SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

TIV TI	HE SUPREME COURT OF THE	UNITED STATES
		_
JOHN STURGEON,)		
	Petitioner,)
	v.) No. 17-949
BERT FROST,	IN HIS OFFICIAL)
CAPACITY AS	ALASKA REGIONAL)
DIRECTOR OF	THE NATIONAL PARK)
SERVICE, ET	AL.,)
	Respondents.)

Pages: 1 through 69

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: November 5, 2018

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
www.hrccourtreporters.com

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	
3	JOHN STURGEON,)
4	Petitioner,)
5	v.) No. 17-949
6	BERT FROST, IN HIS OFFICIAL)
7	CAPACITY AS ALASKA REGIONAL)
8	DIRECTOR OF THE NATIONAL PARK)
9	SERVICE, ET AL.,
LO	Respondents.)
L1	
L2	Washington, D.C.
L3	Monday, November 5, 2018
L4	
L5	The above-entitled matter came on for
L6	oral argument before the Supreme Court of the
L7	United States at 10:05 a.m.
L8	
L9	APPEARANCES:
20	
21	MATTHEW T. FINDLEY, ESQ., Anchorage, Alaska; on
22	behalf of the Petitioner.
23	RUTH BOTSTEIN, Assistant Attorney General,
24	Anchorage, Alaska; for Alaska, as amicus
25	curiae, supporting the Petitioner.

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	EDWIN S. KNEEDLER, Deputy Solicitor General,
4	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; on
5	behalf of the Respondents.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE:
3	MATTHEW T. FINDLEY, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	4
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6	RUTH BOTSTEIN, ESQ.	
7	For Alaska, as amicus curiae,	
8	supporting the Petitioner	21
9	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
10	EDWIN S. KNEEDLER, ESQ.	
11	On behalf of the Respondents	32
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
13	MATTHEW T. FINDLEY, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioner	65
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:05 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear
4	argument first this morning in Case 17-949,
5	Sturgeon versus Frost.
6	Mr. Findley.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF MATTHEW T. FINDLEY
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MR. FINDLEY: Thank you. Mr. Chief
10	Justice, and may it please the Court:
11	Mr. Sturgeon is asking that this Court
12	restore the balance that Congress struck when
13	enacting ANILCA. ANILCA is unique and
14	represents a series of bargains and
15	compromises.
16	A centerpiece of this balancing was
17	ensuring that the over 18 million acres of
18	non-public lands and waters about to be
19	surrounded by the new ANILCA parks and
20	preserves would not be subject to a new array
21	of federal regulation.
22	Section 103(c) of the statute
23	preserved the status of these non-public lands
24	and waters by excluding them from ANILCA's
25	parks and preserves and specifically exempting

1 them from park management regulation. 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry, but 3 ANILCA in many places puts statutory duties on 4 the government, on the Park Service. So, for 5 example, the statute expands the Glacier Bay 6 National Monument. It says that the monument 7 shall be managed for the following purposes among others, to protect a segment of the Alsek 8 River fish and wildlife habitats and migration 9 routes and a portion of the Fairweather Range. 10 11 Or take another example. ANILCA 12 creates the Kobuk Valley National Park, which 13 it says shall be managed for the following 14 purposes: among others, to keep it in an 15 undeveloped state. So the agency has a 16 statutory duty to manage these parks for the 17 purpose of maintaining the Kobuk River, the 18 Alsek River, and other rivers. 19 If the Park Service can't do what you 20 say, any regulation on these rivers, how can the Secretary fulfill the statutory duties and 21 2.2 -- under ANILCA, unless it's under its organic 23 powers? ANILCA, as this Court 24 MR. FINDLEY: 25 recognized in the first decision, specifically

- 1 invoked the Organic Act and said these parks
- 2 shall be managed in accord with the Organic Act
- 3 and in accord with the provisions of ANILCA.
- 4 And this Court recognized that ANILCA carries
- 5 many provisions specifically modifying the Park
- 6 Service's Organic Act authority, Section 103(c)
- 7 being one of them.
- 8 To your question, how can the Park
- 9 Service fulfill its duties: In understanding
- 10 ANILCA and so understanding the debate about
- 11 ANILCA, it was very important what land went
- into conservation system units, but it was
- 13 equally important what land did not get
- included within conservation system units.
- 15 ANILCA was not just a park enabling
- 16 statute. As this Court recognized in Amoco
- 17 when it was -- first addressed ANILCA, it was
- 18 resolving multiple land use disputes within
- 19 Alaska.
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You haven't
- answered my question.
- 22 Under your theory, the state manages
- 23 all navigable waters between federal lands or
- 24 between state lands. And I mean not waters but
- 25 lands --

1 MR. FINDLEY: Yes. 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- in terms of the territorial lands. 3 4 How does the Park Service engage in 5 its statutory obligations if it can't do what 6 you say? 7 MR. FINDLEY: The Park Service, for all those purposes, it can regulate submerged 8 lands and waters where title did not pass to 9 the state at statehood. It can manage public 10 11 waters. It can manage any non-navigable 12 waters. 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: There's no public 14 waters. Under your theory, all the waters 15 belong to the state. 16 MR. FINDLEY: Only navigable waters 17 where title to the submerged lands passed at 18 statehood. 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. So 20 what you're saying is that a good portion of the Act with all of the preservations of the 21 2.2 rivers that the Act imposes upon the Park 23 Service, it cannot do any of that work? MR. FINDLEY: It cannot do that work 24

on any of the specific navigable waters, but it

- 1 can protect the watershed. The Yukon-Charley
- 2 is a very good example of that. The
- 3 Yukon-Charley -- again, think of the balancing
- 4 of ANILCA that this Court recognized -- some of
- 5 its conservation purposes is equally important
- 6 to balance the economic needs of the State of
- 7 Alaska.
- 8 The Yukon-Charley met goal number one
- 9 by putting 1.7 million acres of land into the
- 10 preserve to protect lakes, streams, and
- 11 watershed. And you protect the river by
- 12 regulating those 1.7 million acres of public
- lands that's regulated under the watershed that
- 14 protects the river.
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Does it make any
- 16 difference that a park is designated as a wild
- 17 and scenic river?
- 18 MR. FINDLEY: No, it does not, Your
- 19 Honor. The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act was even
- 20 specifically amended by ANILCA to make sure it
- 21 wasn't covering state land that goes into the
- 22 site of the river, and the Wild and Scenic
- 23 Rivers Act itself recognizes state ownership of
- 24 submerged lands. In the Wild and Scenic Rivers
- 25 Act, there's nothing about those designations

- 1 that undoes the central compromise that was
- 2 through 103(c).
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's a quite
- 4 extreme --
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: And you don't think it
- 6 makes any difference if there are public lands
- 7 on both sides of a river? In other words, both
- 8 banks of a river are public lands, but still
- 9 the federal government cannot regulate the
- 10 river running through those lands?
- 11 MR. FINDLEY: The federal government
- 12 may. The Park Service may not. That was a
- power that was not delegated to the Park
- 14 Service. An example that even the Park Service
- brings up in its brief is the Yukon-Kuskokwim
- 16 Wildlife Refuge. So there's a very specific
- 17 provision directing that the Park Service may
- 18 not impede access to these rivers.
- 19 Particularly in that area of Alaska where there
- are no roads, the Yukon and the Kuskokwim River
- 21 are the arteries of commerce that's helpful to
- get to and from villages. That's how they go
- 23 to vote. That's how to buy groceries.
- 24 And the specific mandate in ANILCA is
- we are about to surround these highways with

- 1 these federal lands, we're going to put them in
- 2 a conservation system unit, that's great, but
- 3 please do not block access to the highway. And
- 4 that's the point of exempting the rivers.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So an agency
- 6 like EPA is -- is fully empowered to regulate
- 7 the waters?
- 8 MR. FINDLEY: Yes, Your Honor, that's
- 9 exactly right. The EPA, the Coast Guard, any
- 10 other federal, criminal -- all of these still
- 11 apply. It's just simply that extra layer of
- 12 Park Service regulation that was not supposed
- 13 to apply once these lands and waters were
- 14 surrounded by the ANILCA parks.
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: What does that do to
- 16 your federalism argument? Because, at various
- times in your brief, you press federalism
- 18 concerns. But I'm wondering, if those concerns
- 19 have a lot of weight, if what you're really
- 20 saying is not this agency but that agency?
- 21 MR. FINDLEY: When it comes to
- interpreting the Organic Act, against Section
- 23 103(c), those aren't necessarily implicated,
- 24 although, as this Court recognized in the first
- decision, the state's power over its navigable

- 1 waters does raise significant issues of state 2 sovereignty. And any time this Court addresses 3 a case of navigable waters, the refrain rings 4 throughout these cases that the state's 5 ownership of the submerged lands and control 6 and ownership of the resources within it is a 7 hallmark of state -- state sovereignty and a hallmark of federalism. 8 9 Where the clear statement rule comes into play is the Park Service's fallback 10 argument here, which is, well, if you look at 11 12 reserve water rights, this can turn these into public lands and actually make these part of 13 14 the park. 15 And there's nothing in ANILCA that's a clear statement saying we are going to take the 16 17 state's submerged lands, make them public lands, and actually include them in the parks. 18 19 When we were here last time, we talked about when that happens, the enabling statute 20 21 is very clear. And the statute that added Lake 2.2 Ozette to the Olympic National Park actually 23 specifically said we are adding the submerged 24 lands to the park, so --
- CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So you just --

- 1 it -- it -- you just don't like the Park
- 2 Service. The Coast Guard is fine with you, the
- 3 Army Corps of Engineers is fine with you, the
- 4 EPA is fine. But not the Park Service?
- 5 MR. FINDLEY: It's not that we don't
- 6 like the Park Service, as it -- it's that layer
- 7 of regulation --
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 MR. FINDLEY: -- that was not supposed
- 10 to apply on top. Yes, Mr. Chief Justice,
- 11 that's exactly right.
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: Which sentence of
- 13 Section 3103(c) do you think wins this case for
- 14 you?
- 15 MR. FINDLEY: The second sentence does
- 16 the most work, but the second sentence needs to
- 17 be read in conjunction with all three sentences
- and in conjunction with the context of the
- 19 statute.
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. I've
- 21 burned up an awful lot of gray cells trying to
- 22 put together the pieces of this statute. Could
- you just take me through the second sentence
- and explain why that wins the case for you?
- MR. FINDLEY: Thank you. So you --

- 1 the first sentence of 103(c) has just told you
- 2 that any non-public land, whether it's state
- 3 land, submerged -- submerged lands, waters,
- 4 native corporation, or private land, it is not
- 5 going to be part of the park.
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: It's not a portion of
- 7 the park?
- 8 MR. FINDLEY: It's not a portion of
- 9 the park. It may be surrounded by the outer
- 10 boundaries, but it's not part of the unit.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah, and -- and, you
- 12 know, whether something can be within a unit
- 13 but not be a portion of the unit is kind of a
- 14 nice question. I don't think there's a -- a
- 15 slam-dunk answer to that one way or the other.
- 16 Would you agree?
- 17 MR. FINDLEY: I -- I would agree, but
- 18 the Court doesn't need to reach that issue. To
- 19 the second sentence, it then says no lands
- which on or before December 2, 1980, have been
- 21 conveyed to the state, native corporation, or
- 22 private person. Again, shorthand, non-public
- lands.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Right.
- MR. FINDLEY: They shall not be

- 1 subject to regulations applicable solely to
- 2 public lands within the units. And what that
- 3 is telling you is not only are they not part of
- 4 the unit, they may not be regulated as though
- 5 they were.
- And that's the function of the word
- 7 "solely," is to distinguish between park
- 8 management regulations and the regulations Mr.
- 9 Chief Justice was talking about, Coast Guard,
- 10 EPA and --
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, how does it do
- 12 that?
- JUSTICE ALITO: All right. When --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: I'm sorry.
- 15 JUSTICE ALITO: If I can just ask one
- 16 more question related to this. I understand
- 17 that lands is defined by ANILCA to include
- water and waters and interests therein, but the
- 19 second sentence after referring to lands then
- 20 refers to a conveyance, which I take it means
- 21 the transfer of title.
- 22 And nobody really has title to
- 23 navigable waters. So what do we do with that?
- MR. FINDLEY: The -- there are two
- 25 parts to that. First of all, the submerged

- 1 lands were conveyed to Alaska. The Submerged
- 2 Lands Act was specifically included within the
- 3 Statehood Act.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Right.
- 5 MR. FINDLEY: In terms of having title
- 6 to water, this Court has, in U.S. v.
- 7 California, and PPL Montana, certainly
- 8 suggested with very strong language that, with
- 9 the Submerged Lands Act, with title to the
- submerged lands, and with ownership and control
- of all the resources within there, that is
- 12 effectively title to the waters.
- 13 JUSTICE ALITO: No, I mean as to the
- 14 public lands. So public lands are defined -- I
- mean, lands are defined the same way. They
- 16 include water. Public means, I take it, title
- in the United States, but the United States
- does not have title to navigable waters, is
- 19 that right?
- 20 MR. FINDLEY: That is definitely
- 21 right. And they don't claim so here.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Could I ask you to go
- 23 back to the --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The state doesn't
- 25 have title --

1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan? 2 JUSTICE KAGAN: Could I ask you to go 3 back to the applicable -- regulations 4 applicable solely to public lands? And you 5 suggested that that language is what 6 distinguishes Park Service regulations from, 7 let's say, EPA regulations. But, when I read that language, 8 9 "regulations applicable solely to public lands," it seems to be making a distinction 10 11 between regulations that apply solely, 12 exclusively to public lands and those that 13 apply more broadly to both public and private 14 lands. 15 That seems to be the distinction this 16 makes on its face. So I quess I don't quite 17 get how -- how you make it into something 18 different. 19 MR. FINDLEY: Yes. And Mr. Sturgeon's position, as with the state, is that "solely" 20 distinguishes between the generally applicable 21 2.2 regulations that we talked to Mr. Chief Justice 23 about, Coast Guard, EPA, and so on, and park management regulations. 24 25 If you were to take the word "solely"

- 1 out of the statute, you would have
- 2 inadvertently exempted these lands from a
- 3 myriad of other federal regulation that applied
- 4 before ANILCA and that was certainly intended
- 5 to apply -- apply after ANILCA.
- If you look, I mean, the Park Service
- 7 in its argument about Section 103(c) and
- 8 argument --
- 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: But -- but -- but --
- 10 MR. FINDLEY: Go ahead.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: But I guess solely to
- 12 public lands, is like if you take out the -- if
- 13 you take out the word "solely," this -- this is
- saying solely to public lands as compared to
- 15 what, as compared to -- to public lands and
- 16 something else, meaning non-public lands. And
- that seems to be the distinction it's drawing:
- 18 solely to public lands, or to public lands and
- 19 something else, non-public lands.
- 20 MR. FINDLEY: The sentence needs to
- 21 have meaning beyond articulating what is
- 22 already true. If a regulation is promulgated
- only to apply to public lands, it already only
- 24 applies to public lands. That second sentence
- 25 has to have meaning.

And if it doesn't prohibit the Park 1 2 Service from issuing the exact regulation at issue here, which is a regulation designed to 3 4 touch both public and non-public land, that 5 sentence actually doesn't prohibit anything. It needs to have prohibitive effect. 6 7 If you want to understand its prohibitive effect, you look at this came into 8 the statute, it was not a last-minute technical 9 addition. It was introduced in the House by 10 11 Representative Seiberling a year and a half 12 before ANILCA was passed, and he specifically said the fact that these non-public lands were 13 within the units drawn on the map does not 14 15 change the status of that state native for 16 private land. 17 And that goes back to, if we're about 18 to surround these lands with the parks, they were already subject to a rich matrix of 19 20 federal regulations before ANILCA. You are not 21 going to subject them to any new array of 2.2 federal regulation merely because of them being surrounded by the park. 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: I -- I understand what 24 25 -- I think it's a good point, the point you

- 1 make about, look, if it were public lands
- versus public and non-public lands, this would
- 3 not be doing very much.
- 4 But I guess what I want to know from
- 5 you is, like, why pick this language to convey
- 6 what you want to convey? I mean, how do I have
- 7 to manipulate this language to get it to mean
- 8 what you want it to mean?
- 9 MR. FINDLEY: I can't answer why
- 10 Congress chose those specific words.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: But, I mean, what --
- 12 what -- what could you do to this language to
- make it more like what you're suggesting it
- 14 means? Because I look at this language, it
- just doesn't say -- it's just not anywhere
- 16 close to what you're saying it means.
- 17 MR. FINDLEY: I mean, we believe the
- 18 --
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: But maybe I'm wrong
- 20 about that. I really am trying -- I'm
- 21 struggling with this.
- MR. FINDLEY: If the language weren't
- read in context with all three sentences, and
- read in context with the statute, the meaning
- 25 becomes clearer. And perhaps in hindsight they

- 1 could have written something about applicable
- 2 solely to land, you know, solely land
- 3 management power, but what your -- the "solely"
- 4 is drawing that distinction of the regulations
- 5 that only could come into play after the
- 6 passage of ANILCA. And it's important to keep
- 7 in mind that, without provisions like
- 8 Section 103(c), there is no ANILCA. There are
- 9 no ANILCA parks.
- 10 And the -- the large debate, it took
- 11 two years to pass the statute, there were
- 12 issues relating to the Native Claims Settlement
- 13 Act, there were issues related to the Statehood
- 14 Act, and it was a very large debate, that this
- 15 Court recognized in Amoco, of what lands will
- 16 go into a conservation system unit and be
- 17 subject to much more rigorous conservation
- 18 regulations and which lands will not go into
- 19 these things.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Did the -- the
- 21 Park Service had no -- no regulatory authority
- 22 over these areas prior to ANILCA or --
- MR. FINDLEY: None. That's correct.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I mean, that
- 25 seems the question to me, that -- that the Park

- 1 Service has a reg, I imagine, that says no
- 2 bonfires in Yellowstone, within the boundaries
- 3 of Yellowstone. There are some private
- 4 enclaves within Yellowstone, but they mean no
- 5 boundaries -- forget it. I want you to reserve
- 6 your time. I'd rather you reserved your time.
- 7 I'll ask them.
- 8 MR. FINDLEY: Oh, okay. Thank you.
- 9 If there are no other questions, I will reserve
- 10 my time.
- 11 (Laughter.)
- MR. FINDLEY: Thank you.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Good -- good
- 14 choice. Thank you, counsel.
- 15 (Laughter.)
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Ms. Botstein.
- 17 ORAL ARGUMENT OF RUTH BOTSTEIN FOR ALASKA,
- AS AMICUS CURIAE SUPPORTING THE PETITIONER
- MS. BOTSTEIN: Mr. Chief Justice, and
- 20 may it please the Court:
- 21 Understanding ANILCA requires
- 22 understanding remote Alaska. In most of the
- 23 state, a vast wilderness that is more than
- twice the size of Texas, our rivers are our
- only roads. When Congress surrounded many of

2.2

- 1 these crucial state waterways with federal park
- 2 areas, it consciously chose not to take away
- 3 state control over these crucial rivers.
- 4 Instead, Congress left them under
- 5 state control as part of its commitment to
- 6 providing adequate opportunity for satisfaction
- 7 of the economic and social needs of the State
- 8 of Alaska and its people.
- 9 This Court should reject the Park
- 10 Service's continuing attempts to commandeer
- 11 control of Alaska's navigable waters, because
- 12 that is not what Congress intended.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well,
- "commandeer" is strong language, but what --
- 15 what do you say for the -- the Park Service's
- 16 argument that, with respect to their reserved
- 17 water rights and so on, that you would be
- 18 creating a checkerboard sort of situation where
- 19 the Park Service has authority with respect to
- 20 some areas but not others along -- along the
- 21 river?
- MS. BOTSTEIN: It is true that within
- 23 these park areas there are areas of mixed
- 24 jurisdiction. Congress absolutely knew that
- 25 because it created islands of private and

- 1 native corporation land that were beyond the
- 2 reach of park management regulation and,
- 3 similarly, with the waters.
- 4 And that's consistent with the default
- 5 way that water management is done. I mean, PPL
- 6 Montana mandates a segment-by-segment
- 7 navigability analysis. So, even along large
- 8 waters, there is a mixed jurisdiction. That --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But what
- 10 authority would you say that the Park Service
- 11 has? I mean, you're asserting authority with
- 12 respect to the river. The Park Service in,
- apart from inholdings, has authority with
- 14 respect to the land.
- 15 How do you resolve conflicts that are
- 16 inevitably going to arise?
- MS. BOTSTEIN: What Congress did was
- 18 mandated cooperative management as a primary
- 19 management tool in these parks, so -- and this
- 20 gets back to the first question from the Court.
- Justice Sotomayor asked how can the Park
- 22 Service fulfill its statutory mission if it
- 23 doesn't have title to all the lands and the
- 24 waters.
- 25 What Congress said is you work

- 1 together and create a management plan for each
- 2 area, identify areas of concern on public and
- 3 non-public land, and work with landowners and
- 4 the State of Alaska to try to cooperatively
- 5 resolve those conflicts because Congress knew
- 6 it wasn't giving sole and exclusive
- 7 jurisdiction to the federal government.
- 8 If there's any doubt about that, Your
- 9 Honor --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I don't think
- 11 you've answered my question. How is the
- government, the federal government, supposed to
- 13 fulfill its statutory duties? There are many
- 14 rivers here that they're given explicit
- 15 obligations.
- Your basically saying 103(c) trumps
- 17 that doesn't make much sense to me. If a
- 18 statute tells the government do this and at the
- 19 same time reserves some rights to the state,
- doesn't the federal government's obligation to
- 21 do this, the explicit obligation to deal with
- 22 certain rivers in a particular way, trump any
- other exemption that you might have?
- 24 MS. BOTSTEIN: No, Your Honor, because
- 25 the statutory mission is limited to regulation

- on the public lands, on the federal lands.
- 2 Congress reserved state lands,
- 3 non-public lands to Alaska, private landowners,
- 4 or native corporations. Another way to
- 5 think --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I'm sorry,
- 7 just that's not true. Many of these rivers are
- 8 specifically named in the statute.
- 9 MS. BOTSTEIN: Yes.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And your position
- or your co-counsel's position is that all of
- these rivers belong to the state?
- MS. BOTSTEIN: The navigable rivers
- 14 that were state -- that were not federal owner
- 15 -- in ownership that passed to the state under
- 16 the Submerged Lands Act, yes.
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, we have a
- 18 problem with whether you can own navigable
- 19 waters, but that's a different issue.
- 20 MS. BOTSTEIN: What Congress did, Your
- 21 Honor, was said -- you know, when Congress
- 22 names the rivers as part of a watershed, in
- part what it's saying is, on the public lands,
- 24 your statutory mission is to regulate in a way
- 25 that protects these watersheds, protects access

- 1 to the watersheds, protects the watersheds,
- 2 but, at the same time, it is the state that has
- 3 jurisdiction over the water themselves.
- 4 And if there's any doubt about this,
- 5 if you look through Title 16, when Congress
- 6 created different national parks, it used
- 7 vastly different jurisdictional language.
- When Congress created Yellowstone,
- 9 which Justice Breyer mentioned, this is what it
- 10 said: The Yellowstone National Park, as its
- 11 boundaries now are defined or as they may
- hereinafter be defined or extended, shall be
- 13 under the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of
- 14 the United States.
- That's a very clear statement that
- says we drew a circle and everything within it
- 17 is federal; the Park Service can manage it. It
- does violence to Congress's differing intent to
- interpret Section 103(c) to mean the same as
- 20 what -- sole and exclusive federal
- 21 jurisdiction.
- 22 And Congress had very good reasons for
- giving Alaska more sovereign power, reserving
- 24 more sovereign power to Alaska than it did to
- Wyoming, because this statute is not a pure

- 1 conservation statute. This is also a statute
- 2 that fulfills the promises made to Alaska at
- 3 statehood and in the Native Claims Settlement
- 4 Act about local control and self-sufficiency
- 5 designed by Alaskans.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm having a hard
- 7 time accepting your position in this case with
- 8 your position that the Katie John decisions
- 9 should be retained. I don't know how we can
- 10 give different meaning to public lands in two
- 11 provisions of the same Act.
- MS. BOTSTEIN: Your Honor, giving
- effect to Congress's intent in ANILCA does --
- may require preserving the rural subsistence
- priority in Title 8 of the legislation, even if
- it does require a different statutory
- 17 definition.
- Now no party has challenged the
- 19 current federal subsistence management --
- 20 subsistence regulations. The briefing
- 21 certainly reflects this is an issue of great
- 22 concern to the people of Alaska and its rural
- 23 residents. And the Court should not upset
- those settled expectations of Alaskans today.
- 25 A different definition in these titles

- does reflect Congress's very different intent
- 2 in Title 8. Title 8 could have been its own
- 3 statute. It has its own statement of purpose.
- 4 It has its own -- it is the only place in this
- 5 extensive law where Congress specifically
- 6 exercised its commerce power.
- 7 And it has a federal takeover
- 8 provision that says Congress was so concerned
- 9 that there be an -- an enforceable subsistence
- 10 priority that it gave explicitly the federal
- 11 government the right to regulate that if the
- 12 state could not, which is how it played out.
- So we don't think the Court needs to
- 14 resolve this issue today, but we do ask that
- 15 the Court leave some space open for those to be
- 16 differently interpreted --
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: One could --
- MS. BOTSTEIN: -- in accordance with
- 19 Congress's intent.
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- if one defines
- them the same, but not in accordance with your
- views, but in accordance with the government's
- 23 current view, and the Katie law decisions
- 24 view --
- MS. BOTSTEIN: The statute --

Т	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: then you don't
2	win here.
3	MS. BOTSTEIN: The statute does
4	contain one definition. We've cited to the
5	Court in our brief cases that do suggest, in
6	these long complicated statutes, we do look to
7	Congress's intent in the context of the
8	statute, and that can mean that a term does
9	have different meaning in different sections
10	when that is what Congress intended.
11	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So why isn't an
12	all of the references to the government's
13	control of rivers in this Act a similar
14	statement of purpose?
15	MS. BOTSTEIN: Because those need to
16	be read in the context of 103(c), which doesn't
17	say the federal government can come in and
18	regulate these rivers if we don't compel and
19	ask them to do that.
20	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Or regulate
21	subsistence living, but you're arguing that the
22	purpose of the statute is reflected in its
23	structure and words. And the structure and
24	words here are giving the government defined
25	statutory duties for any number of rivers

- 1 within this compound.
- 2 So it -- I don't see the difference in
- 3 the logic.
- 4 MS. BOTSTEIN: Your Honor, the
- 5 statutory duties that the Park Service is
- 6 given, is delegated to regulate for
- 7 non-subsistence purposes, is limited by Section
- 8 103(c) --
- 9 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: You agree --
- 10 MS. BOTSTEIN: -- and that is a
- 11 meaningful restriction.
- 12 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: You agree with the
- 13 Katie John decisions, correct?
- MS. BOTSTEIN: We are not challenging
- the federal subsistence management regulations
- 16 that were mentioned.
- 17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Do you -- do you
- 18 -- does the State of Alaska agree with those
- 19 decisions?
- MS. BOTSTEIN: Your Honor, the
- 21 reasoning of those decisions may be appropriate
- 22 to -- for the limited purpose of effectuating
- 23 Title 8 but should not be expanded to change
- 24 the federal reserved water rights doctrine
- 25 throughout the circuit for all purposes.

And, certainly, Congress had good 1 2 reasons for treating Alaska differently than other states in the main body of the statute 3 4 because this comes back to the Congress's 5 special solicitude for Alaska and its 6 uniqueness, which are concerns this Court spoke 7 about in its 2016 opinion. This is not a situation where we're 8 9 talking about tourists who might be disturbing a wilderness area. This is a situation where 10 11 people are living and working along these 12 rivers and using them for transportation, for commerce, for fishing. 13 14 And these are exactly the reasons that 15 states were given -- if -- if I may finish my 16 sentence, Your Honor? 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sure. 18 MS. BOTSTEIN: These are exactly the 19 reasons that states were given control of their submerged lands under the Submerged Lands Act. 20 And Congress wanted to effectuate those 21 2.2 purposes in this statute. Thank you. 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 24 counsel.

Mr. Kneedler.

1	ORAL ARGUMENT OF EDWIN S. KNEEDLER
2	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
3	MR. KNEEDLER: Mr. Chief Justice
4	excuse me and may it please the Court:
5	I'd like to identify at the outset two
6	statutes that have not been discussed which we
7	think are very important to understand the
8	provisions of ANILCA at issue here.
9	The first is a general statute enacted
LO	in 1976 and added to the Park Service's general
L1	authorities, which is reproduced in our in
L2	our brief at page 8a, and it says the
L3	Secretary, under such terms and conditions, et
L4	cetera, will have the authority to issue
L5	regulations concerning boating and other
L6	activities on or relating to water located
L7	within system units.
L8	That is a general authority, contrary
L9	to Petitioner's argument, that specifically
20	delegates to the Park Service, along with the
21	Coast Guard, power to regulate navigable waters
22	in the national park system.
23	So the question here is whether that
24	was somehow abrogated when it comes to Alaska.
25	And I think the answer to that question is in

- 1 another provision that -- that is not
- 2 mentioned.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Mr. Kneedler, before
- 4 we get to the abrogation question --
- 5 MR. KNEEDLER: Yes.
- 6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- I'd just like to
- 7 understand your argument on the terms of -- of
- 8 the '76 Act itself a little bit better. It
- 9 says the Secretary may prescribe regulations
- 10 concerning boating and other activities on or
- 11 relating to water within system units. And I'm
- 12 paraphrasing, but I think that's about it.
- 13 And I'd understand your argument
- 14 better, I think, if the -- if the statute read
- 15 that the Secretary could regulate water in or
- 16 relating to system units, so not just water
- 17 within system units but also water outside
- 18 system units, like the water here that might
- 19 have some downstream effect, say.
- 20 But that's not what the statute says.
- 21 It says that the -- it may prescribe
- 22 regulations concerning boating or other
- 23 activities that themselves relate to water in
- 24 system units.
- 25 So I would think that the government

- 1 would have to prove some nexus between boating
- 2 or the other activities and the water within
- 3 the government's system units. And I just
- 4 didn't see that story told here, how Mr.
- 5 Sturgeon's hovercraft would in some way impact
- 6 water within the system units, meaning public
- 7 -- public lands, public waters.
- 8 MR. KNEEDLER: Okay. So if -- if I
- 9 could answer that, the -- that, I think, does
- 10 go to the abrogation question. This is a
- 11 general statute that applies within --
- 12 JUSTICE GORSUCH: No. I'm -- I'm
- 13 asking whether -- whether you even --
- MR. KNEEDLER: Yeah. No, no, I'm --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- you even qualify
- 16 under this statute before we get to abrogation.
- 17 MR. KNEEDLER: Yes. Well, I think
- 18 under -- they are within -- they are located
- 19 within the outer boundaries of --
- 20 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Within the outer
- 21 boundaries but -- but not necessarily from --
- 22 we know from ANILCA, within the unit itself.
- MR. KNEEDLER: Well, that goes
- 24 directly to the --
- 25 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Okay. But assume

- 1 for the -- for the moment that I'm -- I'm --
- 2 I'm persuaded that it's not within the unit,
- 3 okay, and that you have to rely on relating to
- 4 the unit. All right?
- 5 What's your argument then? Do you
- 6 have one?
- 7 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, non-navigable
- 8 waters -- I mean, first of all, we're talking
- 9 in -- in this instance about a -- a river that
- 10 runs through federal lands on both sides. And
- it's -- it's been determined to be navigable,
- 12 but it is -- it is within the federal -- the
- 13 federal bounds. It may be --
- 14 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Okay. If I don't
- buy that argument, then do you have anything
- 16 left?
- 17 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, it would -- it
- 18 would affect the non-navigable waters within
- 19 the area. There could be stretches of the
- 20 river that would be non-navigable under this
- 21 Court's decision in PPL.
- 22 JUSTICE GORSUCH: That's not -- I
- 23 guess that doesn't help me either. I'm
- wondering whether you have any argument that
- 25 the use of the hovercraft outside the system

- 1 units, boating activity outside the system unit
- 2 -- premise me -- work on that premise -- would
- 3 have any effect on the water within the system
- 4 unit?
- 5 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, it -- it has --
- 6 it has -- a hovercraft could have -- they're
- 7 very loud, they're unsightly, and I don't -- I
- 8 don't read this to say that the effect has to
- 9 be on the water.
- 10 The purpose of giving the regulation,
- 11 regulatory authority to the Park Service is to
- 12 enable it to fulfill the purposes of the park
- as a whole, not just the waters.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Do we know from the
- 15 record that the hovercraft could be heard
- within the system unit itself?
- 17 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, there were --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Let alone seen.
- 19 MR. KNEEDLER: -- there were federal
- 20 lands on -- on both sides of the -- of the --
- of the water. So -- so as -- when operating, I
- 22 think it could surely be --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Okay.
- MR. KNEEDLER: -- be heard on the
- lands.

1 But if I could go to the second 2 statutory provision I wanted -- wanted to cite, this is in 410hh-2 that we cite in our brief, 3 4 again, against the backdrop of the 1976 5 statute, it says "the Secretary shall administer the lands, waters, and interests 6 7 therein added to existing areas or established by the foregoing sections of ANILCA" -- the one 8 that lists the parks -- "in accordance with the 9 Organic Act as amended and supplemented." In 10 other words, in accordance with the general 11 12 authorities, which includes the 1976 Act. 13 This provision, far from abrogating the Secretary's authority, confirms that with 14 15 respect to the waters that were added to the --16 to the parks, to the park system --JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. I've got --17 18 MR. KNEEDLER: -- the Secretary can 19 invoke the 1976 Act. JUSTICE BREYER: So your point here, 20 which we'll hear something about probably on 21 2.2 rebuttal, is that there's some other statutes 23 here that, whatever it says in -- in 103(c), 24 give direct authority to the Secretary to do 25 this. I see where you're driving at.

1 But I'd like to go back to 103(c) 2 because the question that Justice Kagan asked 3 was a question that was in my mind too, and it 4 is to do with the word "solely." 5 And either they -- he can answer this 6 on rebuttal too if he wants. Imagine something 7 like Yellowstone, not perfectly, but it's a square and it is mostly -- it's federal, but 8 there are a few houses belonging to Smith and 9 10 Jones that are private, and the -- pass a 11 statute, a req, and the req says: Oh, no 12 bonfires within the boundaries of the park, 13 which means Smith can't do it either. 14 Now is that a reg that is a reg solely 15 relating to lands to which the U.S. has title? 16 Well, I can -- the argument that it couldn't 17 possibly be for the purposes of this statute is you wouldn't need -- you wouldn't need sentence 18 19 2 at all if that were the case. You just wouldn't need it, period, because it wouldn't 20 apply to the river regardless because it says 21 2.2 it wouldn't. Okay? So sentence 2 must have some purpose. 23 24 And, therefore, when the national park system 25 has a reg which says "applies within the

- 1 boundaries of a national park," that is a rule
- 2 that relates only to public lands. And if it
- 3 doesn't -- see, without that, this is
- 4 meaningless, and so it must mean that, and so
- 5 it must be that that kind of thing is what you
- 6 can't do to enclaves within public lands in
- 7 this area. And the river is such an enclave
- 8 because it is not a piece of property to which
- 9 the United States has title.
- Now that, I think, is their argument.
- 11 I've had a hard time grasping the arguments in
- 12 this case, but I think that that is their
- 13 argument.
- If I am right, what's the answer to
- 15 it, if there is one?
- 16 MR. KNEEDLER: There are a number of
- 17 answers to that, and there -- there are several
- 18 respects in which 103(c), the second sentence,
- 19 is inapplicable.
- 20 Perhaps the most basic is the fact
- 21 that 103(c), that second sentence, refers to --
- 22 excuse me -- refers to land -- no lands which
- 23 have been conveyed to a state.
- 24 The -- the Submerged Lands Act
- 25 conveyed to the state only submerged lands and

- 1 interests in waters. It did not convey the
- 2 waters themselves.
- 3 And so that -- so the second sentence
- 4 of 103(c) does not affect the Park Service's
- 5 regulation of navigable waters, which --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: I got that one. Is
- 7 there another one? Because that one, I don't
- 8 know if water is stuff you could convey and I
- 9 don't know art.
- 10 But -- but is there another one?
- 11 MR. KNEEDLER: No, Justice, if I could
- 12 just finish my point.
- 13 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm not --
- MR. KNEEDLER: No, that -- that's --
- that's critical to the point I was making
- before, that the 1976 Act is one of general
- 17 applicability, specifically giving the
- 18 Secretary the authority to regulate waters,
- 19 including navigable waters.
- 20 And the other statute I mentioned
- 21 specifically says that the Secretary may
- 22 regulate the waters added to these park units
- 23 according to the general authorities, which
- includes the '76 Act, and that ties directly to
- 25 the fact that the waters, the navigable waters,

1 were not conveyed to the state, and, therefore, 2 the Secretary's regulatory authority over such 3 waters is not -- is not affected at all by the 4 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Can --6 JUSTICE ALITO: Who has title to --7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Can I ask the question in reverse? What can't you do? Under 8 9 your reading of this statute, what sorts of 10 regulations can't you pass? 11 Because, if you can identify a 12 permissible and impermissible, you're giving meaning to this. If you don't, you're 13 14 basically saying there's no meaning. 15 MR. KNEEDLER: And I -- I think it may 16 be useful to distinguish two different types of 17 -- of non -- of -- of land not owned by the 18 United States. The one were the inholdings, so 19 the issue here was -- that was different about 20 Alaska was that, within the outer boundaries, there were lands selected by the state or 21 2.2 selected by native corporations, and Congress 23 did not want them to be administered just like the Park Service lands themselves, the -- the 24 25 usual Park Service lands. It wanted them to be

- 1 set apart.
- 2 The other category -- and that is --
- 3 that is what the legislative history that the
- 4 other side refers to was all about, was
- 5 preserving the ability of the native
- 6 corporations to use the large tracts of land
- 7 that they had selected. It was not about
- 8 navigable waters. That's the other category of
- 9 -- at issue here.
- 10 And, again, the state only owns the
- 11 bed. It's -- it's -- it's an established
- 12 principle --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: But, Mr. Kneedler --
- MR. KNEEDLER: -- of navigable waters
- 15 --
- 16 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- I haven't yet
- 17 heard an answer to Justice Sotomayor's question
- 18 when it comes to water. Does the government
- 19 claim plenary authority over all waterways in
- 20 Alaska?
- MR. KNEEDLER: No. We're only --
- we're only talking here about waterways,
- 23 navigable waterways within national parks.
- 24 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Right. But
- 25 everything relates. All waterways are

- 1 connected. And you say it's not just the
- 2 waterway but anything related to the waterway
- 3 that you own or that you claim to have land on
- 4 besides --
- 5 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, it --
- 6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- so where is the
- 7 limit?
- 8 MR. KNEEDLER: It's -- it's well --
- 9 it's well established under -- under the
- 10 navigational servitude and -- and, in fact, the
- 11 Submerged Lands Act preserves to Congress the
- 12 ability to regulate in the interest of
- 13 commerce.
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: So it's plenary --
- it's plenary then, right?
- 16 MR. KNEEDLER: I -- I -- it's --
- it's pretty close to plenary, but this Court
- 18 has recognized that there is -- but the
- 19 Secretary hasn't exercised it to that degree,
- 20 but -- but the -- this Court has recognized in
- 21 cases involving navigable water that the fact
- that the state owns the submerged lands does
- 23 not interfere with Congress's ability to
- 24 regulate the waters themselves.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but

- 1 that's -- the --
- MR. KNEEDLER: The Clean Water Act,
- 3 for example.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The
- 5 navigational servitude, I mean, that's really
- 6 about if Alaska decided to, you know, build a
- 7 bridge across the river and things like that.
- 8 I don't know that it reaches as far to justify
- 9 any type of regulation on -- on the water.
- MR. KNEEDLER: Well, Congress
- 11 regulates, again, outside of parks, regulates
- 12 extensively navigable waters for dredging and
- 13 filling, for --
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It regulates
- 15 navigable waters. The question --
- 16 MR. KNEEDLER: -- the Clean Water Act,
- 17 for pollution.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, all
- 19 sorts of things. And that's, as the state
- 20 reads it and the private party, that's what the
- 21 "solely" is for. They agree that the Clean
- 22 Water Act applies. They agree that the
- 23 navigational servitude applies. I think they
- 24 agree that the reserved water rights apply.
- 25 They -- what they don't agree is that

- 1 that is a lever that gives you authority to do
- 2 this sort of day-to-day regulation, such as,
- 3 you know, the hovercraft traffic.
- 4 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, the --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And while --
- 6 while you may think a hovercraft is unsightly,
- 7 I mean, if you're trying to get from point A to
- 8 point B, it's pretty beautiful.
- 9 (Laughter.)
- MR. KNEEDLER: Well, there are --
- 11 there are -- there are a number of instances
- 12 within the Act in which Congress has
- 13 specifically required the Secretary to
- 14 accommodate, to take into account what's
- different about Alaska, by requiring them to
- 16 accommodate methods of transportation like air.
- 17 We mentioned boating.
- 18 The fact that the Secretary is -- is
- 19 permitted to regulate boating only subject --
- 20 only reasonably means that he can regulate
- 21 boating, means the National Park Service can
- 22 regulate boating --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So am I to --
- MR. KNEEDLER: -- on -- on waters
- 25 within the park.

1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Can I summarize 2 what I think you said? Are you saying that 3 103(c) basically, because of the navigational 4 servitude, the other regulations you've pointed 5 to, doesn't permit the government to regulate activities on the territorial lands or -- or on 6 7 the submerged lands, but it does give it basically plenary authority over navigable 8 waters? 9 10 MR. KNEEDLER: I -- I hesitate to say 11 plenary. I think it gives it -- it preserves 12 for the -- through the Park Service whatever the scope of authority that -- that Congress 13 14 would have or the federal government has over 15 navigable waters. 16 The uplands are very different --17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So you're basically saying, whatever the regulations were 18 19 under the Organic Act or even under this Act, 20 and charging you with taking care of certain parks, that the navigable waters are part of 21 2.2 that charge? MR. KNEEDLER: Yes. 23 The uplands are different, and that's really what drove 103(c), 24 25 was to make sure that these land selections

- 1 were not going to be subject to the general
- 2 regulations of the Park Service.
- And, in fact, that's been exactly
- 4 true. There -- there are -- there are really
- 5 only three sets of regulations that the Park
- 6 Service has applied in -- outside of federally
- 7 owned lands. One is the regulation of
- 8 navigable waters pursuant to an express
- 9 statutory authorization in the '76 Act. The
- 10 other two have to do with the regulation of
- 11 solid waste pursuant to a specific statutory
- 12 directive to regulate within the boundaries of
- 13 national park units, just like this statute
- talks about within system units, and the other
- is mining in areas of the national park system,
- 16 which the Park Service has applied regulations
- 17 there. All three pursuant to specific
- 18 statutory directives.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So your -- you
- 20 think --
- 21 MR. KNEEDLER: The Park Service has
- 22 not done more than that.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So -- so you
- think the state's argument works with respect
- 25 to solid land, land land?

```
MR. KNEEDLER: Well, there is --
 1
 2
               CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's -- it's
 3
      only because you don't think that water is
 4
      included in public lands that their argument
 5
      doesn't work?
 6
               MR. KNEEDLER: No, their -- well,
 7
      it's because --
               CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's only
 8
      because it is water?
 9
10
               MR. KNEEDLER: Water -- water was not
      conveyed to the state. That's the first
11
12
      argument.
13
               The second argument is, if you have a
14
      regulation that, in the case -- examples I
15
      mentioned, regulations issued pursuant to
16
      statutory directive to apply to both public and
17
      non-public lands within the national park, that
18
      comes within the reference they are not
19
      regulations applicable solely to public lands
20
      and --
21
               CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But that's --
2.2
      that's the -- that's one of your arguments that
23
      causes me concern, because you're saying that
24
      if the regulation applies to the -- the private
25
      or state land, then it is not a regulation
```

- 1 solely applicable to public land and,
- 2 therefore, it's not covered.
- 3 But the -- the sentence is obviously
- 4 designed to protect the state, the natives, and
- 5 the private landholders against the federal
- 6 government or the Park Service to whatever
- 7 extent we can debate. But to say that all the
- 8 Park Service has to do to get around it is say,
- 9 oh, and this applies to the inholdings, that
- 10 can't be right.
- MR. KNEEDLER: Well, I'm not saying --
- 12 I'm not -- in fact, I would disclaim the
- 13 proposition that the Park Service could treat
- 14 them as -- as -- as -- the same way it treats
- 15 regular Park Service lands. It cannot do that.
- 16 And the only examples where it has issued
- 17 regulations that go beyond that are pursuant to
- 18 specific statutory directive, of which the 1976
- 19 Act regulating waters is one. Now that's --
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: But, if I understand
- 21 your view, Mr. Kneedler, what you're saying
- this means is that non-public lands shall not
- 23 be subject to regulations that are applicable
- 24 only to public lands.
- 25 And you don't need a statute to tell

- 1 you that. Of course, non-public lands aren't
- 2 subject to regulations applicable solely to
- 3 public lands. If that's what the statute was
- 4 saying, who would need a statute?
- 5 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, I -- I think the
- 6 purpose of the statute -- and, again, I think
- 7 this comes through in the legislative history
- 8 that -- that is cited on the other side -- the
- 9 native groups were concerned, and as was the
- 10 state, that because large tracts of land that
- 11 they had selected were going to be included
- 12 within the -- in the -- within the outer
- boundaries, that they were not going to be --
- 14 that they would be treated just like -- they
- wanted assurance that they wouldn't be treated
- 16 just like Park Service.
- 17 And that's what this did. It's
- important to recognize that this is subsection
- 19 (c) of a section that deals with maps. It
- 20 isn't -- it doesn't -- you would think if there
- 21 was some major substantive change -- work that
- 22 this was supposed to do aside from the
- 23 substantive regulations, it would appear
- 24 elsewhere.
- 25 And there may be -- I think it --

```
1
               JUSTICE KAGAN: But just on the face
 2
      of things, Mr. Kneedler, if -- if the Park
 3
      Service issues a regulation and the regulation
 4
      says this applies only to public lands within a
 5
      park, right, and you're not a public land
      within a park, you're a private land within a
 6
 7
      park, what kind of assurance do you need?
               It's like you know that you're not a
 8
      public land, so it doesn't matter that you're
 9
      in the park. You don't need a special statute
10
      to tell you that, do you? You only need a
11
12
      special statute if the special statute exempts
      you from something that would otherwise apply
13
14
      to you.
15
               MR. KNEEDLER: With all respect, I
16
      don't think that's correct. I think that the
17
      -- I think that there was a lot of debate about
      -- about different versions of the statute.
18
      And I -- and I think if you -- if you recall,
19
20
      as I said, this was in a section dealing with
      maps, and the statute required that the -- that
21
      the -- that the lot -- the boundaries -- that
2.2
23
      maps be published identifying what the parks
24
      were.
25
               Those maps might have -- and -- and,
```

- 1 in fact, I think did -- just outline the outer
- boundaries. And so subsection (c) says, well,
- 3 yeah, that -- that may be the boundaries of
- 4 what was designated, but we want to be clear
- 5 that it's only -- it's only the public lands
- 6 that will be deemed to be portions --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But you're not
- 8 taking --
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: Though I think there
- 10 are --
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: Can I ask a question
- 12 about --
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- adequate
- 14 account of -- of the third sentence. I mean,
- 15 you're trying to minimize it by saying it's
- 16 maps. The third sentence has to illuminate the
- 17 first two. And what it says is, if a state, a
- 18 native corporation, or an owner wants to convey
- 19 lands to the Secretary, it can.
- 20 In other words, if you -- the -- the
- 21 -- the Secretary, feels that you need to have
- 22 authority over areas that you don't, it tells
- 23 you in -- in the third sentence how to do it:
- 24 get the state or the native corporation to
- 25 convey it to you.

1 That would be an odd sentence to 2 include if this were not -- if this were a -- a 3 -- a protection you could write around just by 4 saying, oh, and, by the way, this applies to 5 the -- the inholders. MR. KNEEDLER: No, I -- I don't think 6 7 so at all. I mean, I think -- I think this provision was in there because if the -- if you 8 had native or state selected lands or native 9 lands, the corporation -- the native 10 corporation, they were -- if they decided to 11 12 sell their land, this just says that the Park 13 Service could purchase it. 14 JUSTICE BREYER: If you -- let me go 15 back to this question because this is obviously 16 the question that's bothering some of us, okay? 17 And it seems to me you sort of answered it both ways. You're not -- I -- I 18 started out thinking that if a reg applies to 19 Mr. Smith's inholding in Yosemite because it 20 applies to all of Yosemite, that that is solely 21 2.2 public lands. 23 Because if the only things that count as a reg for public lands -- we've said 24 25 this three times -- are -- are those regs that

- 1 say they don't apply to Smith's inholding, you
- 2 don't need this statute, okay? That's the
- 3 basic thing.
- 4 Now some of what you said seems to
- 5 agree with that and some of it does not. But
- 6 what I took your basic arguments to be, one,
- 7 that water, unlike Mr. Smith's cabin, is close
- 8 enough to public lands that it's out of this
- 9 thing.
- Two, even if it isn't, there are other
- 11 statutes that give specific authority to the
- 12 government to regulate the water. And one of
- them might be general. One of them might be
- 14 the ones you just started off your argument
- 15 with. One of them might be -- I don't know.
- 16 There are two or three on that.
- Now I think I've got this very helpful
- argument right at least to what you're arguing.
- 19 And is there something else, or do I have it so
- wrong it's hardly worth answering?
- 21 MR. KNEEDLER: No, I -- I think
- 22 it's --
- 23 (Laughter.)
- MR. KNEEDLER: -- I think it's
- 25 basically correct, but there is the category of

- 1 regulations that are not applicable solely to
- 2 public lands because -- because they have been
- 3 made applicable to inholdings within the Park
- 4 Service.
- 5 Whether or not that's valid in any
- 6 particular case is a different matter, but
- 7 there are three, as I mentioned, that were done
- 8 pursuant to statutory authorization, and those,
- 9 I think, must be valid because Congress has
- 10 authorized them.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Counsel, I
- 12 think --
- MR. KNEEDLER: But that is not really
- involved here. Here, we're only talking about
- 15 waters which were not --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Counsel,
- 17 Justice Alito has been trying to ask a
- 18 question.
- 19 MR. KNEEDLER: I'm sorry.
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 JUSTICE ALITO: Thank you, Chief
- 22 Justice.
- I just wanted to ask you a question
- 24 about implied reserved water rights. In the
- 25 cases where we have dealt with that, the

- 1 government has been asked to show in detail the
- 2 purpose of the reservation and the volume of
- 3 water that's necessary to achieve that purpose.
- 4 Do you have to make any kind of
- 5 showing like that here?
- 6 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, in the 1999
- 7 regulations that Congress allowed to go into
- 8 effect, the -- the Park Service by rule
- 9 identified the Park Service units or the areas
- 10 added or expanded by ANILCA in which there were
- 11 reserved water rights. And when you look at
- 12 the purposes for which these units were
- 13 established, it's clear that water was a
- 14 central purpose of them.
- In fact, the one we have here is the
- 16 Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserve, and it
- 17 -- and it specifically defines as one of the
- 18 purposes to preserve the entire Charley river
- 19 basin, including streams and lakes.
- 20 So that -- that clearly identifies the
- 21 protection of the integrity of those waters and
- 22 the -- and the -- the scenic values associated
- 23 with them. That's why we have national parks.
- 24 That's why we have this national preserve.
- 25 So I -- I think it's clear that water

- 1 is reserved for the purposes of these
- 2 reservations, every one of which either refers
- 3 to specific bodies of water or to aquatic
- 4 activities, such as fishing or boating or
- 5 access.
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: So what has been
- 7 reserved -- what has been reserved here is
- 8 plenary authority for the federal government --
- 9 MR. KNEEDLER: No.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: -- to regulate the
- 11 navigable waters?
- MR. KNEEDLER: The -- the --
- 13 the -- the -- the extent of the -- of the --
- 14 and -- and the -- the Ninth Circuit's opinion
- in Katie John III makes this clear, the extent
- or even, frankly, the existence at a particular
- 17 location of a reserved water right has not been
- 18 decided.
- 19 If -- if there's an adjudication down
- 20 the road that the reserved water right does not
- 21 extend to some stretch or another area, that
- 22 could be resolved. But what the -- what the
- 23 Interior Department had to do in light of the
- 24 Katie John decisions was to identify the areas
- 25 that for the time being in its view were

- 1 subject to reserved water rights.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, no, I wasn't
- 3 asking about the geographical limits of it.
- 4 I'm asking about the regulatory limits.
- 5 As to water for which there is a
- 6 reserved right, the federal government, the
- 7 Park Service can do -- can regulate completely,
- 8 as it -- is that right?
- 9 MR. KNEEDLER: I -- I wouldn't -- I --
- 10 I -- I think within the national park system it
- 11 overlaps with the 1976 statute that I -- that I
- 12 mentioned, which I -- I think directly -- you
- don't have to go through the reserved water
- 14 rights approach to get there -- within national
- parks, the -- the -- Katie John's subsistence
- 16 use could have been satisfied by relying on the
- 17 1976 Act and not relying on reserved water
- 18 rights.
- And all we have here are navigable
- 20 waters within national parks. But, no, I --
- 21 the extent of what regulatory power might be
- triggered would be different.
- 23 If I could go back to the Chief
- 24 Justice's question.
- 25 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, could I just

- 1 slip in one more question since you referred to
- 2 Katie -- to Katie John, and I'll ask you the
- 3 same question that was asked of counsel for
- 4 Alaska.
- If we were to rule against you here,
- 6 would that necessarily mean that the Katie John
- 7 decision was incorrect?
- 8 MR. KNEEDLER: I -- I would certainly
- 9 hope not, but -- but, I mean, I think
- 10 Petitioners have a different -- Petitioner and
- 11 the State have a difficult argument because
- 12 Katie John and the regulations implementing it,
- once the Congress specifically allowed to go
- into effect with full acknowledge that Katie
- 15 John was out there, it turns on the definition
- of public lands, which is a term that runs
- 17 throughout the Act, which is, we think, a good
- 18 reason why -- why it should be upheld.
- 19 At the very least, Katie John
- 20 demonstrates the importance of federal
- 21 regulation of waters within these areas, in
- 22 that instance for -- for subsistence uses.
- 23 If I could just finish the answer
- 24 about sentence 3 of -- of 103 -- 103(c). One
- of the -- one of the things the Park Service

- 1 could never do is grant access to private
- 2 lands. The Park Service not only regulates
- 3 things that you can't do in national parks but
- 4 things that they have to allow, like access,
- 5 camping, picnicking.
- 6 Well, obviously, the Park Service
- 7 cannot allow people to have private -- have
- 8 access to the private inholdings. So one of
- 9 the reasons why the Park Service might want to
- 10 acquire the adjacent lands or the inholdings
- 11 would be for the purpose of allowing public
- 12 access to those areas.
- But I also want to underscore that
- 14 there are so many provisions of ANILCA that
- 15 specifically refer to water and, in fact, the
- 16 regulation of water. One of the ones I
- mentioned, 3170(a), specifically allows the
- 18 Park Service to regulate boating in -- in these
- 19 areas.
- That picks up on the 1976 Act, the
- 21 general application that is made specific here
- 22 by allowing regulation of boating. There's
- 3121(b) which requires access for subsistence
- 24 unit -- units -- uses. There's the Wild and
- 25 Scenic Rivers Act, which the whole purpose of

- designating a river within these national parks
- 2 is to preserve the river.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: But there's
- 4 nothing that says that the Park Service has
- 5 plenary authority over all the navigable rivers
- 6 within the conservation system unit, nor is
- 7 there any indication by any member of Congress
- 8 of such a authority?
- 9 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, I mean, putting
- to one side whatever we might mean by plenary,
- 11 the 1976 Act specifically gives the parks --
- 12 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: But this would
- 13 have been a --
- MR. KNEEDLER: -- authority over
- 15 water.
- 16 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Sorry to
- interrupt. This would have been a huge deal
- 18 for the people of Alaska and the
- 19 representatives from Alaska to accept full or
- 20 close to full Park Service authority over all
- 21 the navigable rivers, yet --
- 22 MR. KNEEDLER: I -- I -- to the
- 23 contrary. I -- I -- I see no indication in
- 24 that, and this 1410hh-2 that I mentioned
- 25 specifically says that the waters added to

- 1 these areas are subject to regulation under the
- 2 Park Service's general authority, which
- 3 includes the 1976 Act.
- 4 I think the extraordinary thing would
- 5 be to say that -- that the federal government
- 6 through the Park Service did not have the
- 7 authority to regulate navigable waters, not
- 8 just any navigable waters but navigable waters
- 9 in park areas set aside for the very purpose,
- often express purpose of preserving the values
- of the rivers and lakes and streams that were
- 12 in their midst.
- The -- this -- this is a very
- 14 water-centric statute. And I think it would
- turn it upside down to say that Congress, of
- 16 all things, was incapable of regulating the
- 17 navigable waters within -- within the park
- 18 system.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but, I
- 20 mean, the waters are very important to Alaskan
- 21 way of life in the way they aren't elsewhere.
- 22 And I -- I guess the argument on the other
- side, it would be pretty extraordinary if you
- 24 go to the trouble to say you only can regulate
- 25 lands with respect to which you have title, and

- 1 you say from that you get the authority over
- 2 the rivers, even though title in the submerged
- 3 lands is in the state?
- 4 MR. KNEEDLER: Well, our argument
- 5 doesn't depend on the title question or -- or
- 6 control over navigable waters. But the title
- 7 question is involved in -- if -- if -- on the
- 8 -- on the -- on the Katie John rationale.
- 9 But, on the points you mentioned,
- 10 ANILCA itself embodies the compromise or the --
- or the balance of the competing values.
- 12 In most parks, you can't hunt.
- 13 Hunting is permitted in national preserves,
- including this one. In -- in most places, you
- 15 can't have airplane use. Well, here, you are
- 16 allowed to have airplane use.
- 17 There's specific provisions for access
- 18 to inholdings, something that you don't
- 19 normally have in other national parks, but,
- 20 because there were inholdings, there are
- 21 provisions for that. There's provisions for --
- for boating and other access to subsistence
- uses.
- 24 The very things that make Alaska
- 25 different are accommodated in this statute.

- 1 But one of the things that -- that is not
- 2 different about Alaska is the importance of the
- 3 federal government having control over the
- 4 navigable waters that are the centerpiece of
- 5 the parks.
- 6 What is different about Alaska is the
- 7 large tracts of inholdings, which is really
- 8 what the focus of 103(c) was. And in that
- 9 situation and only in very limited
- 10 circumstances has the Park Service ever applied
- 11 regulations that go beyond simply the public
- 12 lands to -- to embrace the broader -- the
- 13 broader system of -- of -- of lands.
- And, again, this is the Yukon-Charley
- 15 River's national monument. It would be -- or
- 16 national preserve. It would be extraordinary
- 17 to conclude that the Park Service, without some
- 18 express statement to that effect in the -- in
- 19 the statute, could not regulate it.
- 20 And, as I say, this statute giving it
- 21 the authority to regulate waters is -- is
- 22 explicit on that point.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- counsel.
- 25 Five minutes, Mr. Findley.

1	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF MATTHEW T. FINDLEY
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
3	MR. FINDLEY: Yes, thank you.
4	Counsel several times cited the
5	provision of ANILCA, saying these parks and
6	preserves shall be governed in accord to the
7	Organic Act. Counsel forgot to finish the
8	provision of the statute that says "and as
9	amended or modified by ANILCA."
LO	So every time they refer to the
L1	Organic Act they have to read it together with
L2	ANILCA. And you have to read it with Section
L3	103(c), at the very front of the statute, it's
L4	a linchpin, and it's foundational. And what
L5	it's designed to do is say, if the federal
L6	government doesn't have title, it's not public
L7	land, it is not part of the park, and it's
L8	there to prevent the Park Service from using
L9	its Organic Act authority to regulate
20	extraterritorially to land that is not part of
21	the unit.
22	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The problem is you
23	don't have title to the water. I mean, you
24	suggest that there are some cases who say
25	effectively it is, but effectively is different

- 1 than is.
- 2 Navigable waters are navigable waters.
- 3 We rarely think of them as someone having title
- 4 to them, but we do think of them as having
- 5 interest in them. And if there's two
- 6 interests, the federal government's and the
- 7 state's, don't they win?
- 8 Because, if they have an interest,
- 9 they have a public interest that, by statute,
- 10 is being directed. I mean, there are 26 rivers
- 11 designated as wild and scenic rivers here.
- 12 There are all sorts of -- I've
- 13 mentioned this repeatedly -- all sorts of
- 14 statutory obligations that the government's
- 15 being given under this particular Act to
- 16 preserve these waterways in a particular way.
- 17 So I -- I don't understand. If you
- don't have title, does this -- at least with
- 19 respect to navigable waters, do you have any
- 20 claim whatsoever?
- 21 MR. FINDLEY: What matters here is
- that the United States does not have title to
- 23 those waters and does not have title to the
- 24 submerged lands. Once that's the case, they
- 25 aren't public lands. They aren't part of these

- 1 units. And the Park Service may not use its
- 2 Organic Act authority to reach out and regulate
- 3 them.
- 4 You asked the Park Service early on a
- 5 very foundational question: What does 103(c)
- 6 prohibit in your view? And 20 minutes later
- 7 there was no answer from the Park Service.
- 8 The reality is, in their view, any
- 9 time they feel it is necessary or appropriate
- 10 to regulate outside the boundaries of public
- lands, they feel they can do that.
- Now they feel, well, we haven't done
- it that often, but this is exactly what Section
- 14 103(c) was designed to prevent. They are
- 15 looking at 751(b) -- go ahead. Sorry, I
- 16 thought I heard a question come in.
- They are looking at 751(b) and they
- 18 are relying on that phrase, "activities on or
- related to water," to justify regulating water
- that is not part of the unit, and there's no
- 21 limiting principle to that.
- 22 Activities on or relating to water
- 23 could very easily be read as activities taking
- 24 place on native corporation land within the
- 25 unit. All of that is exterritorial regulation.

- 1 That is what Section 103(c) was specifically
- designed to prevent, so every time the Park
- 3 Service wanted to promulgate a regulation to
- 4 reach out to non-public land that is not part
- of the unit, the State of Alaska, a native
- 6 corporation, or a private party did not have to
- 7 go petition the court and say: Please don't do
- 8 this. That was the central deal of ANILCA.
- 9 And the waters were as crucial to that
- 10 as a native corporation land and the other
- inholdings. As my friend from the state made
- 12 very clear, and for the State of Alaska, the
- 13 rivers are the roads. And while the Act
- 14 constantly references rivers and waters, you
- 15 need to give effect to both dual balancing that
- 16 Congress was doing.
- 17 By adding over 100 million acres of
- land, public land to these units, you are
- 19 achieving significant protection of the waters,
- and you're also protecting all waters where the
- 21 -- where the state does not own the submerged
- 22 lands. So regulation of those public lands,
- indeed, protects the waters.
- 24 What we are talking about here is the
- 25 state's authority to retain primary control

Т	over the use of its rivers that run by the
2	parks and are surrounded by the parks. The
3	federal government, of course, retains control
4	of the rivers. As we've talked about, the
5	Clean Air Act applies, Coast Guard regulations
6	apply, federal criminal law applies. These
7	rivers are already significantly protected.
8	I mean, the hovercraft rule, to come
9	back to what brought us here today, why is that
10	rule there? It's not there to protect the
11	quality of the river. It's there because of
12	sound and it's there because the Park Service
13	wants to restrict access to remote areas of the
14	parks, while the State of Alaska has a very
15	different view about access to the remote areas
16	of the state. And that's a judgment call that
17	ANILCA should leave to the State of Alaska.
18	Thank you.
19	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
20	counsel. The case is submitted.
21	(Whereupon, at 11:06 a.m., the case
22	was submitted.)
23	
24	
25	

accord [3] 6:2.3 65:6 Amoco [2] 6:16 20:15 authorities [3] 32:11 37:12 40:23 1 accordance [5] 28:18,21,22 37:9, among [2] 5:8,14 authority [31] 6:6 20:21 22:19 23: 1.7 [2] 8:9 12 10,11,13 32:14,18 36:11 37:14,24 analysis [1] 23:7 10:05 [2] 1:17 4:2 according [1] 40:23 Anchorage [2] 1:21,24 40:18 41:2 42:19 45:1 46:8,13 52: 100 [1] 68:17 account [2] 45:14 52:14 ANILCA [40] 4:13,13,19 5:3,11,22, 22 54:11 57:8 61:5.8.14.20 62:2.7 103 [1] 59:24 achieve [1] 56:3 24 6:3,4,10,11,15,17 8:4,20 9:24 **63**:1 **64**:21 **65**:19 **67**:2 **68**:25 103(c [24] 4:22 6:6 9:2 10:23 13:1 achieving [1] 68:19 **10**:14 **11**:15 **14**:17 **17**:4,5 **18**:12, authorization [2] 47:9 55:8 17:7 20:8 24:16 26:19 29:16 30:8 acknowledge [1] 59:14 20 20:6.8.9.22 21:21 27:13 32:8 authorized [1] 55:10 37:23 38:1 39:18,21 40:4 46:3,24 acquire [1] 60:10 34:22 37:8 56:10 60:14 63:10 65: awav [1] 22:2 **59**:24 **64**:8 **65**:13 **67**:5,14 **68**:1 awful [1] 12:21 acres [4] 4:17 8:9.12 68:17 5 9 12 68:8 69:17 11:06 [1] 69:21 across [1] 44:7 ANILCA's [1] 4:24 В 1410hh-2 [1] 61:24 Act [48] 6:1.2.6 7:21.22 8:19.23.25 another [6] 5:11 25:4 33:1 40:7.10 16 [1] 26:5 back [9] 15:23 16:3 18:17 23:20 **10**:22 **15**:2.3.9 **20**:13.14 **25**:16 **27**: 57:21 17-949 [1] 4:4 31:4 38:1 53:15 58:23 69:9 4.11 **29**:13 **31**:20 **33**:8 **37**:10.12. answer [9] 13:15 19:9 32:25 34:9 18 [1] 4:17 backdrop [1] 37:4 19 39:24 40:16,24 43:11 44:2,16, 38:5 39:14 42:17 59:23 67:7 **1976** [11] **32**:10 **37**:4.12.19 **40**:16 balance [3] 4:12 8:6 63:11 22 45:12 46:19,19 47:9 49:19 58: answered [3] 6:21 24:11 53:18 49:18 58:11.17 60:20 61:11 62:3 balancing [3] 4:16 8:3 68:15 17 **59**:17 **60**:20,25 **61**:11 **62**:3 **65**: answering [1] 54:20 1980 [1] 13:20 banks [1] 9:8 7.11.19 **66**:15 **67**:2 **68**:13 **69**:5 answers [1] 39:17 1999 [1] 56:6 bargains [1] 4:14 activities [9] 32:16 33:10,23 34:2 apart [2] 23:13 42:1 basic [3] 39:20 54:3,6 2 **46**:6 **57**:4 **67**:18,22,23 appear [1] 50:23 basically [6] 24:16 41:14 46:3,8, activity [1] 36:1 **APPEARANCES** [2] 1:19 2:1 **2** [3] **13**:20 **38**:19.23 18 **54**:25 actually [4] 11:13,18,22 18:5 applicability [1] 40:17 20 [1] 67:6 basin [1] 56:19 added [7] 11:21 32:10 37:7.15 40: applicable [12] 14:1 16:3.4.9.21 2016 [1] 31:7 Bay [1] 5:5 22 56:10 61:25 20:1 48:19 49:1.23 50:2 55:1.3 2018 [1] 1:13 beautiful [1] 45:8 application [1] 60:21 adding [2] 11:23 68:17 21 [1] 3:8 becomes [1] 19:25 addition [1] 18:10 applied [4] 17:3 47:6,16 64:10 26 [1] 66:10 bed [1] 42:11 addressed [1] 6:17 applies [13] 17:24 34:11 38:25 44: 3 behalf [8] 1:22 2:5 3:4.11.14 4:8 addresses [1] 11:2 22,23 48:24 49:9 51:4 53:4,19,21 32:2 65:2 3 [1] 59:24 adequate [2] 22:6 52:13 believe [1] 19:17 adjacent [1] 60:10 apply [14] 10:11,13 12:10 16:11,13 3103(c [1] 12:13 belong [2] 7:15 25:12 3121(b [1] 60:23 adjudication [1] 57:19 **17**:5,5,23 **38**:21 **44**:24 **48**:16 **51**: belonging [1] 38:9 administer [1] 37:6 3170(a [1] 60:17 13 54:1 69:6 BERT [1] 1:6 32 [1] 3:11 administered [1] 41:23 approach [1] 58:14 besides [1] 43:4 affect [2] 35:18 40:4 appropriate [2] 30:21 67:9 4 better [2] 33:8,14 affected [1] 41:3 aquatic [1] 57:3 between [6] 6:23,24 14:7 16:11,21 4 [1] 3:4 agency [4] 5:15 10:5,20,20 area [6] 9:19 24:2 31:10 35:19 39: 410hh-2 [1] 37:3 agree [10] 13:16.17 30:9.12.18 44: 7 **57**:21 bevond [4] 17:21 23:1 49:17 64:11 21.22.24.25 54:5 areas [18] 20:22 22:2.20.23.23 24: 5 bit [1] 33:8 ahead [2] 17:10 67:15 2 37:7 47:15 52:22 56:9 57:24 59: **5** [1] **1**:13 block [1] 10:3 air [2] 45:16 69:5 21 60:12,19 62:1,9 69:13,15 boating [13] 32:15 33:10.22 34:1 6 airplane [2] 63:15,16 aren't [5] 10:23 50:1 62:21 66:25, **36**:1 **45**:17.19.21.22 **57**:4 **60**:18. AL [1] 1:9 25 65 [1] 3:14 22 63:22 ALASKA [36] 1:7,21,24,24 3:7 6: arguing [2] 29:21 54:18 7 bodies [1] 57:3 19 8:7 9:19 15:1 21:17.22 22:8 24: argument [33] 1:16 3:2,5,9,12 4:4, body [1] 31:3 751(b [2] 67:15,17 4 **25**:3 **26**:23,24 **27**:2,22 **30**:18 **31**: 7 10:16 11:11 17:7,8 21:17 22:16 bonfires [2] 21:2 38:12 76 [3] 33:8 40:24 47:9 2.5 32:24 41:20 42:20 44:6 45:15 32:1.19 33:7.13 35:5.15.24 38:16 both [9] 9:7,7 16:13 18:4 35:10 36: **59:**4 **61:**18.19 **63:**24 **64:**2.6 **68:**5. **39**:10.13 **47**:24 **48**:4.12.13 **54**:14. 8 20 48:16 53:18 68:15 12 69:14 17 18 **59**:11 **62**:22 **63**:4 **65**:1 8 [4] 27:15 28:2,2 30:23 bothering [1] 53:16 Alaska's [1] 22:11 arguments [3] 39:11 48:22 54:6 8a [1] 32:12 BOTSTEIN [21] 1:23 3:6 21:16.17. Alaskan [1] 62:20 arise [1] 23:16 19 22:22 23:17 24:24 25:9.13.20 Α Alaskans [2] 27:5.24 Army [1] 12:3 **27**:12 **28**:18.25 **29**:3.15 **30**:4.10. around [2] 49:8 53:3 ALITO [17] 12:12,20 13:6,11,24 14: a.m [3] 1:17 4:2 69:21 14 20 31:18 13,15 **15**:4,13 **41**:6 **52**:11 **55**:17, array [2] 4:20 18:21 ability [3] 42:5 43:12,23 boundaries [15] 13:10 21:2.5 26: 21 57:6,10 58:2,25 art [1] 40:9 above-entitled [1] 1:15 11 **34**:19,21 **38**:12 **39**:1 **41**:20 **47**: allow [2] 60:4.7 arteries [1] 9:21 abrogated [1] 32:24 12 **50**:13 **51**:22 **52**:2,3 **67**:10 allowed [3] 56:7 59:13 63:16 articulating [1] 17:21 abrogating [1] 37:13 bounds [1] 35:13 abrogation [3] 33:4 34:10,16 allowing [2] 60:11,22 aside [2] 50:22 62:9 BREYER [8] 20:24 26:9 37:17,20 allows [1] 60:17 asserting [1] 23:11 absolutely [1] 22:24 **40**:6,13 **52**:9 **53**:14 alone [1] 36:18 **Assistant** [1] 1:23 accept [1] 61:19 bridge [1] 44:7 already [4] 17:22.23 18:19 69:7 associated [1] 56:22 accepting [1] 27:7 brief [5] 9:15 10:17 29:5 32:12 37: Alsek [2] 5:8.18 assume [1] 34:25 access [13] 9:18 10:3 25:25 57:5 although [1] 10:24 assurance [2] 50:15 51:7 60:1,4,8,12,23 63:17,22 69:13,15 briefina [1] 27:20 amended [3] 8:20 37:10 65:9

amicus [3] 1:24 3:7 21:18

attempts [1] 22:10

Attorney [1] 1:23

accommodate [2] 45:14.16

accommodated [1] 63:25

brings [1] 9:15

broader [2] 64:12.13 broadly [1] 16:13 brought [1] 69:9 build [1] 44:6 burned [1] 12:21 buy [2] 9:23 35:15

cabin [1] 54:7 California [1] 15:7 call [1] 69:16 came [2] 1:15 18:8 camping [1] 60:5 cannot [5] 7:23,24 9:9 49:15 60:7 **CAPACITY** [1] 1:7 care [1] 46:20 carries [1] 6:4 Case [12] 4:4 11:3 12:13,24 27:7 38:19 39:12 48:14 55:6 66:24 69: 20 21 cases [5] 11:4 29:5 43:21 55:25 65:24 category [3] 42:2,8 54:25 causes [1] 48:23 cells [1] 12:21 centerpiece [2] 4:16 64:4 central [3] 9:1 56:14 68:8 certain [2] 24:22 46:20 certainly [5] 15:7 17:4 27:21 31:1 **59:**8 cetera [1] 32:14 challenged [1] 27:18 challenging [1] 30:14 change 3 18:15 30:23 50:21 charge [1] 46:22 charging [1] 46:20 Charley [1] 56:18 checkerboard [1] 22:18 CHIEF [36] 4:3.9 10:5 11:25 12:10 **14**:9 **16**:1.22 **20**:20 **21**:13.16.19 22:13 23:9 31:17.23 32:3 43:25 44:4.14.18 45:5 47:19.23 48:2.8. 21 52:7,13 55:11,16,21 58:23 62: 19 64:23 69:19 choice [1] 21:14 chose [2] 19:10 22:2 circle [1] 26:16 circuit [1] 30:25 Circuit's [1] 57:14 circumstances [1] 64:10 cite [2] 37:2.3 cited [3] 29:4 50:8 65:4 claim [4] 15:21 42:19 43:3 66:20 Claims [2] 20:12 27:3 Clean [4] 44:2,16,21 69:5 clear [9] 11:9,16,21 26:15 52:4 56: 13,25 57:15 68:12 clearer [1] 19:25 clearly [1] 56:20 close [4] 19:16 43:17 54:7 61:20 co-counsel's [1] 25:11 Coast [6] 10:9 12:2 14:9 16:23 32: 21 69:5

comes [7] 10:21 11:9 31:4 32:24 42:18 48:18 50:7 commandeer [2] 22:10.14 commerce [4] 9:21 28:6 31:13 43: commitment [1] 22:5 compared [2] 17:14,15 compel [1] 29:18 competing [1] 63:11 completely [1] 58:7 complicated [1] 29:6 compound [1] 30:1 compromise [2] 9:1 63:10 compromises [1] 4:15 concern [3] 24:2 27:22 48:23 concerned [2] 28:8 50:9 concerning [3] 32:15 33:10,22 concerns [3] 10:18,18 31:6 conclude [1] 64:17 conditions [1] 32:13 confirms [1] 37:14 conflicts [2] 23:15 24:5 Congress [31] 4:12 19:10 21:25 22:4,12,24 23:17,25 24:5 25:2,20, 21 26:5,8,22 28:5,8 29:10 31:1,21 41:22 43:11 44:10 45:12 46:13 55: 9 56:7 59:13 61:7 62:15 68:16 Congress's [7] 26:18 27:13 28:1, 19 **29**:7 **31**:4 **43**:23 conjunction [2] 12:17,18 connected [1] 43:1 consciously [1] 22:2 conservation [8] 6:12.14 8:5 10:2 20:16 17 27:1 61:6 consistent [1] 23:4 constantly [1] 68:14 contain [1] 29:4 context [5] 12:18 19:23,24 29:7,16 Continued [1] 2:1 continuing [1] 22:10 contrary [2] 32:18 61:23 control [12] 11:5 15:10 22:3,5,11 **27**:4 **29**:13 **31**:19 **63**:6 **64**:3 **68**:25 **69:**3 convey [6] 19:5,6 40:1,8 52:18,25 conveyance [1] 14:20 conveyed [6] 13:21 15:1 39:23,25 41:1 48:11 cooperative [1] 23:18 cooperatively [1] 24:4 corporation [10] 13:4,21 23:1 52: 18,24 53:10,11 67:24 68:6,10 corporations [3] 25:4 41:22 42:6 Corps [1] 12:3 correct [4] 20:23 30:13 51:16 54: couldn't [1] 38:16 counsel [9] 21:14 31:24 55:11.16 **59**:3 **64**:24 **65**:4.7 **69**:20 count [1] 53:24 course [2] 50:1 69:3

COURT [25] 1:1.16 4:10.11 5:24 6:

4.16 8:4 10:24 11:2 13:18 15:6 20:

15 **21**:20 **22**:9 **23**:20 **27**:23 **28**:13,

15 **29**:5 **31**:6 **32**:4 **43**:17.20 **68**:7 Court's [1] 35:21 covered [1] 49:2 covering [1] 8:21 create [1] 24:1 created [3] 22:25 26:6.8 creates [1] 5:12 creating [1] 22:18 criminal [2] 10:10 69:6 critical [1] 40:15 crucial [3] 22:1.3 68:9 curiae [3] 1:25 3:7 21:18 current [2] 27:19 28:23

D

D.C [2] 1:12 2:4 dav-to-day [1] 45:2 deal [3] 24:21 61:17 68:8 dealing [1] 51:20 deals [1] 50:19 dealt [1] 55:25 debate [5] 6:10 20:10,14 49:7 51: December [1] 13:20 decided [3] 44:6 53:11 57:18 decision [4] 5:25 10:25 35:21 59: decisions [6] 27:8 28:23 30:13.19 21 57:24 deemed [1] 52:6 default [1] 23:4 defined [6] 14:17 15:14,15 26:11, 12 **29**:24 defines [2] 28:20 56:17 definitely [1] 15:20 definition [4] 27:17,25 29:4 59:15 degree [1] 43:19 delegated [2] 9:13 30:6 delegates [1] 32:20 demonstrates [1] 59:20 Department [2] 2:4 57:23 depend [1] 63:5 **Deputy** [1] 2:3 designated [3] 8:16 52:4 66:11 designating [1] 61:1 designations [1] 8:25 designed [6] 18:3 27:5 49:4 65:15 67:14 68:2 detail [1] 56:1 determined [1] 35:11 difference [3] 8:16 9:6 30:2 different [24] 16:18 25:19 26:6.7 27:10.16.25 28:1 29:9.9 41:16.19 45:15 46:16.24 51:18 55:6 58:22 59:10 63:25 64:2,6 65:25 69:15 differently [2] 28:16 31:2 differing [1] 26:18 difficult [1] 59:11 direct [1] 37:24 directed [1] 66:10 directing [1] 9:17 directive [3] 47:12 48:16 49:18 directives [1] 47:18

directly [3] 34:24 40:24 58:12

DIRECTOR [1] 1:8 disclaim [1] 49:12 discussed [1] 32:6 disputes [1] 6:18 distinction [4] 16:10,15 17:17 20: distinguish [2] 14:7 41:16 distinguishes [2] 16:6,21 disturbina [1] 31:9 doctrine [1] 30:24 doina [2] 19:3 68:16 done [4] 23:5 47:22 55:7 67:12 doubt [2] 24:8 26:4 down [2] 57:19 62:15 downstream [1] 33:19 drawing [2] 17:17 20:4 drawn [1] 18:14 dredging [1] 44:12 drew [1] 26:16 driving [1] 37:25 drove [1] 46:24 dual [1] 68:15 duties [6] 5:3.21 6:9 24:13 29:25 **30:**5 duty [1] 5:16

Ε each [1] 24:1 early [1] 67:4 easily [1] 67:23 economic [2] 8:6 22:7 EDWIN [3] 2:3 3:10 32:1 effect [10] 18:6,8 27:13 33:19 36:3, 8 **56**:8 **59**:14 **64**:18 **68**:15 effectively [3] 15:12 65:25,25 effectuate [1] 31:21 effectuating [1] 30:22 either [4] 35:23 38:5.13 57:2 elsewhere [2] 50:24 62:21 embodies [1] 63:10 embrace [1] 64:12 empowered [1] 10:6 enable [1] 36:12 enabling [2] 6:15 11:20 enacted [1] 32:9 enacting [1] 4:13 enclave [1] 39:7 enclaves [2] 21:4 39:6 enforceable [1] 28:9 engage [1] 7:4 Engineers [1] 12:3 enough [1] 54:8 ensuring [1] 4:17 entire [1] 56:18 EPA [6] 10:6,9 12:4 14:10 16:7,23 equally [2] 6:13 8:5 ESQ [5] 1:21 3:3,6,10,13 established [4] 37:7 42:11 43:9 56:13 ET [2] 1:9 32:13 even [10] 8:19 9:14 23:7 27:15 34: 13.15 46:19 54:10 57:16 63:2

everything [2] 26:16 42:25

exact [1] 18:2

come [4] 20:5 29:17 67:16 69:8

exactly [6] 10:9 12:11 31:14,18 47: fish [1] 5:9 3 67:13 example [5] 5:5,11 8:2 9:14 44:3 examples [2] 48:14 49:16 excluding [1] 4:24 exclusive [3] 24:6 26:13,20 exclusively [1] 16:12 excuse [2] 32:4 39:22 exempted [1] 17:2 exempting [2] 4:25 10:4 exemption [1] 24:23 exempts [1] 51:12 exercised [2] 28:6 43:19 **existence** [1] **57**:16 existing [1] 37:7 expanded [2] 30:23 56:10 expands [1] 5:5 expectations [1] 27:24 explain [1] 12:24 explicit [3] 24:14,21 64:22 explicitly [1] 28:10 express [3] 47:8 62:10 64:18 extend [1] 57:21 extended [1] 26:12 extensive [1] 28:5 extensively [1] 44:12 extent [4] 49:7 57:13,15 58:21 exterritorial [1] 67:25 extra [1] 10:11 extraordinary [3] 62:4,23 64:16 extraterritorially [1] 65:20 extreme [1] 9:4

face [2] 16:16 51:1 fact [11] 18:13 39:20 40:25 43:10, 21 45:18 47:3 49:12 52:1 56:15 60:15 Fairweather [1] 5:10 fallback [1] 11:10 far [2] 37:13 44:8 federal [39] 4:21 6:23 9:9.11 10:1. 10 **17**:3 **18**:20,22 **22**:1 **24**:7,12,20 25:1,14 26:17,20 27:19 28:7,10 29:17 30:15,24 35:10,12,13 36:19 38:8 46:14 49:5 57:8 58:6 59:20 62:5 64:3 65:15 66:6 69:3,6 federalism [3] 10:16,17 11:8 federally [1] 47:6 feel [3] 67:9.11.12 feels [1] 52:21 few [1] 38:9 fillina [1] 44:13 FINDLEY [38] 1:21 3:3.13 4:6.7.9 **5**:24 **7**:1,7,16,24 **8**:18 **9**:11 **10**:8, 21 12:5,9,15,25 13:8,17,25 14:24 **15**:5,20 **16**:19 **17**:10,20 **19**:9,17, 22 **20**:23 **21**:8,12 **64**:25 **65**:1,3 **66**: fine [3] 12:2,3,4 finish [4] 31:15 40:12 59:23 65:7

first [11] 4:4 5:25 6:17 10:24 13:1

14:25 23:20 32:9 35:8 48:11 52:

fishing [2] 31:13 57:4 Five [1] 64:25 focus [1] 64:8 following [2] 5:7,13 foregoing [1] 37:8 forget [1] 21:5 forgot [1] 65:7 foundational [2] 65:14 67:5 frankly [1] 57:16 friend [1] 68:11 front [1] 65:13 FROST [2] 1:6 4:5 fulfill [5] 5:21 6:9 23:22 24:13 36: fulfills [1] 27:2 full [3] 59:14 61:19,20

fully [1] 10:6

function [1] 14:6

G gave [1] 28:10 General [13] 1:23 2:3 32:9,10,18 **34**:11 **37**:11 **40**:16,23 **47**:1 **54**:13 **60**:21 **62**:2 generally [1] 16:21 geographical [1] 58:3 aets [1] 23:20 give [5] 27:10 37:24 46:7 54:11 68: given [5] 24:14 30:6 31:15,19 66: gives [3] 45:1 46:11 61:11 giving [8] 24:6 26:23 27:12 29:24 36:10 40:17 41:12 64:20 Glacier [1] 5:5 qoal [1] 8:8 GORSUCH [16] 33:3.6 34:12.15. 20.25 35:14.22 36:14.18.23 42:13. 16.24 43:6.14 aot [3] 37:17 40:6 54:17 **governed** [1] **65:**6 government [23] 5:4 9:9,11 24:7, 12,12,18 28:11 29:17,24 33:25 42: 18 **46**:5,14 **49**:6 **54**:12 **56**:1 **57**:8 58:6 62:5 64:3 65:16 69:3 government's [6] 24:20 28:22 29: 12 **34**:3 **66**:6,14 grant [1] 60:1 grasping [1] 39:11 gray [1] 12:21 great [2] 10:2 27:21 groceries [1] 9:23 aroups [1] 50:9 Guard [6] 10:9 12:2 14:9 16:23 32: 21 69:5 quess [5] 16:16 17:11 19:4 35:23 **62**:22

Н

habitats [1] 5:9

hallmark [2] 11:7,8

happens [1] 11:20

half [1] 18:11

hard [2] 27:6 39:11 hardly [1] 54:20 hear [2] 4:3 37:21 heard [4] 36:15,24 42:17 67:16 help [1] 35:23 helpful [2] 9:21 54:17 hereinafter [1] 26:12 hesitate [1] 46:10 highway [1] 10:3 highways [1] 9:25 hindsiaht [1] 19:25 history [2] 42:3 50:7 Honor [9] 8:19 10:8 24:9,24 25:21 27:12 30:4.20 31:16 hope [1] 59:9 House [1] 18:10 houses [1] 38:9 hovercraft [7] 34:5 35:25 36:6,15 **45**:3.6 **69**:8 huge [1] 61:17 hunt [1] 63:12 Hunting [1] 63:13

identified [1] 56:9 identifies [1] 56:20 identify [4] 24:2 32:5 41:11 57:24 identifyina [1] 51:23 III [1] 57:15 illuminate [1] **52**:16 imagine [2] 21:1 38:6 impact [1] 34:5 impede [1] 9:18 impermissible [1] 41:12 implementing [1] 59:12 **implicated** [1] **10**:23 implied [1] 55:24 importance [2] 59:20 64:2 important [7] 6:11.13 8:5 20:6 32: 7 **50**:18 **62**:20 imposes [1] 7:22 inadvertently [1] 17:2 inapplicable [1] 39:19 incapable [1] 62:16 include [4] 11:18 14:17 15:16 53:2 included [4] 6:14 15:2 48:4 50:11

includes [3] 37:12 40:24 62:3 including [3] 40:19 56:19 63:14 incorrect [1] 59:7 indeed [1] 68:23 indication [2] 61:7.23 inevitably [1] 23:16 inholders [1] 53:5 inholdina [2] 53:20 54:1 inholdings [10] 23:13 41:18 49:9 **55**:3 **60**:8,10 **63**:18,20 **64**:7 **68**:11 instance [2] 35:9 59:22 instances [1] 45:11 Instead [1] 22:4 integrity [1] 56:21 intended [3] 17:4 22:12 29:10 intent [5] 26:18 27:13 28:1.19 29:7 interest [4] 43:12 66:5.8.9 interests [4] 14:18 37:6 40:1 66:6

interfere [1] 43:23 Interior [1] 57:23 interpret [1] 26:19 interpreted [1] 28:16 interpreting [1] 10:22 interrupt [1] 61:17 introduced [1] 18:10 invoke [1] 37:19 invoked [1] 6:1 involved [2] 55:14 63:7 involvina [1] 43:21 islands [1] 22:25 isn't [3] 29:11 50:20 54:10 issue [9] 13:18 18:3 25:19 27:21 28:14 32:8.14 41:19 42:9 issued [2] 48:15 49:16 issues [4] 11:1 20:12,13 51:3 issuing [1] 18:2 itself 5 8:23 33:8 34:22 36:16 63: 10

JOHN [11] 1:3 27:8 30:13 57:15,24 59:2,6,12,15,19 63:8 John's [1] 58:15 Jones [1] 38:10 iudament [1] 69:16 iurisdiction [6] 22:24 23:8 24:7 26:3.13.21 jurisdictional [1] 26:7 Justice [125] 2:4 4:3,10 5:2 6:20 7: 2,13,19 8:15 9:3,5 10:5,15 11:25 **12**:10,12,20 **13**:6,11,24 **14**:9,11,13, 14,15 **15**:4,13,22,24 **16**:1,1,2,22 **17**:9,11 **18**:24 **19**:11,19 **20**:20,24 21:13,16,19 22:13 23:9,21 24:10 **25**:6,10,17 **26**:9 **27**:6 **28**:17,20 **29**: 1.11.20 **30**:9.12.17 **31**:17.23 **32**:3 **33:**3.6 **34:**12.15.20.25 **35:**14.22 36:14.18.23 37:17.20 38:2 40:6. 11.13 **41:**5.6.7 **42:**13.16.17.24 **43:** 6.14.25 **44**:4.14.18 **45**:5.23 **46**:1. 17 **47**:19,23 **48**:2,8,21 **49**:20 **51**:1 **52**:7,9,11,13 **53**:14 **55**:11,16,17,21 22 57:6,10 58:2,25 61:3,12,16 62: 19 **64**:23 **65**:22 **69**:19 Justice's [1] 58:24 justify [2] 44:8 67:19

K

KAGAN [15] 9:5 10:15 14:11,14 15: 22 **16**:1,2 **17**:9,11 **18**:24 **19**:11,19 38:2 49:20 51:1 Katie [13] 27:8 28:23 30:13 57:15, 24 58:15 59:2,2,6,12,14,19 63:8 KAVANAUGH [6] 30:9,12,17 61:3, 12.16 keep [2] 5:14 20:6 kind [4] 13:13 39:5 51:7 56:4 KNEEDLER [59] 2:3 3:10 31:25 **32**:1,3 **33**:3,5 **34**:8,14,17,23 **35**:7, 17 **36**:5,17,19,24 **37**:18 **39**:16 **40**: 11,14 **41:**15 **42:**13,14,21 **43:**5,8,16 **44:**2,10,16 **45:**4,10,24 **46:**10,23

47:21 48:1,6,10 49:11,21 50:5 51: 2,15 **53**:6 **54**:21,24 **55**:13,19 **56**:6 **57**:9,12 **58**:9 **59**:8 **61**:9,14,22 **63**:4 Kobuk [2] 5:12 17

Kuskokwim [1] 9:20

Lake [1] 11:21 lakes 3 8:10 56:19 62:11 land [37] 6:11,13,18 8:9,21 13:2,3, 4 **18**:4,16 **20**:2,2 **23**:1,14 **24**:3 **39**: 22 41:17 42:6 43:3 46:25 47:25. 25.25 48:25 49:1 50:10 51:5.6.9 **53**:12 **65**:17,20 **67**:24 **68**:4,10,18,

landholders [1] 49:5 landowners [2] 24:3 25:3 lands [111] 4:18,23 6:23,24,25 7:3, 9,17 **8**:13,24 **9**:6,8,10 **10**:1,13 **11**: 5,13,17,18,24 **13**:3,19,23 **14**:2,17, 19 **15**:1,2,9,10,14,14,15 **16**:4,10, 12,14 **17:**2,12,14,15,16,18,18,19, 23,24 **18**:13,18 **19**:1,2 **20**:15,18 23:23 25:1,1,2,3,16,23 27:10 31: 20,20 34:7 35:10 36:20,25 37:6 38:15 39:2,6,22,24,25 41:21,24,25 **43**:11.22 **46**:6.7 **47**:7 **48**:4.17.19 49:15.22.24 50:1.3 51:4 52:5.19 53:9.10.22.24 54:8 55:2 59:16 60: 2,10 62:25 63:3 64:12,13 66:24, 25 67:11 68:22,22

language [10] 15:8 16:5,8 19:5,7, 12,14,22 22:14 26:7

large [6] 20:10,14 23:7 42:6 50:10 64:7

last [1] 11:19

last-minute [1] 18:9

later [1] 67:6

Laughter [6] 12:8 21:11.15 45:9

54:23 **55:**20

law [3] 28:5.23 69:6

laver [2] 10:11 12:6

least [3] 54:18 59:19 66:18

leave [2] 28:15 69:17 left [2] 22:4 35:16

legislation [1] 27:15

legislative [2] 42:3 50:7

lever [1] 45:1 life [1] 62:21

liaht [1] 57:23 limit [1] 43:7

limited [4] 24:25 30:7,22 64:9

limiting [1] 67:21 limits [2] 58:3.4

linchpin [1] 65:14

lists [1] 37:9 little [1] 33:8

living [2] 29:21 31:11

local [1] 27:4

located [2] 32:16 34:18 location [1] 57:17

logic [1] 30:3 long [1] 29:6

look [8] 11:11 17:6 18:8 19:1,14

26:5 **29:**6 **56:**11 looking [2] 67:15,17 lot [4] 10:19 12:21 51:17,22 loud [1] 36:7

М made [4] 27:2 55:3 60:21 68:11 main [1] 31:3 maintaining [1] 5:17 major [1] 50:21 manage [4] 5:16 7:10,11 26:17 managed [3] 5:7,13 6:2 management [11] 5:1 14:8 16:24 **20**:3 **23**:2,5,18,19 **24**:1 **27**:19 **30**: manages [1] 6:22 mandate [1] 9:24 mandated [1] 23:18 mandates [1] 23:6 manipulate [1] 19:7 many [6] 5:3 6:5 21:25 24:13 25:7 60:14 map [1] 18:14 maps [5] 50:19 51:21,23,25 52:16 matrix [1] 18:19 matter [3] 1:15 51:9 55:6 matters [1] 66:21 MATTHEW [5] 1:21 3:3.13 4:7 65: mean [29] 6:24 15:13,15 17:6 19:6, 7,8,11,17 **20**:24 **21**:4 **23**:5,11 **26**: 19 29:8 35:8 39:4 44:5 45:7 52:14 53:7 59:6,9 61:9,10 62:20 65:23 66:10 69:8 meaning [9] 17:16,21,25 19:24 27: 10 29:9 34:6 41:13,14 meaningful [1] 30:11 meaningless [1] 39:4 means [8] 14:20 15:16 19:14.16 38:13 45:20.21 49:22 member [1] 61:7 mentioned [12] 26:9 30:16 33:2 **40**:20 **45**:17 **48**:15 **55**:7 **58**:12 **60**: 17 **61**:24 **63**:9 **66**:13 merely [1] 18:22 met [1] 8:8 methods [1] 45:16 midst [1] 62:12 might [10] 24:23 31:9 33:18 51:25 **54**:13,13,15 **58**:21 **60**:9 **61**:10 migration [1] 5:9 million [4] 4:17 8:9.12 68:17 mind [2] 20:7 38:3

minimize [1] 52:15

minutes [2] 64:25 67:6

mixed [2] 22:23 23:8

modified [1] 65:9

modifying [1] 6:5

moment [1] 35:1

Monday [1] 1:13

Montana [2] 15:7 23:6

Monument [3] 5:6.6 64:15

mission [3] 23:22 24:25 25:24

mining [1] 47:15

morning [1] 4:4 most [5] 12:16 21:22 39:20 63:12,

mostly [1] 38:8

Ms [18] 21:16,19 22:22 23:17 24:24 **25**:9,13,20 **27**:12 **28**:18,25 **29**:3, 15 30:4,10,14,20 31:18 much [3] 19:3 20:17 24:17 multiple [1] 6:18

must [4] 38:23 39:4,5 55:9 myriad [1] 17:3

named [1] 25:8 names [1] 25:22 NATIONAL [26] 1:8 5:6.12 11:22 26:6.10 32:22 38:24 39:1 42:23 45:21 47:13,15 48:17 56:16,23,24 58:10,14,20 60:3 61:1 63:13,19 64:15,16

native [18] 13:4,21 18:15 20:12 23: 1 25:4 27:3 41:22 42:5 50:9 52:18, 24 53:9,9,10 67:24 68:5,10

natives [1] 49:4

navigability [1] 23:7

navigable [38] 6:23 7:16,25 10:25 11:3 14:23 15:18 22:11 25:13.18 32:21 35:11 40:5.19.25 42:8.14. 23 43:21 44:12.15 46:8.15.21 47: 8 57:11 58:19 61:5,21 62:7,8,8,17 **63**:6 **64**:4 **66**:2,2,19 navigational [4] 43:10 44:5,23 46:

necessarily [3] 10:23 34:21 59:6 necessary [2] 56:3 67:9

need [13] 13:18 29:15 38:18,18,20 **49**:25 **50**:4 **51**:7.10.11 **52**:21 **54**:2 68:15

needs [6] 8:6 12:16 17:20 18:6 22: 7 28:13

never [1] 60:1

new [3] 4:19.20 18:21 nexus [1] 34:1

nice [1] 13:14

Ninth [1] 57:14

nobody [1] 14:22

non [1] 41:17

non-navigable [4] 7:11 35:7,18,

non-public [15] 4:18,23 13:2,22 **17**:16.19 **18**:4.13 **19**:2 **24**:3 **25**:3 48:17 49:22 50:1 68:4

non-subsistence [1] 30:7

None [1] 20:23 nor [1] 61:6

normally [1] 63:19 nothing [3] 8:25 11:15 61:4

November [1] 1:13

number [4] 8:8 29:25 39:16 45:11

О

obligation [2] 24:20,21 obligations [3] 7:5 24:15 66:14 obviously [3] 49:3 53:15 60:6

odd [1] 53:1 **OFFICIAL** [1] 1:6 often [2] 62:10 67:13 okay [10] 21:8 34:8,25 35:3,14 36: 23 37:17 38:22 53:16 54:2 Olympic [1] 11:22 once [3] 10:13 59:13 66:24 one [34] 6:7 8:8 13:15 14:15 28:17. 20 29:4 35:6 37:8 39:15 40:6.7.7. 10.16 41:18 47:7 48:22 49:19 54: 6.12.13.15 **56:**15.17 **57:**2 **59:**1.24. 25 **60**:8.16 **61**:10 **63**:14 **64**:1 ones [2] 54:14 60:16 Only [28] 7:16 14:3 17:23,23 20:5 **21**:25 **28**:4 **39**:2,25 **42**:10,21,22 **45**:19,20 **47**:5 **48**:3,8 **49**:16,24 **51**: 4,11 **52**:5,5 **53**:23 **55**:14 **60**:2 **62**: 24 64:9 open [1] 28:15 operating [1] 36:21 opinion [2] 31:7 57:14 opportunity [1] 22:6 oral [7] 1:16 3:2,5,9 4:7 21:17 32:1 organic [11] 5:22 6:1,2,6 10:22 37: 10 46:19 65:7,11,19 67:2 other [28] 5:18 9:7 10:10 13:15 17: 3 **21**:9 **24**:23 **31**:3 **32**:15 **33**:10,22 34:2 37:11,22 40:20 42:2,4,8 46:4 **47**:10,14 **50**:8 **52**:20 **54**:10 **62**:22 63:19,22 68:10 others [3] 5:8,14 22:20 otherwise [1] 51:13 out [9] 17:1.12.13 28:12 53:19 54: 8 59:15 67:2 68:4 outer [6] 13:9 34:19,20 41:20 50: 12 **52**:1 outline [1] 52:1 outset [1] 32:5 outside [6] 33:17 35:25 36:1 44: 11 47:6 67:10 over [18] 4:17 10:25 20:22 22:3 26: 3 **41**:2 **42**:19 **46**:8,14 **52**:22 **61**:5,

14,20 63:1,6 64:3 68:17 69:1

overlaps [1] 58:11

own [6] 25:18 28:2,3,4 43:3 68:21 owned [2] 41:17 47:7

owner [2] 25:14 52:18 ownership [5] 8:23 11:5,6 15:10

owns [2] 42:10 43:22 Ozette [1] 11:22

PAGE [2] 3:2 32:12 paraphrasing [1] 33:12 PARK [106] 1:8 5:1,4,12,19 6:5,8, 15 7:4,7,22 8:16 9:12,13,14,17 10: 12 **11:**10,14,22,24 **12:**1,4,6 **13:**5,7, 9 14:7 16:6,23 17:6 18:1,23 20:21, 25 22:1,9,15,19,23 23:2,10,12,21 26:10,17 30:5 32:10,20,22 36:11, 12 37:16 38:12,24 39:1 40:4,22 41:24,25 45:21,25 46:12 47:2,5, 13,15,16,21 48:17 49:6,8,13,15 50:

12:7 17:3.22 18:2.3.22 23:2 24:25

36:10 **40**:5 **44**:9 **45**:2 **47**:7,10 **48**:

Official - Subject to Final Review

16 **51**:2.5.6.7.10 **53**:12 **55**:3 **56**:8. 9 **58**:7,10 **59**:25 **60**:2,6,9,18 **61**:4, 20 62:2,6,9,17 64:10,17 65:17,18 67:1,4,7 68:2 69:12 parks [29] 4:19,25 5:16 6:1 10:14 **11**:18 **18**:18 **20**:9 **23**:19 **26**:6 **37**:9. 16 **42**:23 **44**:11 **46**:21 **51**:23 **56**:23 **58**:15.20 **60**:3 **61**:1.11 **63**:12.19 64:5 65:5 69:2 2 14 part [13] 11:13 13:5.10 14:3 22:5 25:22.23 46:21 65:17.20 66:25 67: 20 68:4 particular [5] 24:22 55:6 57:16 66: 15.16 Particularly [1] 9:19 parts [1] 14:25 party [3] 27:18 44:20 68:6 pass [4] 7:9 20:11 38:10 41:10 passage [1] 20:6 passed [3] 7:17 18:12 25:15 people [5] 22:8 27:22 31:11 60:7 **61:**18 perfectly [1] 38:7 perhaps [2] 19:25 39:20 period [1] 38:20 permissible [1] 41:12 permit [1] 46:5 permitted [2] 45:19 63:13 person [1] 13:22 persuaded [1] 35:2 petition [1] 68:7 Petitioner [10] 1:4.22.25 3:4.8.14 4:8 21:18 59:10 65:2 Petitioner's [1] 32:19 Petitioners [1] 59:10 phrase [1] 67:18 pick [1] 19:5 picks [1] 60:20 picnicking [1] 60:5 piece [1] 39:8 pieces [1] 12:22 place [2] 28:4 67:24 places [2] 5:3 63:14 plan [1] 24:1 play [2] 11:10 20:5 played [1] 28:12 please [5] 4:10 10:3 21:20 32:4 68: plenary [9] 42:19 43:14,15,17 46:8, 11 57:8 61:5.10 point [9] 10:4 18:25,25 37:20 40: 12,15 45:7,8 64:22 pointed [1] 46:4 points [1] 63:9 pollution [1] 44:17 portion [5] 5:10 7:20 13:6,8,13 portions [1] 52:6 position [5] 16:20 25:10,11 27:7,8 possibly [1] 38:17 power [8] 9:13 10:25 20:3 26:23, 24 28:6 32:21 58:21 powers [1] 5:23 PPL [3] **15**:7 **23**:5 **35**:21 premise [2] 36:2,2

prescribe [2] 33:9.21 preservations [1] 7:21 preserve [7] 8:10 56:16,18,24 61: 2 64:16 66:16 preserved [1] 4:23 preserves [6] 4:20,25 43:11 46:11 63:13 65:6 preserving [3] 27:14 42:5 62:10 press [1] 10:17 pretty [3] 43:17 45:8 62:23 prevent [3] 65:18 67:14 68:2 primary [2] 23:18 68:25 principle [2] 42:12 67:21 prior [1] 20:22 priority [2] 27:15 28:10 private [16] 13:4,22 16:13 18:16 **21:**3 **22:**25 **25:**3 **38:**10 **44:**20 **48:** 24 **49**:5 **51**:6 **60**:1,7,8 **68**:6 probably [1] 37:21 problem [2] 25:18 65:22 prohibit [3] 18:1.5 67:6 prohibitive [2] 18:6 8 promises [1] 27:2 promulgate [1] 68:3 promulgated [1] 17:22 property [1] 39:8 proposition [1] 49:13 protect [6] 5:8 8:1,10,11 49:4 69: protected [1] 69:7 protecting [1] 68:20 protection [3] 53:3 56:21 68:19 protects [5] 8:14 25:25,25 26:1 68: 23 prove [1] 34:1 providina [1] 22:6 provision [8] 9:17 28:8 33:1 37:2, 13 53:8 65:5.8 provisions [9] 6:3.5 20:7 27:11 32:8 60:14 63:17,21,21 public [57] 7:10,13 8:12 9:6,8 11: 13,17 **14**:2 **15**:14,14,16 **16**:4,9,12, 13 **17**:12,14,15,18,18,23,24 **18**:4 **19**:1,2 **24**:2 **25**:1,23 **27**:10 **34**:6,7, 7 **39**:2,6 **48**:4,16,19 **49**:1,24 **50**:3 **51**:4.5.9 **52**:5 **53**:22.24 **54**:8 **55**:2 **59**:16 **60**:11 **64**:11 **65**:16 **66**:9.25 **67**:10 **68**:18 22 published [1] 51:23 purchase [1] 53:13 pure [1] 26:25 purpose [15] 5:17 28:3 29:14,22 **30**:22 **36**:10 **38**:23 **50**:6 **56**:2,3,14 **60:**11.25 **62:**9.10 purposes [12] 5:7,14 7:8 8:5 30:7, 25 31:22 36:12 38:17 56:12,18 57: pursuant [6] 47:8,11,17 48:15 49: 17 **55:**8

put [2] 10:1 12:22

putting [2] 8:9 61:9

Q

puts [1] 5:3

qualify [1] 34:15 quality [1] 69:11 question [28] 6:8,21 13:14 14:16 20:25 23:20 24:11 32:23,25 33:4 34:10 38:2,3 41:8 42:17 44:15 52: 11 53:15,16 55:18,23 58:24 59:1, 3 63:5,7 67:5,16 questions [1] 21:9 quite [2] 9:3 16:16

raise [1] 11:1 Range [1] 5:10 rarely [1] 66:3 rather [1] 21:6 rationale [1] 63:8 reach [4] 13:18 23:2 67:2 68:4 reaches [1] 44:8 read [10] 12:17 16:8 19:23,24 29: 16 33:14 36:8 65:11,12 67:23 reading [1] 41:9 reads [1] 44:20 reality [1] 67:8 really [8] 10:19 14:22 19:20 44:5 **46**:24 **47**:4 **55**:13 **64**:7 reason [1] 59:18 reasonably [1] 45:20 reasoning [1] 30:21 reasons [5] 26:22 31:2.14.19 60:9 REBUTTAL [4] 3:12 37:22 38:6 recall [1] 51:19 recognize [1] 50:18 recognized [8] 5:25 6:4,16 8:4 10: 24 20:15 43:18,20 recognizes [1] 8:23 record [1] 36:15 refer [2] 60:15 65:10 reference [1] 48:18 references [2] 29:12 68:14 referred [1] 59:1 referring [1] 14:19 refers [5] 14:20 39:21,22 42:4 57:2 reflect [1] 28:1 reflected [1] 29:22 reflects [1] 27:21 refrain [1] 11:3 Refuge [1] 9:16 req [8] 21:1 38:11,11,14,14,25 53: 19.24 regardless [1] 38:21 **REGIONAL** [1] 1:7 reas [1] 53:25 regular [1] 49:15 regulate [30] 7:8 9:9 10:6 25:24 28:11 29:18,20 30:6 32:21 33:15 40:18,22 43:12,24 45:19,20,22 46: 5 47:12 54:12 57:10 58:7 60:18 **62**:7,24 **64**:19,21 **65**:19 **67**:2,10

14,24,25 **51**:3,3 **59**:21 **60**:16,22 **62:1 67:**25 **68:**3,22 regulations [35] 14:1,8,8 16:3,6,7, 9,11,22,24 **18**:20 **20**:4,18 **27**:20 **30**:15 **32**:15 **33**:9,22 **41**:10 **46**:4, 18 **47**:2.5.16 **48**:15.19 **49**:17.23 **50**:2.23 **55**:1 **56**:7 **59**:12 **64**:11 **69**: regulatory [5] 20:21 36:11 41:2 **58:**4.21 reject [1] 22:9 relate [1] 33:23 related [4] 14:16 20:13 43:2 67:19 relates [2] 39:2 42:25 relating [7] 20:12 32:16 33:11,16 **35**:3 **38**:15 **67**:22 rely [1] 35:3 relying [3] 58:16,17 67:18 remote [3] 21:22 69:13.15 repeatedly [1] 66:13 Representative [1] 18:11 representatives [1] 61:19 represents [1] 4:14 reproduced [1] 32:11 require [2] 27:14,16 required [2] 45:13 51:21 requires [2] 21:21 60:23 requiring [1] 45:15 reservation [1] 56:2 reservations [1] 57:2 reserve [3] 11:12 21:5 9 reserved [16] 21:6 22:16 25:2 30: 24 44:24 55:24 56:11 57:1.7.7.17. 20 58:1.6.13.17 reserves [1] 24:19 reserving [1] 26:23 residents [1] 27:23 resolve [3] 23:15 24:5 28:14 resolved [1] 57:22 resolving [1] 6:18 resources [2] 11:6 15:11 respect [9] 22:16,19 23:12,14 37: 15 **47**:24 **51**:15 **62**:25 **66**:19 respects [1] 39:18 Respondents [4] 1:10 2:5 3:11 32:2 restore [1] 4:12 restrict [1] 69:13 restriction [1] 30:11 retain [1] 68:25 retained [1] 27:9 retains [1] 69:3 reverse [1] 41:8 rich [1] 18:19 rights [10] 11:12 22:17 24:19 30: 24 44:24 55:24 56:11 58:1.14.18 rigorous [1] 20:17 rinas [1] 11:3 River [22] 5:9.17.18 8:11.14.17.22 9:7.8.10.20 22:21 23:12 35:9.20 **38**:21 **39**:7 **44**:7 **56**:18 **61**:1,2 **69**:

67:19

regulated [2] 8:13 14:4

regulates [4] 44:11,11,14 60:2

regulating [4] 8:12 49:19 62:16

regulation [30] 4:21 5:1,20 10:12

special [4] 31:5 51:10.12.12

River's [1] 64:15 rivers [33] 5:18,20 7:22 8:19,23,24 9:18 10:4 21:24 22:3 24:14,22 25: 7,12,13,22 29:13,18,25 31:12 56: 16 **60**:25 **61**:5,21 **62**:11 **63**:2 **66**: 10,11 68:13,14 69:1,4,7 road [1] 57:20 roads [3] 9:20 21:25 68:13 ROBERTS [28] 4:3 10:5 11:25 16: 1 **20:**20 **21:**13.16 **22:**13 **23:**9 **31:** 17.23 **43:**25 **44:**4.14.18 **45:**5 **47:** 19.23 **48**:2.8.21 **52**:7.13 **55**:11.16 **62**:19 **64**:23 **69**:19 routes [1] 5:10 rule [6] 11:9 39:1 56:8 59:5 69:8, 10 run [1] 69:1 running [1] 9:10 runs [2] 35:10 59:16 rural [2] 27:14.22 RUTH [3] 1:23 3:6 21:17 same [8] 15:15 24:19 26:2,19 27: 11 28:21 49:14 59:3 satisfaction [1] 22:6 satisfied [1] 58:16 saying [17] 7:20 10:20 11:16 17:14 **19**:16 **24**:16 **25**:23 **41**:14 **46**:2.18 48:23 49:11,21 50:4 52:15 53:4 says [23] 5:6,13 13:19 21:1 26:16 28:8 32:12 33:9,20,21 37:5,23 38: 11,21,25 **40**:21 **51**:4 **52**:2,17 **53**: 12 61:4,25 65:8 scenic [7] 8:17,19,22,24 56:22 60: 25 66:11 scope [1] 46:13 second [11] 12:15.16.23 13:19 14: 19 **17**:24 **37**:1 **39**:18.21 **40**:3 **48**: Secretary [14] 5:21 32:13 33:9,15 37:5,18,24 40:18,21 43:19 45:13, 18 52:19,21 Secretary's [2] 37:14 41:2 Section [13] 4:22 6:6 10:22 12:13 17:7 20:8 26:19 30:7 50:19 51:20 **65**:12 **67**:13 **68**:1 sections [2] 29:9 37:8 see [5] 30:2 34:4 37:25 39:3 61:23 seems [6] 16:10.15 17:17 20:25 53:17 54:4 seen [1] 36:18

18.23 **39**:18.21 **40**:3 **49**:3 **52**:14. 16.23 53:1 59:24 sentences [2] 12:17 19:23 series [1] 4:14 SERVICE [63] 1:9 5:4,19 6:9 7:4,7, 23 9:12,14,14,17 10:12 12:2,4,6 16:6 17:6 18:2 20:21 21:1 22:19 23:10.12.22 26:17 30:5 32:20 36: 11 **41**:24.25 **45**:21 **46**:12 **47**:2.6. 16,21 **49**:6,8,13,15 **50**:16 **51**:3 **53**: 13 **55:**4 **56:**8.9 **58:**7 **59:**25 **60:**2.6. 9.18 61:4.20 62:6 64:10.17 65:18 **67**:1.4.7 **68**:3 **69**:12 Service's [7] 6:6 11:10 22:10.15 32:10 40:4 62:2 servitude [4] 43:10 44:5,23 46:4 set [2] 42:1 62:9 sets [1] 47:5 settled [1] 27:24 Settlement [2] 20:12 27:3 several [2] 39:17 65:4 shall [8] 5:7.13 6:2 13:25 26:12 37: 5 49:22 65:6 shorthand [1] 13:22 show [1] 56:1 showing [1] 56:5 side [4] 42:4 50:8 61:10 62:23 sides [3] 9:7 35:10 36:20 significant [2] 11:1 68:19 significantly [1] 69:7 similar [1] 29:13 similarly [1] 23:3 simply [2] 10:11 64:11 since [1] 59:1 site [1] 8:22 situation [4] 22:18 31:8,10 64:9 size [1] 21:24 slam-dunk [1] 13:15 slip [1] 59:1 Smith [2] 38:9,13 Smith's [3] 53:20 54:1,7 social [1] 22:7 sole [3] 24:6 26:13,20 solely [22] 14:1,7 16:4,9,11,20,25 **17**:11,13,14,18 **20**:2,2,3 **38**:4,14 44:21 48:19 49:1 50:2 53:21 55:1 Solicitor [1] 2:3 solicitude [1] 31:5 solid [2] 47:11.25 somehow [1] 32:24 someone [1] 66:3 sorry [6] 5:2 14:14 25:6 55:19 61: 16 **67**:15 sort [3] 22:18 45:2 53:17 sorts [4] 41:9 44:19 66:12.13 SOTOMAYOR [25] 5:2 6:20 7:2. 13.19 8:15 9:3 15:24 23:21 24:10 **25**:6.10.17 **27**:6 **28**:17.20 **29**:1.11. 20 41:5,7 45:23 46:1,17 65:22 Sotomayor's [1] 42:17

sound [1] 69:12

space [1] 28:15

sovereign [2] 26:23,24

sovereignty [2] 11:2,7

specific [11] 7:25 9:16,24 19:10 **47**:11,17 **49**:18 **54**:11 **57**:3 **60**:21 63:17 specifically [20] 4:25 5:25 6:5 8: 20 11:23 15:2 18:12 25:8 28:5 32: 19 40:17,21 45:13 56:17 59:13 60: 15,17 61:11,25 68:1 spoke [1] 31:6 square [1] 38:8 started [2] 53:19 54:14 state [53] 5:15 6:22.24 7:10.15 8:6. 21,23 **11**:1,7,7 **13**:2,21 **15**:24 **16**: 20 18:15 21:23 22:1,3,5,7 24:4,19 **25**:2,12,14,15 **26**:2 **28**:12 **30**:18 **39**:23,25 **41**:1,21 **42**:10 **43**:22 **44**: 19 **48**:11,25 **49**:4 **50**:10 **52**:17,24 **53**:9 **59**:11 **63**:3 **68**:5,11,12,21 **69**: 14 16 17 state's [6] 10:25 11:4,17 47:24 66: 7 68:25 statehood [5] 7:10.18 15:3 20:13 **27:**3 statement [6] 11:9.16 26:15 28:3 29:14 64:18 STATES [11] 1:1.17 15:17.17 26: 14 31:3,15,19 39:9 41:18 66:22 status [2] 4:23 18:15 statute [52] 4:22 5:5 6:16 11:20,21 12:19,22 17:1 18:9 19:24 20:11 24:18 25:8 26:25 27:1,1 28:3,25 **29**:3,8,22 **31**:3,22 **32**:9 **33**:14,20 **34**:11,16 **37**:5 **38**:11,17 **40**:20 **41**: 9 **47**:13 **49**:25 **50**:3.4.6 **51**:10.12. 12.18.21 54:2 58:11 62:14 63:25 64:19.20 65:8.13 66:9 statutes [4] 29:6 32:6 37:22 54:11 statutory [19] 5:3,16,21 7:5 23:22 **24**:13,25 **25**:24 **27**:16 **29**:25 **30**:5 **37:**2 **47:**9,11,18 **48:**16 **49:**18 **55:**8 66:14 still [2] 9:8 10:10 story [1] 34:4 streams [3] 8:10 56:19 62:11 stretch [1] 57:21 stretches [1] 35:19 strong [2] 15:8 22:14 struck [1] 4:12 structure [2] 29:23.23 struggling [1] 19:21 stuff [1] 40:8 STURGEON [3] 1:3 4:5,11 Sturgeon's [2] 16:19 34:5 subject [11] 4:20 14:1 18:19,21 20: 17 **45**:19 **47**:1 **49**:23 **50**:2 **58**:1 **62**: submerged [23] 7:8,17 8:24 11:5, 17.23 **13:**3.3 **14:**25 **15:**1.9.10 **25:** 16 31:20.20 39:24.25 43:11.22 46:

63:22 substantive [2] 50:21,23 suggest [2] 29:5 65:24 suggested [2] 15:8 16:5 suggesting [1] 19:13 summarize [1] 46:1 supplemented [1] 37:10 supporting [3] 1:25 3:8 21:18 supposed [4] 10:12 12:9 24:12 50: 22 **SUPREME** [2] 1:1.16 surely [1] 36:22 surround [2] 9:25 18:18 surrounded [6] 4:19 10:14 13:9 18:23 21:25 69:2 system [25] 6:12,14 10:2 20:16 32: 17,22 33:11,16,17,18,24 34:3,6 35: 25 36:1,3,16 37:16 38:24 47:14, 15 **58**:10 **61**:6 **62**:18 **64**:13

takeover [1] 28:7 talked [3] 11:19 16:22 69:4 talks [1] 47:14 technical [1] 18:9 tells [2] 24:18 52:22 term [2] 29:8 59:16 terms [4] 7:2 15:5 32:13 33:7 territorial [2] 7:3 46:6 Texas [1] 21:24 themselves [5] 26:3 33:23 40:2 41:24 43:24 theory [2] 6:22 7:14 There's [17] 7:13 8:25 9:16 11:15 13:14 24:8 26:4 37:22 41:14 57: 19 **60**:22,24 **61**:3 **63**:17,21 **66**:5 therefore [3] 38:24 41:1 49:2 therein [2] 14:18 37:7 thinking [1] 53:19

third [3] 52:14.16.23 though [3] 14:4 52:9 63:2 three [7] 12:17 19:23 47:5,17 53: 25 54:16 55:7 throughout 3 11:4 30:25 59:17 ties [1] 40:24 title [29] 7:9,17 14:21,22 15:5,9,12, 16,18,25 23:23 26:5 27:15 28:2,2 30:23 38:15 39:9 41:6 62:25 63:2, 5.6 65:16.23 66:3.18.22.23 titles [1] 27:25 today [3] 27:24 28:14 69:9 together [3] 12:22 24:1 65:11 took [2] 20:10 54:6 tool [1] 23:19 top [1] 12:10 touch [1] 18:4 tourists [1] 31:9 tracts [3] 42:6 50:10 64:7 traffic [1] 45:3 transfer [1] 14:21 transportation [2] 31:12 45:16 treat [1] 49:13 treated [2] 50:14.15

7 63:2 66:24 68:21

submitted [2] 69:20.22

subsection [2] 50:18 52:2

subsistence [10] 27:14.19.20 28:

9 29:21 30:15 58:15 59:22 60:23

seament [1] 5:8

Seiberling [1] 18:11

selections [1] 46:25

sell [1] 53:12

sense [1] 24:17

self-sufficiency [1] 27:4

segment-by-segment [1] 23:6

selected [5] 41:21,22 42:7 50:11

sentence [22] 12:12.15.16.23 13:1

19 **14**:19 **17**:20,24 **18**:5 **31**:16 **38**:

treating [1] 31:2 treats [1] 49:14 triggered [1] 58:22 trouble [1] 62:24 true [4] 17:22 22:22 25:7 47:4 trump [1] 24:22 trumps [1] 24:16 try [1] 24:4 trying [5] 12:21 19:20 45:7 52:15 55:17 turn [2] 11:12 62:15 turns [1] 59:15

twice [1] 21:24 two [10] 14:24 20:11 27:10 32:5 41: 16 47:10 52:17 54:10,16 66:5 type [1] 44:9 types [1] 41:16

U **U.S** [2] **15**:6 **38**:15 under [20] 5:22,22 6:22 7:14 8:13 22:4 25:15 26:13 31:20 32:13 34: 16,18 35:20 41:8 43:9,9 46:19,19 62:1 66:15 underscore [1] 60:13 understand [8] 14:16 18:7.24 32: 7 33:7.13 49:20 66:17 understanding [4] 6:9,10 21:21, undeveloped [1] 5:15 undoes [1] 9:1 unique [1] 4:13 uniqueness [1] 31:6 unit [18] 10:2 13:10,12,13 14:4 20: 16 34:22 35:2,4 36:1,4,16 60:24 61:6 65:21 67:20,25 68:5 UNITED [8] 1:1.17 15:17.17 26:14 **39:**9 **41:**18 **66:**22 units [21] 6:12.14 14:2 18:14 32:17 **33**:11.16.17.18.24 **34**:3.6 **36**:1 **40**: 22 47:13.14 56:9.12 60:24 67:1 68:18 unless [1] 5:22 unlike [1] 54:7 unsightly [2] 36:7 45:6 up [3] 9:15 12:21 60:20 upheld [1] 59:18 uplands [2] 46:16,23 upset [1] 27:23 upside [1] 62:15 useful [1] 41:16 uses [3] 59:22 60:24 63:23 using [2] 31:12 65:18

V

valid [2] 55:5,9 Valley [1] 5:12 values [3] 56:22 62:10 63:11 various [1] 10:16 vast [1] 21:23 vastly [1] 26:7 versions [1] 51:18 versus [2] 4:5 19:2

usual [1] 41:25

view [7] 28:23,24 49:21 57:25 67:6, 8 69:15 views [1] 28:22 villages [1] 9:22 violence [1] 26:18 volume [1] 56:2 vote [1] 9:23

wanted [7] 31:21 37:2,2 41:25 50: 15 **55**:23 **68**:3 wants [3] 38:6 52:18 69:13 Washington [2] 1:12 2:4 waste [1] 47:11 water [53] 11:12 14:18 15:6.16 22: 17 **23**:5 **26**:3 **30**:24 **32**:16 **33**:11. 15.16.17.18.23 **34:**2.6 **36:**3.9.21 40:8 42:18 43:21 44:2,9,16,22,24 **48**:3,9,10,10 **54**:7,12 **55**:24 **56**:3, 11,13,25 **57:**3,17,20 **58:**1,5,13,17 60:15,16 61:15 65:23 67:19,19,22 water-centric [1] 62:14 waters [75] 4:18,24 6:23,24 7:9,11, 12,14,14,16,25 10:7,13 11:1,3 13: 3 14:18.23 15:12.18 22:11 23:3.8. 24 **25**:19 **32**:21 **34**:7 **35**:8.18 **36**: 13 **37**:6.15 **40**:1.2.5.18.19.22.25. 25 41:3 42:8.14 43:24 44:12.15 45:24 46:9.15.21 47:8 49:19 55: 15 **56**:21 **57**:11 **58**:20 **59**:21 **61**:25 **62**:7,8,8,17,20 **63**:6 **64**:4,21 **66**:2, 2,19,23 68:9,14,19,20,23 watershed [4] 8:1,11,13 25:22 watersheds [3] 25:25 26:1,1 waterway [2] 43:2,2 waterways [6] 22:1 42:19,22,23, 25 66:16 way [12] 13:15 15:15 23:5 24:22 25: 4,24 **34**:5 **49**:14 **53**:4 **62**:21,21 **66**: wavs [1] 53:18 weiaht [1] 10:19 whatever [5] 37:23 46:12,18 49:6 **61:**10 whatsoever [1] 66:20 Whereupon [1] 69:21 whether [8] 13:2,12 25:18 32:23 34:13,13 35:24 55:5 whole [2] 36:13 60:25 wild [6] 8:16,19,22,24 60:24 66:11 wilderness [2] 21:23 31:10 wildlife [2] 5:9 9:16 will [5] 20:15.18 21:9 32:14 52:6 win [2] 29:2 66:7 wins [2] 12:13,24 within [54] 6:14,18 11:6 13:12 14: 2 **15**:2,11 **18**:14 **21**:2,4 **22**:22 **26**: 16 30:1 32:17 33:11,17 34:2,6,11,

18,19,20,22 35:2,12,18 36:3,16 38:

12,25 39:6 41:20 42:23 45:12,25

47:12,14 48:17,18 50:12,12 51:4,

6,6 55:3 58:10,14,20 59:21 61:1,6

without [3] 20:7 39:3 64:17

62:17.17 67:24

wondering [2] 10:18 35:24 word [4] 14:6 16:25 17:13 38:4 words [6] 9:7 19:10 29:23,24 37: 11 52:20 work [8] 7:23,24 12:16 23:25 24:3 36:2 48:5 50:21 working [1] 31:11 works [1] 47:24 worth [1] 54:20 write [1] 53:3 written [1] 20:1 Wyoming [1] 26:25

Y

vear [1] 18:11

years [1] 20:11

Yellowstone [6] 21:2,3,4 26:8,10 38:7 Yosemite [2] 53:20,21 Yukon [1] 9:20 Yukon-Charley [5] 8:1,3,8 56:16 64:14

Yukon-Kuskokwim [1] 9:15