1] 82:2 body [3] 42:20 57:22 70:14 **boil** [1] **77**:12 bond [1] 6:16 Bong [3] 68:15 88:4,4 book [1] 18:19 border [1] 23:14 both [9] 5:14.16 6:21 23:7 26:25 35:24 40:4 78:24 82: bothered [1] 30:20 bothers [1] 31:3 bottom [1] 14:19 boys [3] 8:9 10:21 88:25 break [1] 23:19 breakdown [1] 45:12 breathing [2] 79:5 110:14 Breyer [10] 12:1,2 13:14 43: 10.11 73:6.7 74:20 76:15 **102**:2 Breyer's [1] 56:2 brief [3] 13:23 49:22 64:21

briefed [1] 76:19 briefly [1] 70:7 brilliant [1] 24:18 **brilliantly** [1] **24**:18 bring 5 24:11 58:13 96:13 100:22 101:11 bringing [1] 25:17 brings [1] 62:21 broad [5] 67:2 76:18.22 77: 2 108:12 broad-based [1] 78:5 broad-brush [1] 90:17 broadest [1] 55:6 broadly [3] 5:15 54:11 56: 14 brother [1] 16:4 brought [1] 25:23 bubble [1] 30:25 bucket [1] 93:24 building [2] 38:14 48:21 bullied [1] 19:3 bully [1] 80:6 bullying [42] 5:24 14:6 17: 18 **33**:23 **35**:1 **37**:11 **65**:22 66:19 75:23 76:7 79:14,24 80:8,9,19,23 82:13,15 84:6 88:15 89:11,13 90:16 92:2, 24 93:14,20 94:2,13,18 104:19,23,24 105:6,8,25 **106**:9,16,22 **110**:3,18 **112**: bus [2] 10:14 70:20 business [2] 11:1 32:14

but-for [2] 5:19 14:2

cafeteria [1] 63:14 calamitous [1] 5:2 call [7] 18:17.17 67:13 76:9 80:19 90:7 109:14 called [2] 18:13 62:3 calling [3] 8:8 94:5.15 came [1] 1:15 campaign [1] 40:18 campus [39] 5:13,17 6:10, 15 **9**:1 **10**:12,13 **11**:22 **12**: 12,16,19 22:10 25:7,8 34:8 **37**:22 **46**:4 **55**:3 **56**:12 **63**: 11,11,15,15 66:8 91:25 92: 10.10 102:9.18.21.22.24 103:18.25 104:11 112:7.12 113:9 114:3 candidate [2] 49:3.5 candidly [2] 79:6 111:2 candle [2] 103:1,3 cannot [6] 5:12 8:4 12:5,8 **15:1 26:9** capacity [2] 40:16 109:5 captive [3] 53:25 78:11 84: car [1] 10:14 care [1] 21:17 careful [3] 44:3 58:23 94:

carrying [2] 6:14 64:17 Case [57] 4:4 6:22 9:14 12: 13,25 14:12 17:6 19:12 22: 17 24:17.18 25:1.2.5 27:6 28:22 29:24 31:12 33:7 34: 7 35:13.19 36:5 40:7.8 42: 25 **43**:13 **46**:18 **48**:24 **49**: 15.22 **50**:7 **51**:7 **53**:3 **57**: 18.23 75:12 76:21 77:1.3 82:8 86:5 90:6 95:6 96:22 97:7,22 98:15 100:8,14 103:14 106:15,19 111:23 113:23 114:6,7 cases [24] 23:3,7 25:21 34: 18 **35**:24,25 **36**:15 **47**:12 **57**:2 **60**:13 **62**:2 **63**:21 **68**: 11 **73**:15.16 **75**:9 **87**:6.18 **88**:14,15,15 **89**:21 **103**:10, casualties [1] 15:20 categorically [3] 44:8 56: 10 102:16 categories [5] 37:10 65:6 81:10,17 89:18 categorize [1] 39:25 category [3] 34:23 82:7 103:13 causal [1] 38:21 causation [1] 14:2 cause [19] 4:12 5:19 12:17 15:22 24:6 9 25:19 35:15 **39**:19 **44**:13 **45**:20 **47**:16 **51**:13 **54**:4 **56**:22 **68**:1 **86**: 24 106:11 110:20 caused [1] 35:19 causes [2] 52:6 64:15 caveat [1] 54:5 cell [1] 69:3 censorship [1] 63:6 censured [1] 87:21 cert [1] 114:1 certain [6] 20:12.13 42:14 49:13 96:2 97:2 certainly [7] 7:21 12:22 17: 17 35:9 49:15 61:1 68:5 cetera [10] 15:6.6 43:23 45: 17.17 **67:**23 **69:**4 **70:**20 **74:** 16 **112**:24 chain [1] 112:12 challenge [1] 105:15 challenged [1] 105:6 change [2] 64:2 99:8 changed [1] 73:11 changes [1] 52:1 chaos [3] 4:14 112:8 113: characteristic [1] 52:15 characteristics [1] 62:5 chat [1] 55:12 cheating [15] 33:24 34:25

65:23 66:23 75:23 76:7 **106**:20,23 **107**:6,15 **108**:10, 14,17,17,19 check [1] 5:5 cheer [6] 29:6 50:10 59:19 60:22 77:14,15 cheering [1] 20:5 cheerleader [3] 21:19 36: 5 99:24 cheerleaders [4] 43:1 51: 1 **85**:5 **86**:5 cheerleading [8] 38:9 41: 17 **44**:24 **51**:2 **57**:16 **59**:23 86:2.4 chemistry [2] 45:7 51:9 CHIEF [54] 4:3,9 6:7 7:12, 18 **8:**15,18,22 **11:**25 **14:**24 19:6 22:20 26:18,25 29:19, 21 33:3 36:22 37:23 38:4, 25 **39**:21 **40**:23,25 **43**:9 **45**: 13 47:25 50:5 53:8 55:22. 24 **58**:1 **60**:8.10 **61**:10.15 **63**:9.25 **64**:20 **66**:1 **67**:15, 18 **73**:5 **76**:16 **81**:6 **86**:14 91:17 97:17.19 104:3 109: 25 111:8.13 114:5 child [5] 17:20 73:14 84:1, 4 105:11 children [1] 62:20 choice [3] 36:25 66:9 112: choose [1] 112:5 chosen [1] 101:21 Circuit [12] 13:10.15.16.22 **16**:11 **31**:20 **49**:21 **74**:22 76:14 79:2 90:6 97:5 Circuit's [3] 38:6.18 45:7 circulation [2] 108:15.19 circumstance [2] 59:14 77:25 circumstances [2] 98:6 102:25 cite [1] 24:15 cited [2] 25:1 49:22 city [2] 67:6 104:13 civil [1] 59:12 claim [1] 57:14 claims [1] 110:22 clarify [2] 5:16 47:9 class [14] 8:11,23,25 9:17 10:3,3 43:22 52:1 55:15 **58**:6 **68**:3.6 **69**:23 **75**:2 classical [1] 73:13 classmate [2] 16:4 21:8 classmates [9] 20:19 39:5 **51**:17,21 **55**:2 **68**:3 **81**:23 107:16 109:19 classroom [3] 9:9.19 75:3 clean [1] 112:2 cleaning [1] 112:18 clear [16] 17:12.14 46:2 47: 8 **59:**25 **65:**2 **74:**21.24 **76:** 13.23 **79**:1 **86**:8 **88**:11 **101**: 4 110:17 112:2

clearer [1] 19:10 clearly [3] 13:3 23:10 102: clerks [1] 20:12 client [1] 86:7 close [4] 40:9 49:17 50:8 57:1 club [1] 99:15 coach [18] 14:16 20:23 30: 2.3.5 31:15 36:17 44:23 45:10 59:23 93:10 100:15. 17.18.20.20 101:6.17 coach's [2] 94:4 98:3 coaches [17] 14:14,21 20: 7 21:15,23 29:12 30:24,24 31:1 32:1,1,2 36:10 37:18 38:8 51:2 86:5 Cocoa [2] 22:18 29:11 code [2] 84:25 86:9 codes [3] 79:24 88:18 106: cohesion [4] 31:22 35:2 36:9 45:1 COLE [92] 2:8 3:10 61:12. 13.15 **63**:9.16 **64**:1 **65**:5 66:12 67:20 68:9,21 69:1 **70**:1,5,16 **72**:10,18,21 **74**: 20 76:17 77:21 79:23 81:2, 3,8 82:10,17,21,24 83:10, 19,22 **84**:9,13,17,22 **85**:11 86:10,15 87:3 88:10,11 89: 9,16 90:2 91:5,15 92:6,19, 22 93:18,25 95:3,13,20,23 **96**:19,24 **97**:14,20 **98**:18 **99:**4,7 **100:**12,16 **101:**1,7, 10 **103**:2,8 **104**:5,6,22 **105**: 20 **106**:19.21 **107**:2.12.17. 21.25 108:3.12.17 109:12 110:1.2 111:23 112:15 113:14 color [1] 52:2 colorful [1] 77:11 come [5] 6:20 24:2,3 58:12 83:14 comes [3] 10:8 83:5 105:4 coming [3] 36:6 57:25 61:7 comment [4] 40:21 41:10 46:21 22 commenting [1] 89:24 comments [5] 10:18,23 77: 10 79:14 94:18 common [2] 22:12 81:19 communicate [1] 55:5 communicated [1] 55:5 communicates [2] 11:7, communicating [1] 109:7 communication [2] 38:22 59:10 communications [1] 61:6 community [1] 46:13 comparison [1] **30**:12 compelling [2] 105:16 106:

competitive [1] 30:7 complete [1] 112:8 completely [2] 37:5 97:23 complexity [1] 5:2 complicated [1] 67:24 compromise [1] 5:21 concedes [1] 4:24 conceivable [2] 77:24,25 conceivably [1] 57:13 concept [5] 44:15 48:21,21 **53**:21 **80**:4 concepts [1] 47:15 concern [3] 33:25 35:13. 21 concerned [3] 47:7 69:24 79:14 concerns [3] 36:3 87:25, 25 conclude [1] 44:15 concrete [2] 15:15 17:25 concurrence [2] 23:20 112:1 concurring [1] 13:7 condition [2] 96:15 101:13 conditions [14] 71:5.7.10. 16 **84**:11.15 **85**:19 **96**:3 **97**: 2 99:13,15,20,21,22 conduct [20] 14:8 42:15 67: 22 68:7 70:13 75:25 81:15 **82**:12 **83**:5 **107**:4,19,24,25 **108**:4,6,7,14,16,18 **113**:23 conducting [1] 85:2 Confederate [13] 11:7.13 **24:**3.11 **25:**14.18.22 **26:**4. 14 28:2 4 48:15 91:1 confined [1] 65:24 confused [1] 26:22 confusion [1] 113:13 connection [5] 14:22 15:3 46:4 53:1 60:5 consented [1] 21:20 consequence [1] 36:13 consider [1] 17:7 considerations [1] 31:16 considered [3] 39:7 41:20 58:16 considering [1] 39:1 consistent [9] 66:18.21.23 92:25 94:22 96:5 103:25 **106**:13 **110**:19 consistently [1] 67:11 constitutes [2] 81:12 108: 24 constitutional [8] 55:20 **57:**9 **80:**7 **83:**7 **88:**18 **105**: 2 106:3.4 constitutionally [2] 58:17 60:23 constrained [2] 78:16.17 content [5] 50:23 61:18 23 **105**:19 **110**:12 context [28] 5:7 9:21 11:15 12:4 13:3 25:15.25 26:11 **30**:1 **33**:10 **38**:19 **44**:15 **50**:

1 53:20 58:20 60:16 64:24 **65**:4,7,8,8 **74**:25 **79**:4 **83**: 11 89:6,20,22 95:9 context-specific [3] 9:4 17:5 80:4 contexts [1] 80:3 contextual [1] 46:18 continuing [1] 40:18 contract [1] 85:10 contrary [1] 87:18 control [5] 33:13 54:19 83: 8.9 **112:**7 controversial [2] 90:20 103:20 controversies [1] 10:20 controversy [1] 45:20 convenience [3] 62:10 70: 22 99:1 conversation [3] 16:21 20: 19 22:17 conversations [1] 19:21 cooperation [1] 42:5 core [3] 49:7 8 61:17 correct [3] 10:8 15:25 84: 12 correctly [1] 104:14 couldn't [9] 15:23 29:8 42: 7 **48**:11 **54**:2 **60**:18 **68**:17 88:2 89:21 Counsel [10] 6:7 8:16 21: 24 37:24 61:11 67:16 83:1 84:8 111:9 114:6 country [2] 12:21 79:24 counts [1] 44:21 course [4] 69:2 77:3 78:2 **106**:19 COURT [43] 1:1.16 4:10 5: 9.16 7:4 13:24 22:8.9.17 23:7 37:15 38:5 40:8 55: 18 **56:**23 **57:**1 **60:**23 **61:**16 63:17 65:5 68:10 70:25 71: 13,22 72:13 76:13,25 77:3, 5,6 78:3,4 80:13 85:25 86: 10 87:5,20 88:11 94:19 106:5.5.14 Court's [4] 38:24 62:2 63:8 87:17 courts [8] 22:24 25:6 6 53: 21.25 72:22 98:14 114:1 coverage [2] 5:9 113:22 covers [2] 92:9 107:5 COVID [2] 93:12 94:5 crack [1] 54:1 create [1] 4:14 creates [1] 103:5 crime [3] 41:13,16 107:10 criminal [1] 59:12 criminals [2] 23:5 15 critical [4] 5:18 8:3 30:19 93:4 critically [1] 79:3 criticism [1] 111:19

criticizes [1] 93:10

criticizing [1] 19:11

cross [1] 33:11 culpable [1] 5:21 cultivate [1] 58:22 curiae [3] 2:6 3:8 38:2 current [1] 10:19 curse [3] 19:20 20:3,14 cursed [1] 20:3 cursing [4] 19:13,20 22:2,5 cut [3] 30:9.17.25 cuts [2] 30:24 35:6 CVS [1] 10:14 D

d'etre [1] 42:4 D.C [4] 1:12 2:2.5.8 dad's [1] 113:16 dangerous [1] 76:11 daughter [1] 62:21 DAVID [3] 2:8 3:10 61:13 Davis [1] 94:19 day [12] 16:18 19:1 24:25 **29**:12 **46**:6,7 **51**:18,22 **53**: 16 55:1,16 91:23 daylight [2] 53:14,17 deal [11] 18:25,25 65:18 84: 5 87:2 88:17 89:7.10 90:1. 3 101:16 dealing [3] 25:22 27:19 43: deals [1] 76:4 dealt [1] 57:3 death [1] 74:5 decades [2] 98:1,2 decide [4] 43:17 57:1 77:2 90:14 decided [3] 71:2 77:1 97:6 decidina [1] 55:20 decision [8] 4:22 13:25 16: 12 71:3 74:23 86:19 94:20 97:24 decorum [1] 18:15 deem [1] 111:3 defense [3] 21:12,12 64:22 defer [1] 23:12 define [9] 5:3 76:7 80:19 91:12 106:9 107:14,14 108:23 112:10 defined [4] 5:7 74:2 93:1 110.4 defines [1] 107:15 definitely [1] 101:16 definition [8] 82:13.14 93: 5.20 94:3 106:16 109:11 110:21 definitions [3] 81:12 94:13 **110:**18 degree [2] 21:20 49:4 delivers [1] 8:2 delta [2] 91:22 103:18 demean [3] 96:8,16 100:18 demeaning [2] 96:13 107: denied [1] 91:25 denying [1] 113:25

Department [2] 2:5 61:8 depend [1] 22:23 depends [4] 9:6 17:5 38: 12 113:21 Deputy [1] 2:4 derided [1] 37:18 destroy [1] 29:1 destroys [1] 35:1 determination [2] 85:15 determine [3] 47:16 83:6 **106:**15 determined [2] 39:13 50: determining [1] 44:20 differ [1] 45:5 difference [18] 10:6,25 26: 7 **28**:22 **41**:6 **70**:8,11 **91**: 22 92:3,6,21 94:11 95:7,14, 15 98:14 108:4 110:5 differences [2] 74:16 92:7 different [19] 9:10 13 22 11:5 12 20:23 29:13 37:20 **40**:20 **55**:13 **60**:3 **65**:10.13 95:10 98:10 99:15 103:5. 13 **107**:11 differently [3] 34:14 47:23 102:20 difficult [3] 14:13 41:22 108:23 difficulty [1] 73:8 direct [1] 14:2 directed [8] 6:11,13,23 7: 22 39:2 6 8 40:1 directly [3] 62:18 79:15 109:6 disabled [1] 105:12 disagree [1] 53:12 disagreed [1] 59:24 disagreement [2] 54:7,7 disallowed [1] 13:8 disappear [1] 21:7 disappeared [1] 21:14 disappointed [2] 30:9 98: disappointing [3] 100:3,4 102:17 disciplinary [1] 29:4 discipline [9] 15:2 36:4 42: 17.19 **43**:4 **45**:23 **77**:17 **78**: 19.20 disciplined [1] 105:7 disciplining [2] 42:14 56: discovered [1] 59:5 discretion [1] 75:14 discrimination [3] 61:18 105:19 110:12 discussed [1] 76:20 discussing [3] 60:12 98:9 104:10 dismiss [2] 77:3.23 disparaging [4] 38:8 40: during [3] 15:16,18 22:2

dispense [1] 110:21 dispositive [2] 4:23 13:11 disrespect [2] 14:20 21:6 disrespectful [1] 21:16 disrupt [10] 12:23 38:11,23 40:11,17 43:2 44:16,18 51: 9 92:16 disrupted [1] 75:21 disruptina [1] 45:6 disruption [53] 4:13,16 5: 20 7:11 9:6 12:6.18 14:1 15:22 21:4 24:6.10 27:12. 14.22.24 33:14 35:15.20 **39:**19 **42:**13 **43:**21 **44:**13, 21 47:14,16 51:13 52:7 56: 22 62:25 67:3,13 72:2,7,8, 11,12,14,15 75:6 76:9 78:6 80:20 86:24 90:17 92:4,9, 15 **94**:15 **98**:19,25 **110**:9, disruptive [20] 4:18 9:17 10:23 25:10 26:5 8 27:8 **61**:7 24 **62**:9 **64**:13 **66**:7 **72**:25 **73**:2 **79**:10 **83**:12.15 93:14 94:7 111:4 disruptively [1] 87:11 disrupts [2] 42:16 74:8 dissemination [1] 4:20 dissent [1] 23:20 distinct [1] 31:15 distinction [2] 80:22 90:23 distinctions [2] 80:24 94: distinguish [1] 91:8 distinguishing [1] 94:17 distract [1] 45:21 **DISTRICT** [6] 1:3 4:5 22:8. 8 **31**:19 **85**:4 districts [1] 111:21 disturbance [1] 54:4 doctrinal [2] 34:1 60:14 doctrinally [1] 34:5 doctrine [3] 31:11 65:25 **112**:18 doctrines [3] 5:6 14:5 37:7 doing [7] 8:25 12:21 20:1 33:22 54:25 74:1 75:24 DOJ [4] 60:22 25 61:2 4 domain [1] 44:1 done [4] 13:12 68:11 72:22 **108**:18 down [4] 54:1 75:4 77:12 95:22 downloaded [1] 68:25 dozens [1] 73:20 drafted [1] 113:10 draw [2] 20:17 63:10 drawn [1] 16:13 drua [1] 88:5 dubious [1] 81:15 due [2] 31:16 57:14 dueling [2] 23:17,18

19 45:9.10

Е e-mail [5] 69:21.22 109:9. 15 **112**:12 e-mails [6] 51:16.20.24 106:25 109:7,8 each [2] 81:20 110:16 earlier [2] 52:22 57:15 earn [1] 85:1 easier [2] 7:4,8 easily [1] 93:23 easv [3] 35:11.23 88:14 economics [1] 15:5 edae [1] 83:5 edaes [1] 35:7 educate [1] 16:16 education [7] 17:20 66:17. 20 80:12 82:22 93:3 106:3 educational [5] 45:22 80:3 91:25 92:17 104:16 effect [13] 9:13 43:3 45:6. 11 47:7 55:19 56:21 65:19 87:25 88:6 92:10 93:5,6 effectively [1] 62:15 effects [1] 53:4 either [3] 7:3 14:8 112:5 eliminate [1] 87:14 elsewhere [1] 62:7 emblematic [1] 30:21 emotional [1] 106:11 emotions [3] 31:2 63:5 79: emphasize [2] 39:13 53: employ [1] 47:15 employees [1] 40:8 emplover [1] 60:25 employment [3] 61:4 80:3 105:25 empower [1] 110:15 encompass [1] 93:8 encourages [1] 52:14 end [10] 45:2 67:13 71:2,11 83:15,15 91:23 99:4,7 100: enforceable [1] 85:10 engage [4] 42:14 60:4 81: 16 **100**:19 engages [1] 52:24 English [1] 51:25 enhanced [1] 68:14 enjoy [1] 33:8 enough [9] 27:21.23 32:24. 25 56:15.17 66:19 84:25 101:4 enterprise [1] 38:9 entire [2] 14:22 22:22 entirely [5] 50:17 56:23 57: 20 98:1,10 entitled [2] 8:13 11:3 environment [18] 5:4 14: 10 27:8 33:13.17.20 54:4

109:1.10 envision [2] 104:19,20 envisioning [1] 105:18 episode [1] 81:19 epithet [2] 100:15,16 epithets [2] 100:25 101:5 egual [2] 66:16 105:24 equally [1] 45:8 error [1] 5:3 especially [1] 52:13 **ESQ** [4] **3:**3.6.10.13 **ESQUIRE** [2] **2:**2.8 essence [1] 78:14 essential [1] 91:13 essentially [2] 64:17,19 establish [1] 51:7 established [1] 49:18 et [10] 15:5,6 43:23 45:17, 17 **67**:23 **69**:4 **70**:20 **74**:16 112:24 even [31] 6:21 8:3 9:12 15: 21 26:5 29:8 14 39:10 13 **42**:18 **44**:1.17 **46**:25 **47**:12 49:25 54:7.8 59:2.12 60:1 76:9 79:8 80:17 81:11.25 84:18 87:10 89:21 97:8 110:8 112:25 evening [1] 38:8 event [2] 68:16 85:17 everybody [3] 18:13 63:24 everyone [3] 33:9 43:17 110:3 everything [3] 50:19 55:4 **110**:16 everywhere [1] 62:17 evidence [3] 12:19 31:21 72.23 evident [1] 38:11 exact [4] 8:25 9:1 10:4 68: exactly [4] 16:3 65:2 66:5 111:5 example [14] 15:14 16:17 18:1 42:9 43:15 60:19 65: 9 88:24 89:23 96:6 104:9 **105**:9 12 **106**:24 examples [1] 93:23 exceed [1] 13:1 exception [6] 14:8 61:21 **62**:13 **75**:10 **87**:8.14 exceptions [1] 102:13 excessive [2] 31:9 98:4 exchanges [1] 20:20 exclusion [1] 43:5 exempt [1] 44:9 exercise [2] 68:12 83:24 exist [1] 75:12 existing [1] 65:24 exists [1] 109:1 **Expanding** [1] **62**:12 explain [3] 14:1 27:3 53:13 express [2] 8:14 55:1

expressed [1] 100:2

expressing [2] 63:2 94:10 expression [2] 54:14 110: expulsion [1] 97:10 extend [1] 33:19 extension [1] 34:16 extent [2] 31:17 53:13 extra [2] 21:20 54:23 extract [1] 60:3 Extracurricular [8] 14:7 **21**:19 **28**:23 **34**:24 **48**:6 **59**: 18 60:2 95:9 extracurriculars [1] 96:1 extreme [1] 26:12 face [1] 16:18 Facebook [3] 62:24 68:17 fact [17] 16:12 22:18 25:13 51:3 53:24 54:14 57:15 61: 3,5 76:2 82:24 85:16 90:7, 13 **99**:7 **108**:6 **110**:22 factions [1] 23:17 factor [1] 57:12 factors [1] 65:1 facts [5] 17:5 26:13 29:24 95:6 113:2 fail [1] 6:21 failed [1] 13:9 fails [1] 6:22 fair [4] 13:6 22:7 113:5,21 fairly [1] 64:21 faithfully [1] 13:23 fall [2] 34:25 50:14 falls [1] 50:16 false [1] 24:21 Fame [1] 30:15 familiar [2] 14:11 37:1 family [1] 112:24 far [7] 12:23.24 43:11 66:4 69:23 84:25.25 fare [1] 106:24 fashion [4] 61:24 72:25 73: 2 **91**:13 fatal [1] 22:9 FATHER [2] 1:7 62:20 fault [1] 47:18 favor [1] 77:23 fear [4] 58:25 63:6 66:15 **79**⋅8 fed [1] 73:16 federal [2] 18:23.24 feelings [3] 16:20 27:16 79 female [2] 8:7 18:5 few [4] 21:2 51:10 73:9,16 field [2] 36:11 98:21 fight [1] 23:15 fighting [4] 25:25,25 49:24

Fillmore [2] 24:17 112:1 fire [1] 58:14 firmer [1] 47:10 **110**:19 11 **81**:16 fits [2] 5:5 9:3 five [2] 5:5 14:4 flip [1] 55:7 follows [1] 76:1 foot [2] 10:12 13 forecast [1] 9:5 forensic [1] 48:9 foresee [1] 56:5 form [1] 49:19 forms [1] 50:3 fortiori [1] 13:20 10 97:11 fourth [1] 44:20 Fraser [1] 113:18 freak [1] 114:2

find [3] 6:1 48:12 83:11 fine [3] 8:9 23:13 29:15 first [57] 4:4,12,17 5:3,6 26: 3 31:10 36:4,18 37:6 39:9, 10 **41**:10 **43**:12 **44**:7 **47**:12 **51:**4 **56:**9 **57:**13 **59:**17 **61:** 17 **62**:14 **63**:23 **64**:22.23 **65:**20.24 **66:**14.18.21.24 **67**:5.11 **68**:21 **79**:22 **80**:13 **81:**3 **82:**7.12.14.15 **87:**8 90:8 92:8.25 94:22.25 96: 5 **101:**23 **102**:14,15,22 **104**: 1 105:14 106:13 108:8 fit [6] 31:10,11 41:13,16 63: fitting [2] 57:8 82:7 five-vear-old [1] 65:10 flag [9] 11:7,13 24:3,11 25: 22 26:14 28:2,5 48:15 flags [3] 25:14,18 26:5 focus [2] 29:24 48:5 focuses [1] 39:14 follow [3] 71:23 75:25 91: follow-up [1] 98:12 following [2] 33:7 48:4 football [1] 99:14 forecasted [1] 14:16 formulation [1] 72:6 forth [2] 78:25 99:19 foul [2] 84:15 85:6 found [5] 71:9.22 85:25 86: four [2] 34:18 44:7 four-letter [1] 63:2 framework [1] 47:22 Francisco [1] 73:10 Frankenstein's [1] 37:6 Frederick [1] 63:18 free [8] 7:5 33:9 48:25 64: 16 **76**:12.19 **79**:5 **110**:14 free-floating [2] 78:6 90: freedom [4] 47:8 63:7 75: 15 **76**:23 freely [1] 64:5

friends [11] 39:4,7 55:2 63: 3,6 **64**:7,9 **78**:14,22 **109**:19 111:2 frightened [1] 74:5 frustration [5] 63:2 100:2 103:22 110:8.24 fumbling [1] 113:6 function [1] 85:7 functioning [1] 38:12 functions [1] 5:22 fundamental [2] 62:19 86: funding [1] 6:16 further [1] 110:21 furthers [1] 79:2 G game [5] 29:9 70:20,21 98: 23,23 games [1] 100:4

gang [3] 23:18 25:21 27:6 gap [1] 76:18 gate [1] 33:11 gather [1] 66:8 gatherings [1] 55:3 gay [2] 24:5 52:10 General [8] 2:4 15:10 41:4 54:7 60:19 78:25 88:21 95: General's [1] 80:16 generally [7] 30:2 39:6 42: 8 79:25 80:2 89:13 104:23 generic [1] 50:21 geographic 3 38:18 86: 17 **87:**4 geographically [1] 86:17 geography [2] 10:9,16 geometry [3] 51:17 58:5 107:1 aesture [1] 14:17 qets [2] 75:10 105:6 getting [2] 17:20 30:17 Giboney [5] 106:5 107:2,7, 18 **108**:5 girl [2] 65:12 81:20 girls [1] 89:2 give [9] 15:14 18:1 23:3 47: 9 51:10 63:21 75:14 79:5 88:24 given [1] 31:5 gives [1] 30:15 goals [1] 29:1 goodness [1] 12:20 Gorsuch [34] 26:19.20 27: 11,18,20 28:6,13,15,17,21 29:18 53:9,10 54:10 55:21 91:18,19 92:8,12,20 93:17, 19 94:1,23 95:4,14,17,21 96:17,21 97:13,16 98:9 102:19 Gorsuch's [1] 101:3 gospel [1] 25:1

fights [3] 23:18.19 27:7

figure [1] 98:13

figuring [1] 66:5

81:10

62:6 **80**:1 **86**:21.25 **90**:12

95:12 **105**:22 **108**:24,25

gotten [1] 35:12

govern [1] 104:1

government [6] 18:24 60: 16 **78**:7 **79**:19,20 **88**:23 government's [1] 7:2 governs [1] 67:5 granted [1] 77:24 graphic [1] 105:13 greater [2] 55:8 61:7 greatest [1] 30:14 grounds [1] 83:21 group [1] 81:23 groups [1] 46:12 grows [1] 54:18 guarantee [1] 114:1 guard [1] 4:16 guardrails [1] 106:12 guess [10] 19:23 23:21 35: 21 **47**:11 **57**:7 **79**:17 **86**:25 98:8 100:25 113:20 Gulag [1] 11:22 gun [1] 58:13 guts [1] 30:24

Н

Hall [1] 30:15 hand [1] 76:25 handbook [2] 24:15.16 handful [1] 103:12 handle [1] 7:4 hands [1] 94:14 hanging [1] 78:22 happen [2] 68:3 109:19 happened [1] 99:22 happening [1] 83:21 happens [4] 42:25 80:21 87:12,13 harassing [3] 65:12,13 66: harassment [28] 17:19 29: 17 **33**:22 **37**:11 **40**:19 **65**: 23 75:23 76:7 80:2.10 81: 13.18 82:3 84:6 88:15 90: 7.16 92:24 93:15 94:13.18 **104**:9,15 **105**:21,24 **106**:7 110:4,19 harbor [3] 46:2 52:23 78:7 hard [1] 35:24 harder [2] 57:18,23 harm [4] 32:19,21 82:2 106: hate [4] 77:14.15.15.19 Hazelwood [1] 87:20 head [1] 111:16 health [1] 73:15 hear [2] 4:3 9:7 heard [1] 35:17

heckler's [2] 16:1 25:2

hecklers' [2] 111:17 112:3

helpful [3] 14:1 30:1 56:17

high [8] 30:9.22 33:8 42:23

54:24 **69**:5 **81**:15 **87**:15

hecklers [1] 6:3

heightens [1] 5:2

higher [1] 95:11

help [2] 12:22 73:14

highest [1] 85:1 him/her [1] 18:18 hinges [1] 96:22 history [1] 34:13 Hits [3] 68:15 88:4,4 hold [1] 37:15 holding [1] 24:1 home [11] 19:13.16 38:7 47 3 **62**:8 **69**:13 **73**:17.18 **87**: 23 105:14 106:25 homework [4] 51:17 58:5 74:1 107:1 homicide [1] 24:19 homophobia [1] 52:9 Homosexuality [3] 23:6, 13 **24**:4 honestly [1] 79:6 honor [4] 20:14 64:2 66:12 83:10 hope [1] 15:20 hostile [1] 105:22 hot-button [1] 10:19 hours [1] 9:16 house [3] 8:11 74:15 81:21 However [2] 20:16 108:18 huge [1] 76:18 hump [1] 51:6 hundreds [1] 103:9 hurt [5] 12:23 16:20 27:16, 19 **43**:22 hurts [1] 74:8 Hut [2] 22:18 29:11 hypothetical [1] 58:4 hypotheticals [2] 51:11 **56:**6

idea [3] 15:12 49:25 57:21 identical [1] 7:3 identifies [1] 18:5 illegitimate [1] 46:7 image [1] 85:3 images [1] 105:13 imagine [3] 49:2 89:20 105: immaturity [1] 53:23 immediately [1] 73:18 immigration [1] 23:5

immoral [1] 15:19 impacted [1] 48:12 impermissible [1] 59:25 implemented [1] 17:9 implicates [1] 15:8 importance [2] 31:1 64:4 important [11] 30:23 31:6 45:16 46:10 58:19 63:7 74: 21 76:18 79:3,15 80:24 impose [4] 71:4,17 99:13

imposed [4] 41:24 42:7 60: 18 **71**:11

imposing [2] 36:13 85:19 impossible [1] 42:22 impressed [1] 21:11

impromptu [1] 51:22 improvidently [1] 77:23 in-school [2] 57:2 107:14 incident [2] 21:3 76:21 inciting [1] 37:11 include [2] 52:2 70:19 included [1] 50:25 including [1] 35:13 inconceivable [1] 11:15 inconsistent [2] 53:18 61:

increased [2] 34:3 54:15 indeed [3] 45:25 69:4 88:

independent [2] 70:24 99:

indeterminacy [1] 51:4 indicated [2] 57:15 103:15 individual [2] 46:15.21 individual's [1] 92:16 individuals [1] 46:12 inducted [1] 30:15 inflammatory [1] 52:25 information [4] 86:2.4.11

inherent [3] 46:4 53:1 60:5 inherently [2] 5:21 8:12 injury [1] 43:21 inside [5] 44:2 57:25 67:1 84:2.3

Insofar [1] 36:3 instance [2] 46:25 59:22 instantaneous [1] 4:19 instead [1] 60:21

instill [1] 38:13 instinct [1] 56:2 instruction [1] 9:19

instrument [3] 65:18 66:2

insubordinate [1] 100:19 insult [1] 16:19 integral [3] 107:3,19 108:7 intended [2] 38:13 58:25

intends [1] 21:6 intense [1] 40:19 intentionally [2] 46:11 50:

interest [4] 34:19 79:3 105:

16 **106:**8 interests [2] 32:4 38:16

interfere [5] 5:23 62:18 66: 20 93:2 106:2 interference [2] 16:15 104:

interferes [7] 8:12 9:18 17: 19 **66**:16 **80**:12 **82**:21 **105**:

interfering [1] 82:4 Internet [19] 10:8.15 16:10 20:3 29:14 35:7 54:15.22 **64**:1 2 3 3 8 **67**:24 **73**:24 25 86:6 100:1 113:10

Internet's [1] 4:19

interpersonal 5 80:11 82:

18 93:1.21 106:1 interrupt [3] 68:23 92:14 100:14 intervene [2] 38:16 49:13 intimate [1] 64:8 intuition [2] 41:12 89:12 invade [1] 12:7 invites [1] 37:12 involve [2] 103:10 106:21 involved [6] 28:22 48:7 76: 3.21 90:13 113:5 involvement [3] 99:10 100: 10 101:20 involves [4] 39:22 56:13. 13 113:1 involving [1] 57:2 irony [1] 54:13 irrelevant [4] 4:21 5:18 17: 21 44:12 island [1] 60:14 isn't [6] 12:25 20:22 27:21 48:10 67:24 86:7 isolated [1] 45:5 Israel [1] 11:4 issue [11] 12:11 28:10 31: 19 **32**:19 **35**:19 **40**:6 **46**:23 76:5 97:4.5.7 issued [1] 74:22 issues [6] 10:19 35:10 76: 19.22 77:2 79:15

J

itself [4] 5:20 11:1 33:16

it'll [1] 103:7

72:24

JA [1] 44:23 iail [2] 89:5.24 Jesus [3] 68:15 88:4.5 iob [4] 61:2 69:17.18 74:14 Johnny [2] 16:4 18:18 Johnny's [1] 18:19 Johnson [5] 7:16.24 9:15 10:5,24 join [2] 99:14 101:21 joking [1] 30:18 Jones [5] 8:8 39:24 40:13, 19,20 Jones' [1] 16:4 Jordan [1] 31:24 judge [3] 13:7 30:3 44:3 judged [1] 104:18 iump [1] 7:8 jurisdiction [3] 109:14,17. Justice [266] 2:5 4:3,10 6:7 7:12,18 8:15,17,18,19,22 9: 20 **10**:17 **11**:2,25,25 **12**:2 13:14 14:24,24,25 16:2,22 **17:**1,24 **19:**4,6,6,8,15,19, 25 20:8,10 21:1,10,24 22:1, 20,20,21 23:21,23 25:4,16 **26**:2.15.17.18.18.20.21 **27**: 1,1,11,18,20 28:6,13,15,17, 21 29:18,19,19,21,22 32:7,

15,18 33:1,3,3,5 34:9,11 **35**:3 **36**:20,22,25 **37**:23 **38**: 5,25 **39**:21 **40**:23,23,25 **41**: 1,3,10 **42**:10 **43**:8,9,9,11 **45**:13,13,14 **46**:9 **47**:4,20, 25,25 48:2,4,22 49:10 50:5, 5,6,13,18 **51:**10,16,20,24 **52**:4,8,16 **53**:6,8,8,10 **54**: 10 **55**:21,22,22,24,25 **56**:2 **57**:4 **58**:1,1,3,4,11 **59**:3,15 **60**:7.8.11 **61**:10.16 **63**:9.25 **64:**20 **66:**1 **67:**15.17.18.19 **68**:9,19,22 **69**:20 **70**:4,6,10, 24 71:25 72:16,19 73:4,5,5, 7 74:20 76:15,16,16,17 77: 22 **79**:13 **81**:1,5,6,6,8 **82**: 16,19,23 **83:**1,17,20 **84:**7, 10,14,20,24 **85**:24 **86**:14, 14,15 87:3 88:10,13 89:14, 17 90:5,21 91:15,17,17,19, 20 92:8,12,20 93:17,19 94: 1.19.23 95:1.4.14.17.21 96: 17.21 **97:**13.16.17.17.19. 20 98:8 99:3.5 100:11.13. 24 **101:**2,3,8 **102:**1,2,2,19 **103**:4 **104**:3,3,6,7,10 **105**:3, 8 106:17,20,23,24 107:8, 13,20,23 108:1,10,13,21 **109**:24,25 **111**:8,14 **112**:20 113:2 114:5 justification [4] 46:5,8 96: 25 101:19 justified [3] 32:11 49:20 62:4 justify [1] 49:18

K.D [1] 24:17 Kagan [35] 22:20,21 23:21, 23 25:4.16 26:2.15.17.21 27:1 50:5.6.13.18 51:10.16. 20.24 52:4.8.16 53:6 86:14. 15 87:3 88:10,13 89:14,17 **90**:21 **91**:15,21 **95**:1 **112**:

Kagan's [2] 58:4 106:24 Kavanaugh [23] 29:20,21 **32**:7,15,18 **33**:1 **41**:3,10 **55**:23,24 **57**:4 **97**:18,19 **99**: 3.5 100:11.13.24 101:2.8 102:1 103:4 104:7 Keep [3] 99:5,5 113:25 keeping [1] 19:2 keeps [1] 8:8 key [1] 58:8

kicked [1] 36:7 kid [5] 14:10 26:13 28:2,4 30:25 kid's [2] 32:8 88:7

kids [27] 5:9 6:4 11:2 16:24 **19:**2 **20:**18 **24:**20,24 **25:**2 27:25 28:3.8 30:8.22 31:7 **64:**4.6.16 **76:**2 **78:**9.12.20 79:5 90:13 98:2 103:11

mean [35] 12:15,20,22,25

113:6 kids' [1] 75:15 killers [2] 15:20 16:5 killing [1] 19:2 kind [10] 36:10 49:12,16,24 **65**:14 **74**:12 **82**:6 **88**:22 111:18.18 kinds [4] 23:9 59:3 96:2 106:12 Koreans [1] 111:25

lab [2] 48:8.8 language [3] 77:11 84:15 large [2] 20:13 29:3 larger [1] 43:3 last [4] 37:2 52:13,16 72:1 later [2] 30:19 45:1 latitude [1] 88:22 law [15] 17:16,17 20:12 27: 6 34:7 59:12 60:22 65:15 75:8,12 105:21,25 111:23 113:23 114:3 LAWRENCE [1] 1:7 laws [1] 89:11 lead [4] 72:24 75:6 79:10 110:9 leading [1] 24:16 leads [1] 54:16 league [1] 30:11 learn [1] 32:6 learning [3] 35:8 86:20,25 least [4] 12:5 13:2 43:4 48: Leave [2] 24:25 112:7 leeway [1] 85:19 left [4] 26:21 91:20 104:7 112:2 legal [2] 24:21 32:19 legally [1] 32:20 lenath [1] 57:12 less [2] 13:18 44:12 lesson [1] 111:6 level [6] 29:17 58:24 59:10 81:13 104:15 105:10 **LEVY** [2] 1:7,8 life [1] 45:1 likelihood [5] 38:22 40:11 44:13 47:14 56:21 likely [3] 39:19 45:6 54:3 limit [1] 27:4 limitations [1] 42:6 limited [9] 6:4 42:1 43:5 61: 25 62:4,13 79:25 80:2,10 limits [6] 11:19,20 13:19 27:2 37:22 40:1 line [13] 16:13 20:17 32:1 **37:**14 **40:**15 **47:**6 **50:**9,14, 16 63:10 75:16 79:1 87:11 line-drawing [1] 81:9 lines [6] 47:8 65:2 74:22.24 77:6 112:2

link [1] 38:21 links [1] 15:9 LISA [5] 2:2 3:3,13 4:7 111: 11 Listen [1] 18:12 listener [1] 47:19 listeners [6] 5:25 7:11 61: 20.23 **75:**21 **87:**10 listening [1] 73:25 literally [1] 55:14 little [2] 34:13 40:3 Lives [9] 10:20 11:4 24:4 30:23 48:13 62:21 78:17 93:9 94:2 local [1] 68:2 localize [1] 42:15 locate [1] 68:6 location [8] 4:21.22 16:10 32:23 44:11 56:19 67:21 **69**:19 lockouts [1] 5:22 loco [1] 54:18 long [7] 29:10.12 43:4 65: 23 85:20 96:4 103:6 look [14] 12:12 14:5 22:15 24:14 25:7 43:16 44:21 46: 19 47:13 50:1 77:10 84:21 **103**:9 **113**:10 looked [3] 71:8 72:22 97: 11 looking [2] 17:12 50:23 lost [3] 31:19 33:15 71:21 lot [12] 15:20 17:6 22:14 32: 12 34:1 35:11 18 55:13 56: 6 73:11 83:4 93:23

M

LOU [1] 1:8

lower [1] 22:24

made [7] 41:10 55:10 57: 24 60:17 77:10.11 80:24 madness [1] 113:13 MAHANOY [3] 1:3 4:5 69: maintains [1] 101:17 major [4] 27:12,14,22,24 MALCOLM [3] 2:4 3:6 38: male [5] 18:3,3,4,7,8 manner [1] 8:6 many [6] 9:7 20:15 73:15. 22 104:25.25 mashed [1] 37:7 mass [1] 4:19 match [1] 23:16 material [3] 12:6,17 42:12 math [1] 69:23 matter [20] 1:15 7:9 10:2, 21 11:4 13:11 18:15 22:19 24:4 25:10 42:24 48:14 56: 12 62:22 66:7 67:14 71:12, 24 94:2 100:6 mattered [2] 22:13 37:18 matters [4] 15:11 89:2 93:

23:25 31:7,18 32:13 39:5, 9.15 40:3 49:14 50:18 54: 24 63:12 65:3 66:3 67:9 72:16 75:4,19 80:23 83:13 84:5 88:13.13 89:17 90:8 92:13 94:17 95:1 102:15 108:15 109:3 meaningful [1] 38:20 meaningless [1] 10:9 means [12] 15:13 17:25 30: 13.22 31:7 39:17 45:2 46: 13 **64**:10 **67**:10 **75**:20.22 media [13] 4:18 8:10 9:11, 16,24 **10**:1,2 **37**:2,20 **67**:25 **68**:8 **84**:16 **85**:6 meet [2] 13:1 81:14 member [7] 38:10 41:25 **42**:16,18,19 **57**:21 **84**:11 members [4] 38:17 41:6 49:2 85:5 menacing [2] 58:22 59:6 mention [3] 9:23 74:13.16 mentioned [9] 9:21 56:3 **57**:5.6 **67**:21 **72**:1 **73**:9 **79**: 13 97:25 mentions [4] 50:9,10,10, mere [1] 90:7 merely [1] 63:1 message [7] 11:9 41:19,24 60:20 61:20 63:4 75:22 messages [4] 38:10 62:7 68:1 94:10 Michael [1] 31:24 middle [2] 9:17 78:13 might [18] 9:13 40:14,20 43:18 49:18 57:13 61:24 62:24 64:11 65:19 72:24 **75**:21 **86**:22 **90**:18.18 **92**: 10 99:15 100:8 million [1] 87:15 millions [1] 30:8 mind [1] 59:14 mine [1] 89:22 minivan [2] 113:16 20 MINOR [1] 1:6 minute [3] 36:22 60:8 109: minutes [1] 21:2 mirrors [1] 80:9 misbehavior [1] 83:7 misnomer [1] 26:8 miss [1] 92:23 missed [1] 93:21 misstated [1] 92:23 mistakes [1] 19:1 mistreatment [1] 62:22 misunderstood [1] 102:3 modern [1] 63:12

monitor [1] 110:15 monster [1] 37:6 morale [2] 36:12 45:12 morality [3] 15:5 35:2 45: morning [9] 4:4 12:2 29:23 33:5 53:12 56:1 69:23 76: 20 97:20 Morse [2] 63:18 112:1 Most [6] 20:19.20 39:4 63: 7 **64**:7 **81**:15 **MOTHER** [1] 1:8 move [3] 5:9 98:16 113:12 movement [1] 82:5 moving [1] 95:18 Ms [78] 4:6,9 6:19 7:15,17, 23,24 8:20 9:2,14 10:5,7, 24,25 **13**:6,21 **14**:25 **15**:25 **16**:8,24 **17**:14 **18**:11 **19**:8, 14,17,22 **20:**6,9,25 **21:**10, 25 22:6.21 23:11.22.24 24: 13 25:5.12.20 26:3.7.16.17 27:4.14.19.24.25 28:11.14. 16.19.24 **29:**23 **31:**13 **32:** 13,17,25 33:2,5 34:6,10,17 **35**:4 **36**:15,23,24 **39**:24 **40**: 13,20 49:11 57:6 97:25 98: 15 **102**:20 **111**:10.13 much [19] 7:9 12:18 20:14 22:9 30:12,22 31:7 37:9 **55**:8 **57**:18.23 **61**:6 **76**:15 78:17 90:10 98:7 103:3 104:13 112:18 multiplicity [1] 65:1 must [5] 5:20.21.23 74:11 85:1 mutual [2] 38:14 42:5 mystifying [2] 13:16,21 mystifyingly [1] 5:8 Ν

name [5] 18:6.8.12.14.18 narrow [5] 34:22 54:2 61: 21 95:22 109:3 narrowly [3] 90:9 105:17 106:7 nature [2] 20:21 21:5 nebulous [1] 47:6 necessarily [1] 32:11 necessary [3] 13:4 84:18 need [10] 11:14 13:8 16:20 33:13 34:20.21 47:8 65:17 76:23 79:6 needs [3] 6:4 37:15 85:23 negative [4] 40:21 86:1,4 neither [1] 35:23 never [4] 5:7 8:1 11:22 111: New [2] 24:15 26:13 newspaper [2] 81:20 87: next [2] 55:16 83:13

nexus [5] 4:15 6:21.22 7: 25 **9**:3 nice [1] 16:20 night [4] 13:13 21:15 29:10 37:19 nightmare [1] 5:1 nobody [3] 65:1 73:18 113: none [2] 27:9 112:25 nor [2] 19:17 94:3 normally [1] 42:18 North [2] 111:24.24 note [1] 21:24 nothing [7] 6:24 11:7 12: 21 33:15 36:3 77:7 99:9 notion [1] 87:18 number [5] 21:4 50:25,25 **67**:20 **102**:24 nuts [1] 113:16 0

object [6] 46:14 61:20,24, 24 64:14 87:11 objected [1] 87:10 objection [2] 18:6 77:4 objectionable [1] 57:24 objections [1] 65:16 obiective [1] 16:15 objectively [1] 5:23 objectives [1] 45:3 obscenity [2] 65:9 81:11 obviously [6] 16:9,12 64: 21 **97**:21 **102**:10 **108**:12 occasion [1] 56:25 occur [3] 55:14 68:23,24 occurred [1] 15:15 occurs [6] 56:11 69:1 76: 10 86:23 102:17.24 off-campus [20] 4:11.17. 25 5:5.11 37:4 44:8 52:25 55:8.19 58:21 65:19 77:18 91:24 92:2 93:11 103:24 **105**:7 **110**:3,18 offend [1] 29:1 offended [7] 7:11 17:21 26: 10 **27**:16 **72**:25 **73**:1 **111**: offense [8] 7:9 8:5 16:14 20:24 27:21 31:5 107:15, offenses [1] 107:14 offensive [5] 6:1 28:8.11. 14 45:19 offer [1] 35:23 offered [1] 35:22 office [1] 9:16 official [2] 104:13 111:3 officials [7] 9:5 38:15 61: 22 79:20,21 88:24 110:15 often [1] 74:13 okay [15] 16:19 26:15 28: 15 36:14.20 42:17 44:3 51: 14 **52**:8 **70**:4 **81**:18 **92**:12 97:13 105:3 108:21

moment [3] 32:8.8 43:13

momentary [1] 110:23

Monday [1] 69:23

lineup [1] 30:10

on-campus [5] 4:13 53:20 **54:**8 **92:**5 **112:**14 once [2] 33:10 91:2 one [31] 5:4 6:17 7:6 10:12. 12 16:5 17:3 21:4 23:3 29: 9 32:5 35:23,25,25 36:13 42:11 43:15 45:2 48:5 52: 16 **59**:15 **60**:15 **71**:25 **76**: 22 77:8 83:12 84:14 85:25 **88**:24 **108**:22 **113**:18 ongoing [1] 40:18 online [8] 38:20.22 40:18 **44**:10 **55**:13 **56**:18 **59**:5 **60**: only [10] 5:14 21:8 22:2 27: 4 34:18 43:14 53:17 60:1, 15 **78**:9 oops [1] 21:14 open [2] 58:14 112:7 opened [2] 69:21,23 operating [1] 49:16 operations [9] 38:23 39: 20 40:12.17 43:2 44:17.18 **55**:9 **91**:3 opinion [4] 25:13 90:6 95: 22 111:25 opportunities [1] 104:17 opportunity [3] 39:18 91: 25 **92**:17 oppose [1] 40:4 opposed [5] 8:25 9:10 41: 4 91:9.11 opposing [2] 39:23 49:5 opposite [1] 24:14 option [3] 54:23.24.25 oral [7] 1:16 3:2.5.9 4:7 38: 1 **61**:13 ordinarily [1] **56:20** ordinary [1] 94:17 organization [2] 70:12,12 organizations [1] 42:3 organizes [1] 93:11 organizing [1] 5:22 originally [1] 16:11 other [36] 6:2,18 8:7 10:19 11:21 12:7 13:22 17:4 20: 7 29:7 30:8 35:5 38:16 42: 11 43:17 45:2 46:24 47:21 **49**:11 **52**:14 **54**:13 **75**:10 **76:**25 **77:**8 **79:**19.20 **88:**1. 23 89:24 94:11 96:8 100: 18 **101**:6 **110**:5.16 **113**:6 others [7] 5:24 12:22,23,23 **19**:11 **20**:22 **96**:16 otherwise [3] 49:20 97:3 111:5 ought [4] 35:25 57:2 59:1 106:14 out [37] 5:8 7:19 23:19 27: 15 35:5 38:7 39:6 59:16 60:15 66:5 68:7 70:11.22 74:4 75:18 78:21.22 81:21 **85**:16.20 **88**:6 **90**:24 **95**:2 96:4.9.12 98:13 99:22 101:

13,14 106:25 110:24,24 112:7 113:19,22 114:2 out-of-school [2] 75:20,25 outcome [2] 96:18,21 outside [34] 13:20 15:3 17: 11 33:16,20 43:25 45:15 54:3 61:8 63:3,21 64:5 67: 4,4 74:13 75:13,16 77:9 79:4 83:21,23,25 84:4 85: 13 86:23 87:13 89:6,19,22 91:1 98:20 110:8,12,14 outside-of-school [1] 91: 2 over [11] 24:22 30:4 37:2

2 over [11] 24:22 30:4 37:2 40:14 51:5,5 68:4,12 69: 22 88:22 97:7 overall [1] 70:14 overreaction [1] 30:5 own [2] 35:10 87:24

PAGE [3] 3:2 21:7 88:9 papers [1] 74:1 paramount [1] 49:1 parent [1] 30:3 parental [1] 54:19 parentis [1] 54:18 parents [7] 20:15 44:1 69: 16 74:15 83:25 84:2 113:5 parents' [4] 19:16 62:19 69:17 74:14 park [2] 63:14 113:21 parking [1] 22:14 parse [1] 39:3 part [16] 35:4 40:4,13 41:11 42:4 44:23 46:10 52:13 61: 3.4 **71**:13.19.20 **86**:8 **113**:8. participants [3] 32:5 60: 16 70:9 participate [1] 42:3 participating [1] 8:24 participation [5] 59:18 71: 5,18 96:3 97:1 particular [16] 6:25 11:19 17:6 25:16 44:11,16,17 46: 25 49:3 56:19 66:7 76:20 77:10 90:5,15 104:2 particularly [4] 4:17 38:19 **54:**3 **56:**25 parties [1] 55:2 pass [1] 58:7 passively [1] 24:23 past [3] 35:6 47:1 73:1 pastor [1] 112:24 pattern [1] 49:17 pause [2] 92:13,14 Pennsylvania [1] 113:3 people [26] 9:7,12,12 10:22 13:12 15:21 21:13 26:9 30: 13 **41**:12 **42**:2 **45**:4 **46**:6 48:12 49:16 52:14 54:23,

25.25 63:5 64:14 72:24 73:

1 78:16 89:5.24

people's [3] 75:15 76:12 89:24 per [1] 44:7 perceived [1] 48:12 percentage [1] 20:13 perceptions [1] 20:21 perfectly [1] 69:3 period [5] 56:12,15 64:16 75:6 83:15 permanence [2] 4:20 68:5 permissible [6] 82:13.14 **97**:3.10 **99**:18 **105**:22 permissibly [1] 44:14 permissions [1] 99:17 permits [3] 65:21,22 66:14 permitted [2] 69:3 82:11 persistent [2] 17:19,23 person [9] 18:7,17 42:19 70:21 91:24 96:11 97:2 99: 13 **101**:11 person's [1] 18:7 persona [1] 58:23 personal [1] 20:24 personally [1] 96:8 pervasive [8] 52:9 66:16, 19 **80**:11 **82**:18 **93**:2 **105**: petition [2] 85:15 113:25 Petitioner [13] 1:4 2:3.7 3: 4.8.14 **4**:8 **38**:3 **49**:23 **53**: 11 **78**:24 **110**:22 **111**:12 Petitioner's [3] 53:19 54:6 80.15 Petitioners [4] 71:12 86: 12 91:23 97:14 phones [2] 55:15 69:4 photo [1] 62:23 physical [1] 94:4 physically [1] 93:10 pick [3] 26:21 102:1 104:7 Pickering [1] 40:6 picket [1] 8:10 place [4] 32:23 37:21 38:21 **105**:25 placed [1] 86:5 places [1] 73:22 plan [1] **73:**19 platforms [1] 37:20 play [2] 14:15 96:7 player [1] 30:14 players [6] 85:20,21 93:11 96:8 100:18 101:6 playground [2] 81:22,22 playing [1] 96:15 please [5] 4:10 38:5 61:16 83:2 113:14 point [15] 9:25 14:3 20:11 **31**:19.25 **35**:5 **39**:1 **49**:13 **52**:22 **64**:11.24 **65**:17 **102**: 6 12 18 pointed [2] 70:11 95:2 pointing [1] 60:15 points [1] 22:7

policies [7] 17:16 93:12 94: 6 103:6 104:23,24,25 policy [7] 33:21 36:3 96:22 **101:**4 **104:**21 **105:**4,5 political [22] 5:12 6:8,12 7: 22 **11**:6,17 **23**:1 **25**:9 **26**: 23 **27**:13 **28**:9 **35**:16 **39**:22, 25 49:3.5 59:24 75:7.11 78:8 90:19 110:7 politically [1] 103:20 politics [2] 15:5 45:16 population [3] 20:13 41:5 70:15 populations [1] 20:12 posed [1] **71:**19 posit [1] 46:3 posited [1] 8:22 position [3] 61:1 92:23 **103**:18 possible [4] 49:1 55:6 86: 18 19 post [6] 10:1,6 44:12 84:15 99:25 25 posted [3] 56:20 60:19 68: posts [2] 58:21 62:23 potential [3] 4:20 55:19 62: potentially [1] 111:4 pottery [1] 99:14 power [1] 68:14 powerless [1] 82:9 practical [1] 98:14 practice [3] 36:11 70:20 98:22 precedent [2] 34:14 15 precise [1] 32:23 precisely [2] 10:7 69:5 predictable [1] 45:11 predictably [2] 45:20 51:1 prediction [2] 72:15.20 predictions [2] 72:11,12 predicts [1] 110:9 predominantly [2] 46:20, preemptive [1] 72:6 prea [1] 87:19 pregnancy [4] 69:12,15 87: 20.23 presence [1] 49:4 present [1] 66:6 presentation [2] 26:23 53: presented [11] 27:10 71: 20,21 85:14 86:12 95:15 102:5,8,11 103:14,17 preserve [1] 110:11 press [1] 112:23 presumably [1] 31:25 pretty [3] 13:3 22:9 50:20 prevent [2] 72:7 113:15 preventing [1] 42:13 prevents [1] 17:20 pride [1] 24:5

primarily [1] 43:25 principal [2] 52:22 110:9 principle [4] 61:9,19 62:14 **87**:9 principles [2] 61:22 110: prior [1] 18:7 priority [1] 75:13 privacy [1] 88:1 private [2] 77:15 87:24 pro-drug [1] 62:6 probably [3] 50:15 56:3.17 problem [12] 15:7 17:2 19: 9 32:16 35:4 43:7 81:9 90: 5.15 94:16 104:2 108:8 problems [7] 35:6 64:15 65:18 68:1 76:5 86:24 108: process [3] 31:16 45:22 57:14 profane [1] 14:17 profanity [1] 62:8 program [14] 15:4 21:20. 22 29:2 34:25 38:12 44:17. 22 45:3 75:2 91:10,12,14 96:3 programs [2] 60:17 70:10 prohibit [5] 26:4 56:10 62: 6 102:16 104:14 prohibited [4] 107:4,19,23 108:20 prohibiting [1] 105:23 prohibition [6] 22:4 80:7.8. 9 89:12 107:3 prohibits [3] 36:4 61:18 106:1 projects [1] 42:3 promoting [1] 88:5 prong [4] 39:10 46:1 51:4 **52**:23 pronouns [1] 18:8 proper [1] 78:1 properly [2] 47:17 110:4 proportionality [2] 57:5 **74**:12 propose [2] 4:25 46:10 proposed [2] 35:6 47:5 proposition [1] **76**:12 prospective [1] 52:10 protect [6] 34:21 38:16 75: 15 **76:**23 **79:**4 **110:**7 protected [6] 26:1,24 58: 18 **60**:23 **93**:18 **107**:6 protecting [1] 7:5 protection [1] 84:2 protective [1] 36:1 protects [3] 103:20,21,21 protest [5] 12:4 23:5 62:22 93:12 94:5 protesters [1] 7:14 protests [2] 10:20 13:3 Proud [1] 10:21 provide [3] 46:2 52:23 110:

police [2] 112:24 113:4

provided [1] 86:3 provocative [1] 50:4 provoke [1] 21:6 proximate [1] 47:16 public [10] 6:24 40:7 42:23, 24 56:10 60:19 73:11.22 87:15 102:16 published [1] 87:23 publishing [2] 69:11,14 punish [31] 6:1 8:4,6 12:5, 10 13:2.18.19 19:13.20 20: 1 **35**:14 **61**:23 **62**:9 **75**:20 **76**:9 **78**:1 **79**:12 **80**:6 **87**:9 **89**:19,21 **90**:10,25 **94**:9 **96**: 11 100:20,25 101:5 110:23 111:5 punishable [1] 52:5 punished [7] 36:7 54:2 59: 11 **61**:19 **63**:1 **68**:18 **85**:9 punishing [2] 12:21 20:2 punishment [7] 22:5 31:5, 17 **41**:13.16 **57**:20 **111**:17 purpose [2] 44:24 45:25 purposes [3] 39:10 44:22 84:22 purview [1] 73:21 put [12] 7:19 21:6 58:25 60: 22 68:17 78:25 88:6,8 89: 5,23 91:7 93:24 puts [3] 13:18 78:7 93:8 Putting [1] 94:1 pyramid [1] 14:19

O

qualify [1] 40:22 quarters [1] 49:17 quasi-fighting [1] 53:22 question [38] 9:13 14:13 18:21 25:24 27:10 34:20 36:25 42:11 69:6 70:24 71: 1.8.9.14.16.20.21 72:1 78: 3.4 **80:**18 **84:**17 **85:**13.14 87:1 90:11,14,15 95:15 97: 15 99:9,12 100:8 101:3 **102**:5,7,11 **103**:16 question's [1] 71:19 questioning [1] 48:4 questions [7] 6:6 35:12 38: 24 63:8 95:24 98:12 112: auibble [1] 20:11 auick [1] 42:12 quite [6] 47:6.23 65:2 73: 16 75:17 85:18 quo [1] 5:10

R.A.V [1] 106:6 race [4] 11:4 25:23 27:6 49: races [1] 100:5 racial [4] 100:15,16,25 101: raise [1] 62:19

raised [2] 26:14 56:7 raison [1] 42:4 range [1] 54:2 rank [1] 89:1 rather [7] 36:6 57:17 67:11 76:5 90:16 92:15 94:14 rationale [2] 31:21 108:5 reach [2] 5:16 90:18 reached [1] 51:1 reaches [3] 7:21 90:19,19 reacting [1] 28:8 reaction [8] 8:3.5 29:25 30: 6 50:2 56:8 97:24 98:4 read [9] 12:3 30:6 31:3 34: 13 **63**:14 **64**:11 **68**:25 **81**: 19 86:18 real [1] 42:12 really [16] 10:2 13:8 17:8 21:17 22:24 26:12 28:19 34:15 50:18 52:17 74:6 79: 3 91:2 92:7 98:13 103:5 reason [3] 8:1 62:3 87:17 reasonable [10] 9:6 27:25 45:4 72:11.15.19 84:19 94: 3 99:17 100:9 reasonably [2] 28:8 85:22 reasons [4] 4:12 33:21 87: 7 103:15 REBUTTAL [3] 3:12 111: 10 11 recently [1] 14:16 recognize [2] 32:10 62:4 recognizes [1] 65:15 reconvenes [1] 53:5 record [5] 12:13.13.24 14: 19 **43:**16 records [1] 18:14 reduced [1] 33:12 reduces [1] 54:19 reference [1] 45:18 referendum [4] 6:16 7:14 39:23 40:5 referred [1] 49:23 refers [2] 18:7 46:16 reflect [1] 89:11 refuse [1] 51:25 rea [1] 23:1 regarding [1] 86:4 regardless [1] 32:23 regulate [18] 6:8,10 34:8 **37**:3.10 **39**:16 **59**:2.4 **64**: 12 68:13 69:17 74:7 75:14, 22 **80**:20 **82**:11 **88**:2 **102**: regulated [6] 40:2 53:4 68: 15 **72**:4 **92**:24 **110**:5 regulating [4] 67:7 70:13, 14 **108**:6 regulation [14] 6:20 10:12 21:21 23:1.1 44:9 46:5 49: 19 **54**:9.16 **65**:21.22 **70**:9 96:25

regulations [1] 95:1

relate [2] 15:17 79:15

related [1] 20:4 relates [1] 45:15 relating [2] 109:2,4 relationship [1] 40:9 relative [1] 53:23 relevant [1] 70:3 religion [2] 15:5 45:16 religious [14] 5:13 6:9,13 **11**:16 **23**:2 **25**:9 **26**:24 **27**: 13 28:9.14 35:16 75:8.11 rely [2] 22:3,4 remand [4] 31:19 32:24 51: 7 56:15 remanded [1] 51:7 remarks [1] 45:19 remember [2] 43:24 112: remote [1] 35:7 remotely [1] 6:21 removal [1] 60:2 repeated [1] 45:9 replacing [1] 37:5 reply [1] 49:22 reporting [2] 21:3 111:18 represent [1] 85:2 require [5] 62:15 91:7 94: 12 96:2 110:17 required [2] 95:11 104:24 requirement [2] 4:15 55:4 requirements [1] 101:20 requires [2] 34:16 81:4 respect [22] 20:17 21:23 22:11 27:12 28:24 29:5.15 44:10 55:11 12 56:18 67:7 70:16 77:13 14 19 90:15 **91**:23 **98**:21 **103**:16 **105**: 21 106:6 respectful [2] 31:25 36:9 respects [1] 47:23 respond [2] 72:9,11 Respondent [5] 1:9 2:9 3: 11 **4**:24 **61**:14 **Respondent's** [2] 19:23 37:17 **Respondents** [2] 37:3,8 Respondents' [1] 4:13 response [1] 26:25 rest [5] 54:8 57:21 61:3.5 93:21 restrictions [1] 60:18 result [2] 47:14.17 results [2] 91:24 103:5 reverse [1] 64:19 review [2] 13:15 100:7 ridicule [1] 8:3 rights [13] 5:24 12:7 33:9, 11 40:7 48:25 59:17 62:17. 19 **63**:23 **64**:23 **78**:15 **101**: 23 riaid [1] 38:18 riot [5] 5:25 25:19 26:10 28: 1.3

riots [3] 25:21.23 27:6 rise [2] 15:21 29:16 rises [2] 104:15 105:10 risk [2] 5:2 59:7 road [1] 95:22 ROBERTS [41] 4:3 6:7 7: 12.18 **8**:15 **11**:25 **14**:24 **19**: 6 22:20 26:18 29:19 33:3 36:22 37:23 38:25 39:21 40:23 43:9 45:13 47:25 50: 5 **53**:8 **55**:22 **58**:1 **60**:8 **61**: 10 63:9.25 64:20 66:1 67: 15 **73**:5 **76**:16 **81**:6 **86**:14 91:17 97:17 104:3 109:25 111:8 114:5 room [2] 54:19 110:14 rose [2] 58:24 59:10 rule [20] 11:23 17:12,14 19: 10 31:17 43:18,18 44:8 55: 20 62:13 66:25 86:2 87:15 93:16 96:20 100:17 21 110:11 111:1 113:22 rules [14] 37:12 13 41:3 4 71:23 75:25 76:23 85:16 **86**:2 **97**:9,12 **100**:6,9 **104**: ruling [1] 77:22 run [2] 42:23 59:16 S sacrifice [1] 101:22 sacrificing [1] 101:25 safe [3] 46:2 52:23 78:7

same [20] 8:21,25 9:1 10:4, 11 16:3 21:13 22:16 24:16 **33**:8 **61**:9 **63**:23 **67**:6 **68**:1 **75:**25 **87:**23,25,25 **88:**6 112:11 San [1] 73:10 sanction [6] 41:23 57:6 60: 1 63:19.22 98:5 sanctioned [1] 70:19 satisfied [1] 77:22 satisfies [1] 82:12 satisfy [4] 8:1 13:4,5 80:13 Saxe 3 90:6 94:20 111:25 saying [18] 9:1 18:17 23:4. 6 **25**:4 **26**:3,23 **32**:11 **34**:2 52:21 81:24 83:3,17 102:4 **103**:6 **105**:15 **109**:1 **111**: savs [30] 6:15 12:10 13:4. 16 **15**:3.7.18 **16**:3 **17**:4 **24**: 16 25:13 40:4.13 45:14 74: 7 **75**:24 **76**:6 **77**:7 **85**:1 **86**: 1 90:22 92:9 93:8 94:1 96: 12 100:17 102:20 103:19, 24 111:16 scary [1] 112:17 SCHOOL [341] 1:3 4:5,15 5: 4,7,14,15,22 **6:**11,13,15,23, 25,25 7:7,7,14,15,16,21,22, 24,25 8:4 9:5,22 10:14,18,

23 11:10 12:4,5,20 13:9,19

20 15:3,4,12,13,22,23 16:6 17:11 18:9,12,14 19:21 20: 5,18,20 **21:**21 **22:**3,14,15 **23:**8,9 **24:**5,7,7,10,11,15, 16,22 **25**:10,11,18 **26**:4,13 **27:**8 **28:**7 **29:**2,5 **30:**2,10, 22 33:8,12,17,20,24 34:17, 19,21 36:6,17 37:12 38:12, 15,23 39:2,8,11,14,15,16, 17.20.23.23 **40:**2.5.10.15. 17 41:5.18.18.19 42:2 43:3. 20.23.25 44:2.9.14.17.19 45:15,18,21,22 46:1,5,6,12, 14 **47**:1.2.3 **48**:8.10.16.17 **49:**12 **50:**7,9,11,16,19,20 **51:**5,6,11,12,18,19,19,21, 23 **52**:3,9,12,15,17,20,21, 22 **53**:1,2,5,23 **54**:1,3,4,24 **55**:10,16 **56**:14 **57**:17,19, 20 58:6,12,13,20 59:1,6,6, 17,21 **60**:3,6,12,15,21 **61**: 22 **62**:2,5,7,8,10,23,25 **63**: 3.6,20,20 64:5,10,11,14,15 **65:**20 **66:**9 **67:**1.2.4.4.6.22 **68:**7,7,11,12 **69:**4,5,7,9,11, 25 **70**:14,18 **71**:4 **72**:6,7 **73**:22 **74**:8,14,25 **75**:4,13, 14,16,18,19,21 76:8 77:7,8, 9,13,14,17,19,20 78:17 79: 4,8,11,17,18,25 **80:**20 **81:** 22,23 82:5,9,11 83:11,14, 21,23,24,24 84:1,3,3,4,24 **85**:2,4,7 **86**:23 **87**:2,6,12, 15,18 **88:**2,8 **89:**1,2,6,7,9, 20,22,25 90:2,12,24,24 91: 1,3,4,14,14 93:6,7 94:7 95: 12 **96**:6 **98**:11 **99**:13 **104**: 13,18 **105**:5,5,11,14 **107**: 13 108:24,25 109:1,4,7,8,9, 10,15,15,23 **110**:8,13,14, 15,24 111:3,21 112:21,22, 25 **113**:1,12,15,17,24,25 school's [17] 6:20 8:13 10: 11 22:2 33:19 63:19.22 67: 2.23 69:18 78:10 86:20.25 93:12 94:5 109:14.21 school-adopted [1] 104: school-sanctioned [1] 62: school-specific [1] 94:25 school-supervised [4] 61: 25 68:16 87:22 98:20 schoolhouse [3] 33:11 62: 16 64:18 schoolmaster [1] 44:2 schools [45] 4:24 5:12 6:1. 8.10 **8:**4 **11:**1.21 **14:**4 **15:**1 16:18 17:10 18:25 34:7 35:

rioters [1] 6:2

13 **37**:4.8.10 **42**:23 **47**:24

54:17.18 **56**:10 **62**:6 **69**:3

73:10.11.12 78:18 80:5 83:

16,23 103:23 104:22 108:9

6 88:22 91:7,12 96:1 102:

111:17 112:2.8 113:6.14 Schools' [2] 6:3 34:3 science [2] 48:8,8 scope [1] 85:13 screen [1] 47:1 scrutiny [1] 14:6 se [1] 44:7 season [7] 13:13 37:19 70: 22 85:17 99:23 110:25 112:8 Second [7] 4:13.24 5:4 39: 12 44:10 87:17 97:7 second-guess [1] 98:7 section [1] 71:4 see [12] 12:18,24 34:1,15 36:10 43:11 53:16 57:7 73: 15 **74**:17 **82**:7 **107**:9 seek [3] 59:17,21 71:12 seem [3] 31:4 50:3 63:4 seeming [1] 59:7 seems [12] 12:3.11 22:21 30:4 31:8 32:12 43:17 48: 5 52:12 89:6 98:4 9 seen [1] 27:5 select [1] 46:13 self-contained [1] 21:22 seminal [1] 40:7 send [11] 37:4 38:7 39:6 63: 13,13 67:25 68:2,4 73:17 109:15,18 sending [2] 109:7,8 sends [2] 69:21,22 senior [1] 51:22 sense [8] 10:10 22:12 39: 14 **41**:12.13.15 **88**:21 **113**: 22 sent [8] 22:13.18 38:22 41: 19,24 **44**:12 **45**:9 **50**:24 separate [11] 5:6 8:20,23 **14:**5 **70:**23 **71:**1,3,9 **97:**4 99:11 100:8 serious [2] 71:16 95:24 set [8] 85:20 96:4,9,12 97:2 99:19 101:13.14 setting [3] 10:23 42:2,2 settings [3] 62:1 70:19 98: seven-part [1] 5:3 Seventh [1] 49:21 severe [13] 5:24 17:18.22 24:10 41:14 66:19 80:10 82:17,17 93:1,20 105:23 106:1 sexual [5] 80:1 81:12 89:4 **105**:21 **106**:6 sexually [1] 105:13 SG's [2] 90:22 91:6 share [4] 56:2 64:7 79:7,7 sharing [1] 58:5 sharp [1] 63:10 she's [5] 8:13 21:18.21 30: 6 **81:**21 sheer [1] 5:1 shirt [3] 23:6,14 24:23

shirts [2] 23:4.18 shouldn't [12] 22:18 23:8 35:17 37:21 56:4.24 62:20 78:20 87:1 89:25 109:22 112:17 show [2] 30:12 35:12 showed [1] 21:8 showing [2] 39:18 41:22 shows [2] 12:14 110:25 shut [1] 75:4 side [6] 11:21 35:6 50:13 **54**:13 **55**:7 **75**:10 side's [3] 13:22 29:7 110:6 sides [1] 10:22 sign [4] 6:14 88:5 93:8 94: significant [2] 56:21 57:17 silence [1] 73:2 silly [1] 22:11 similar [2] 15:11 40:5 simple [2] 66:25 75:17 simply [9] 39:16 45:2 46:2, 7 **48**:24 **53**:2 **55**:10 **56**:9 **95**:16 sin [3] 23:6.13 24:5 since [8] 11:20.23.23 13:25 **15**:15 **38**:20 **73**:12 **111**:25 single [2] 40:15,21 sitting [1] 70:21 situation [8] 6:17 26:12 40: 21 45:8 46:3 56:13 66:6 82:6 situations [2] 38:14 52:24 six [1] 109:19 skepticism [1] 35:18 skills [1] 44:25 skip [2] 51:21.22 sledgehammer [1] 76:5 sleepover [3] 9:11,22 10:4 small [1] 54:5 Snap [5] 45:5 50:24 55:12 60:21 63:13 Snapchat [7] 7:20 21:12 36:8 66:9 78:14 100:14 101:6 Snaps [2] 38:7 45:9 snapshot [2] 21:8.12 So-and-so [1] 28:1 social [16] 4:18 8:10.23 9: 11.16.23 **10**:1.2 **37**:2.20 **46**: 22 55:2 67:25 68:8 84:16 **85**:6 soft [1] 36:4 softball [4] 50:11,12 60:21 77:16 soldiers [1] 15:19 Solicitor [4] 2:4 15:10 78: 24 80:16 somebody [8] 7:20 14:18 **16**:14 **64**:10 **74**:9 **79**:11 **93**: 9 11 somebody's [1] 43:22

somehow [2] 10:11 11:22

someone [8] 7:9 14:16 16:

19 **18**:3 **59**:5 **83**:3 **93**:8 **111:**19 sometimes [4] 74:2,2,3 86: somewhat [1] 33:12 sophomore [1] 30:17 sorry [7] 36:18,19 68:23 92: 13 93:21 100:13 108:1 sort [7] 48:7 59:1 65:1 95: 25 107:22 111:15 113:5 sorts [5] 65:15 71:16 95:25 99:12 100:9 Sotomayor [31] 19:7,8,15, 19,25 20:8,10 21:1,11,24 **22**:1 **48**:1,2,22 **49**:10 **70**: 10 81:7,8 82:16,19,23 83:1 17,20 84:7,10,14,20,24 85: 24 104:10 Sotomayor's [1] 105:8 sought [1] 100:7 source [1] 49:6 Soviets [1] 111:24 space [1] 79:5 speaker [17] 6:2 47:18 50: 2 61:19 68:13 69:2,7,13,21 24 70:1,2 73:3 75:5,5 87:9 speaker's [3] 4:21 69:8,10 speaking [3] 32:20 82:4 107:21 speaks [2] 9:7 48:3 special [2] 6:3 62:5 species [1] 49:24 specific [4] 46:12 76:4 95: 19 23 speech [171] 4:12.17.25 5: 5.13.19.20.23 **6:**1.9.10.13. 19 **7**:5.10.22 **8**:3 **10**:11 **11**: 6,8,17 **15**:12 **21**:5 **23**:2 **24**: 9 25:9 26:24 27:13,17 28: 9 30:16 32:24 33:9 34:18, 23 35:1,16,16,18 36:1 37:4, 9,11,21 38:20 39:2,11,13, 14,18,22,22,25 40:1,6,15 41:20,25 42:6 44:8,10,16 **46**:1,4,11,20 **47**:2,8 **48**:9, 25 49:19 50:3.7.7.16.20.23 **51:**8.11.12.19.23 **52:**3.13. 20.23.25 53:3.20 54:2.9 55: 8,13,19 **56:**11,18,19 **57:**2. 24 58:7,7,10,16,19 60:5,13, 15,17,24 **61:**23 **62:**2,9,17 **63**:20 **64**:16 **65**:6 **66**:7 **67**: 7,22 68:11,20,22 72:24 75: 11,15,16,20 **76:**13,19,24 **77:**9,18 **78:**1,8,8,15 **79:**5 81:13 86:22 87:6,18 88:23 89:19 90:10,19,20 91:2,8,9 10,11,24 95:8 100:19 102: 17.24 103:20.24 107:3.18. 25 **108**:4 7 **110**:7 14 **112**: 14.21.23.25 113:24.25 speeches [1] 42:15 spent [2] 21:1,2

spillover [1] 53:4 spiraled [1] 97:22 spirit [3] 42:5 48:7,11 spoke [2] 70:2 85:16 spoken [1] 91:8 sport [2] 14:14 20:7 sports [2] 42:23 48:5 squad [4] 38:10 41:17 77: 14 15 squeeze [1] 42:11 stake [1] 34:19 stand [2] 8:11 65:21 standard [10] 17:18 32:22 37:1 74:4.5.7 78:6 82:25 90:17 98:19 standards [2] 81:14 95:10 standing [1] 11:13 Starbucks [1] 10:13 start [3] 19:12 98:8 104:21 started [1] 26:22 starting [1] 30:10 starts [1] 46:7 state [3] 75:8 105:16 106:8 **statement** [1] **40:**15 STATES [9] 1:1.17 2:6 3:7 17:16.17 38:2 104:23 112: status [1] 5:10 statute [1] 104:19 statutory [1] 17:17 stay [2] 52:10,18 steam [1] 30:7 stepping [1] 37:22 steps [2] 7:3 72:7 STEWART [45] 2:4 3:6 37: 25 38:1 4 25 39:9 40:3 41: 2.8 **42**:21 **44**:6 **45**:24 **46**: 17 **47**:11.21 **48**:2.19.23 **49**: 14 **50**:6,8,15,22 **51**:15,19, 23 **52:**3,6,12,19 **53:**7,10,16 **54**:21 **56**:1,16 **57**:11 **58**:3, 9,15 59:9,20 60:9,10 still [14] 30:19,19 47:3 51:6 **52:**19 **54:**23,24 **58:**15,25 68:4 74:11 90:9 102:13,23 stinks [1] 52:17 stipulating [1] 89:18 stop [5] 69:9,11,14,16 83:2 store [3] 62:10 70:22 99:1 story [3] 83:15 99:4,7 stress [1] 46:24 strict [2] 14:5 78:18 strike [1] 49:7 strikes [2] 49:8 93:22 strong [2] 54:7 64:21 strongly [1] 56:1 struggling [1] 91:21 student [69] 5:14 6:14 8:8, 21 **9:**7.8 **11:**9.19 **12:**4 **15:**2. 2 7 9 18 **16**:3 **18**:2 4 6 **19**: 13 **25**:15.16 **27**:7 **29**:3 **36**: 16.17 **37**:14 **41**:21 **42**:20 **45**:14,19,23 **46**:15,16,21

24 55:4 57:22 58:21 62:23 66:11 70:14 77:9,17 79:16 83:12,13 86:22 87:22 91:9, 10 **96**:9,10,11,12,13 **101**:5 **105**:7,12 **106**:24 **109**:2,4,5, student's [3] 70:13 104:16 109:5 student-athletes [1] 43:1 students [30] 12:7 21:2 24: 2 27:16 29:13 33:8 37:20 41:7 42:14 44:25 45:21 48: 15 **50**:25 **52**:10.18 **53**:24 54:8.24 56:11 62:15 83:8 **87**:16 **88**:1.7 **95**:11 **96**:2 102:17 103:12 110:16 111: students' [1] 55:14 studies [1] 8:23 subject [6] 37:16 45:16 68: 14 91:4 98:24 105:14 subjected [1] 81:20 subjecting [3] 109:13,16, subjects [3] 15:4,8 73:13 submitted [2] 114:6.8 substantial [19] 4:16 5:20 7:10 **12**:6,18 **14**:1 **21**:4 **33**: 14 **35**:15,19 **39**:19 **43**:21 44:21 51:13 52:7 56:22 78: 6 92:4.15 substantially [1] 74:8 substantively [1] 77:12 substitute [1] 5:10 sudden [3] 22:13.15 113: suddenly [1] 64:25 sued [1] 36:17 sufficient [1] 106:2 suggest [1] 11:21 suggested [3] 9:23 27:1 **106**:6 **suggestions** [1] **74**:18 suggests [1] 33:16 summer [1] 78:13 superintendent [1] 73:9 supervise [1] 87:4 supervised [1] 70:18 supervision [18] 54:20 63: 19,22 67:2,5,8,23 68:12 69: 7,8,10,25 **75**:1 **78**:10 **87**:5, 12 113:13,17 **supervisory** [2] **83**:25 **109**: support [4] 34:1 38:14 42: 5 49:3 supporting [3] 2:7 3:8 38: **supports** [1] **49:**5 Suppose [6] 45:14 88:25 91:14 99:3 100:11.14 supposed [2] 21:7 23:17 suppressing [1] 37:9 **48**:25 **51**:16,20,24 **52**:8,16, **suppression** [1] **37:**13

SUPREME [4] 1:1.16 22: 17 60:22 surprise [1] 20:15 suspend [3] 28:3,4 111:4 suspended [7] 29:6,9 41: 17,18 **57**:19 **59**:22 **62**:24 **suspending** [1] **57**:16 suspension [4] 31:8 41:14 43:6 57:12 suspensions [1] 103:11 swear [4] 12:15.16 29:14 **64**:13 swearing [7] 12:19 14:20, 23 69:9.16 88:3 113:15 sweat [1] 30:24 sweeping [1] 110:25 switch [1] 37:16 syllabus [1] 52:1 symbols [2] 24:9 26:9

Т T-shirt [3] 24:19,19 91:1 T-shirts [3] 26:9 48:14,17 tailored [6] 31:5 34:19 76: 4 90:9 105:17 106:7 talks [1] 30:17 target [4] 5:12 15:13 46:13. targeted [4] 5:14 14:14 91: 9,11 targeting [1] 20:17 targets [2] 15:12 46:11 tarnished [1] 85:4 tarnishment [1] 85:8 taunted [1] 105:13 teach [5] 8:13 44:24 73:13 111:1.6 teacher [12] 9:15 11:19 15: 9 22:4 39:24 40:10.14 45: 18 **46**:15.16 **52**:1 **109**:8 teacher's [2] 8:11 109:9 teachers [4] 9:5 20:22 29: 16 73:25 teachers' [1] 74:14 teaches [1] 21:22 teaching [3] 6:4 7:16 11:2 team [68] 14:22 20:24 29:6 30:1,10,18,23 31:8,22 32:3, 5,5 **35**:2 **36**:7,9 **37**:18 **38**: 13,16 41:3,6,25 42:2,5,16, 16,17,17 43:2,5,6 44:15 45: 1.6.10.12 48:7.20 49:2.8.9. 12 **50**:12 **51**:2.9 **56**:14 **57**: 16.25 **59**:23 **60**:6 **71**:17 **77**: 16 **84**:12,22 **85**:5 **96**:7,15 97:11 98:10,16 99:8,10,14 100:10 101:15,18,20,21 **103:**13 team-building [1] 44:25 teammate [1] 49:4 teammate's [1] 14:15 teammates [5] 14:22 20:7 21:16 32:4 38:8 teams [4] 42:23 48:5 85:18

98:21 teamwork [1] 21:23 teasing [2] 83:13 94:18 technology [1] 63:12 teen [4] 69:12,15 87:19,23 teenagers 3 39:3 81:16 85:23 tended [1] 88:16 tendency [4] 38:11 51:8 55:8 61:7 tension [1] 49:17 tensions [2] 49:11.12 term [2] 72:2.3 terms [11] 7:4 18:1 34:20 62:16 76:12 83:7 84:19 85: 19 **88:**5 **105:**23 **112:**11 terrible [3] 9:15 39:24 40: 14 territorial [1] 37:16 terrorize [3] 11:8,18 25:15 terrorizing [5] 24:24 28:12, 13 16 17 test [50] 4:16 5:3 7:25 9:3 **14:**11 **19:**23 **27:**3.12.15 **35:** 9 39:11 46:1,10 48:3 51:5 **53**:14 **63**:17,17 **74**:12 **77**: 25 **86:**17 **87:**3,4,5,5 **90:**4, 22 **91:**6,6,22 **92:**1,5,8 **93:**6, 7 **94**:8,9,11,12,12 **98**:15,16, 18 105:4 108:22 110:6 112·9 13 16 22 testified [1] 44:23 tests [1] 35:22 text [4] 8:9 60:20 63:13 109: 18 Thanks [1] 36:21 theirs [6] 53:15 103:19 110: 10.13.15.20 themselves [2] 19:2 85:3 theory [3] 49:1 58:18 82:8 there's [25] 9:12 14:8 27: 22 32:1 34:12,18 41:15 43: 21 52:9 54:13 70:23 71:15 **73**:18 **83**:4 **87**:7 **89**:12,12 92:7 97:7 99:9 103:12 105: 16 **111**:15 **112**:14 **113**:23 therefore [4] 64:12 93:7 94:9 101:22 thev'll [1] 35:24 they've [1] 72:22 thinking [2] 43:14 107:9 thinks [4] 24:6 28:7 55:4 113·18 third [17] 4:14 5:12 13:10, 15,16,22 16:11 31:20 38:6, 18 44:14 45:7 74:22 76:14 79:2 90:6 97:5 Thomas [22] 8:17,18 9:20 10:17 11:2 40:24.25 42:10 **43**:8 **67**:17.18 **68**:9.19.22

69:20 **70**:4.6.24 **71**:25 **72**:

though [9] 42:18 50:1 59:2

16.19 73:4

Thomas's [1] 48:4

60:1,13 **72**:17 **89**:7,21 **94**: 24 thoughts [1] 64:8 thousand [3] 9:12 13:12 **21**·13 threat [4] 58:24 59:11 82:1 113:4 threaten [2] 26:10 28:3 threatening [5] 34:24 59:6 **65:**10,11 **82:**2 threats [13] 14:9 33:23 58: 11.17 **65**:8.22 **75**:23 **76**:8 81:11 93:16 110:4 113:2.8 three [3] 4:12 14:17 44:6 three-part [1] 35:9 throughout 3 37:19 78: 16 79:24 thrust [1] 54:12 tied [1] 85:22 tighten [1] 112:5 Tinker [92] 4:11 5:8,11,13 6:22 7:8 8:1 9:2.3 11:1.23 **12:**3.3 **13:**1.2.4.10.16.17. 18.23.24.25 **14**:11 **15**:15 17:11 18:22 19:9 22:9,16, 22,24 23:7 25:7,8 28:25 32:22 33:9,15,19 34:2,16 37:1,6,16 43:19 47:13,22 **61**:21 **62**:12 **64**:19 **65**:18 **66**:2,13 **70**:17,18,25 **71**:2 72:13 74:6 75:3,3,18 77:7 **78**:5 **86**:16,19 **87**:8 **91**:4 95:16 97:6,8 98:18,25 99: 1,10 **102**:8,20,21 **103**:10, 17 104:10 111:22 112:5.6. 11.13.16.16 113:8.21 114: Tinker's [2] 4:15 5:16 today [4] 5:9 35:12 73:13, together [1] 37:7 toggle [2] 5:8 112:12 tolerate [1] 66:10 toleration [1] 86:3 tomorrow [3] 24:12 51:22 58:13 took [3] 20:24 78:3.5 topic [6] 5:15 7:1,7,25 95:6 113:1 totally [1] 100:22 touch [1] 79:15 tracked [1] 60:20 traditional [2] 81:10,17 transform [1] 62:12 treat [2] 41:6 43:15 treated [1] 109:22 treatise [5] 43:12 56:4,4,24 102:6 treats [1] 4:22 tried [1] 60:3 trip [1] 75:2 trivial [1] 63:4 trouble [1] 66:4

true [9] 20:16 24:14 58:17. 24 59:10,13 81:11 82:1 111.21 try [5] 19:1 32:20 53:2 57:1 76:6 trying [4] 58:22 63:10 98: 13 **102**:7 turn [2] 16:8 95:5 turned [1] 87:14 turns [1] 16:10 tweets [2] 52:8.17 twiliaht [1] 111:15 two [9] 7:3 23:3 35:22.22 43:14 47:11 54:22 87:7 92: typical [1] 98:1 U ubiquitous [1] 10:15 ubiquity [1] 4:19 ugly [4] 81:24 83:4 105:9, unable [1] 51:6 unacceptable [4] 41:20. 25 100:23 101:12 unambiguous [1] 34:7 unattractive [1] 12:16 unchartered [1] 37:5 undefined [1] 37:10 under [34] 7:1 14:4 17:11, 15,15 **19:**23 **29:**7 **37:**17 **38:** 6 **59**:11 **63**:19 **67**:1,8,22 **69**:7,8,10,24 **72**:5 **77**:24,25 78:10 82:8 87:12 93:16,20 **94**:2,8,8 **106**:5 **107**:7 **109**: 10 112:10 113:17 undermining [1] 36:12 underscores [1] 64:4 understand [15] 15:1.22 17:25 27:21 31:1.2.24 66: 6 86:19 91:21 94:24 95:17 97:23 102:14 104:8 understanding [1] 104:14 understood [1] 92:1 unfamiliar [1] 37:13 unfortunate [1] 97:21 unfounded [1] 22:11 unhappiness [1] 20:4 uniform [1] 99:25 UNITED [5] 1:1.17 2:6 3:7 38:2

unity [1] 101:18

universities [1] 42:24

unless [7] 11:17 12:5.10

unpopular [2] 5:19 48:13

unreviewable [1] 91:13

up [17] 8:11 15:21 18:2 19:

5 26:21 36:21.23 60:9 81:

5 91:20 93:8 94:1 100:8

13:1 25:12 74:8 101:3

unprotected [1] 65:6

unsound [1] 38:19

until [2] 29:11 52:1

untoward [1] 55:18

102:1 104:7 110:1 112:19 upend [1] 62:14 upset [6] 24:20 31:24 32:9 46:6 79:11 97:24 uses [3] 11:18 18:8 101:5 using [2] 72:3 85:6 V Vague [1] 37:13

vaguely [2] 58:22 74:2 vaguest [1] 91:6 values [1] 38:13 variety [1] 11:16 varsity [1] 30:18 vast [1] 55:11 ventina [2] 103:21 110:8 verb [1] 46:13 version [1] 22:23 versus [9] 4:5 24:17 31:16 41:7 63:18 68:7 70:13 107: 25 108:4 veto [4] 6:3 16:1 25:2 111: vetoes [1] 112:3 Vietnam [1] 15:16 view [9] 29:7 37:17 40:16 **45**:24 **101**:9 **105**:1.20 **112**: 10 113:17 views [4] 8:14 55:1 59:24 111:4 violate [7] 71:10,22 80:7 85:16 97:12 99:21 100:5 violated [2] 71:6 97:9 violating [1] 79:21 violation [3] 60:1 86:8,8 violations [1] 37:12 violence [1] 33:23 virtual [1] 40:18 Virtually [1] 103:10 vis-à-vis [1] 103:11 voice [1] 63:5 volatile [1] 27:8 voluntary 5 61:4 71:5,17 96:1 97:1 vulnerable [1] 78:11

W wait [4] 72:8,13 107:24 108: waited [1] 29:11 waiver [3] 59:17,21 60:4 wake [1] 98:14 walked [1] 88:8 walking [4] 81:23,24 83:3 113:20 walkouts [1] 27:7 walks [2] 19:21 28:2 wants [3] 8:8 55:5 77:1 War [3] 15:16,18,19 Washington [4] 1:12 2:2,5, waters [1] 37:5 waving [1] 94:14 way [21] 11:17 15:9 29:4 30:

troubles [1] 47:4

11 33:7 64:15 65:21 66:16 **68**:10 **74**:15 **77**:8 **85**:3,5 **86**:18 **88**:8 **90**:3 **97**:22 **98**: 23 **101**:16 **102**:22 **105**:13 ways [3] 24:5 43:14 80:6 weapon [1] 11:18 wear [2] 23:8 48:16 wearing [3] 23:18 24:23 90: website [1] 89:1 **Wednesday** [1] 1:13 weekend [13] 62:11 63:3 **68:**4 **69:**14,22 **70:**22 **76:**10 **78**:12,23 **99**:1 **103**:22 **109**: 20 110:24 welcome [4] 6:6 38:24 63: 8 74-19 welfare [1] 37:14 whatever [3] 11:3 14:4 18: whatsoever [2] 10:10 86: whenever [1] 46:16 whereas [1] 93:6 Whereupon [1] 114:7 wherever [2] 64:18 80:21 whether [27] 9:4 15:11 31: 15,23 **35**:18 **39**:1 **45**:5 **46**: 11 **70:**17 **71:**4,6 **72:**18,23 **75**:1,2,2,7,7 **77**:23,24 **81**: 21 84:18 95:16 96:22 102: 8.25 113:4 whistle [1] 103:21 whistleblowing 3 94:4 **110**:7 **111**:18 who's [1] 30:25 whole [5] 43:4 44:19 46:20 **52:**21 **71:**3 wide [2] 11:16 50:24 widespread [1] 98:1 will [24] 4:3 15:16,21 22:8 **24**:9 **25**:11 **38**:23 **43**:1 **44**: 16 **45**:20 **46**:6 **47**:14,23 **55**: 14 56:22 64:17 74:19 86:3 **100**:12 **102**:11 **110**:9 **111**: 3 112:7 113:24 window [1] 88:7 within [10] 5:5 6:20 10:11 45:20 61:7 73:21 74:25 83: 10 98:19 109:10 without [8] 29:2 63:6 77:2 **79**:8,21 **102**:5 **106**:11 **111**: woman [2] 12:14 18:5 woman's [1] 97:23 wonder [1] 39:2 wondering [1] 102:25 word [8] 14:17 20:3 25:25 27:15 28:12 63:2 64:13 **112:**17 words [10] 6:2 8:7 12:15.

25 **105**:22 working [1] 40:9 workplace [2] 40:12 42:7 works [1] 32:3 world [1] 104:12 worried [1] 17:8 worry [3] 37:8 62:20 88:17 worrying [1] 111:3 worth [3] 60:14 103:1,3 wrap [3] 36:23 60:9 110:1 write [6] 29:8 43:12 56:3,4 **74**:6 **103**:6 writing [6] 48:9 56:24 74:1, 5 **95**:22 **102**:6 written [2] 17:17 68:24 year [2] 22:3 32:12

year's [1] 31:8 years [7] 14:12 18:23 30:19 34:6 37:2 73:9 114:3 York [1] 24:15 young [6] 12:14 63:4 76:12 78:16 81:20 97:23 yourself [3] 109:13,16,21 youth [3] 64:24 65:4,7

Zalon [1] 112:1 Zamecnik [1] 49:22 zeal [1] 110:12

zone [1] 111:15

Z

Heritage Reporting Corporation

22 75:5 81:10

16 **25**:25 **29**:14 **49**:24 **53**:

work [5] 37:13 48:8,9 51: